Alternative tools of statistical analysis: L-moments and TL-moments of probability distributions

Diana Bílková[1, 2]

[1]Department of Statistics and Probability, Faculty of Informatics and Statistics, University of Economics, Prague, Czech Republic
[2]Department of Informatics and Mathematics, Faculty of Economic Studies, University of Finance and Administration, Prague, Czech Republic

Email address:
bilkova@vse.cz, diana.bilkova@vsfs.cz

To cite this article:
Diana Bílková. Alternative Tools of Statistical Analysis: L-moments and TL-moments of Probability Distributions. *Pure and Applied Mathematics Journal.* Vol. 3, No. 2, 2014, pp. 14-25. doi: 10.11648/j.pamj.20140302.11

Abstract: Moments and cumulants are commonly used to characterize the probability distribution or observed data set. The use of the moment method of parameter estimation is also common in the construction of an appropriate parametric distribution for a certain data set. The moment method does not always produce satisfactory results. It is difficult to determine exactly what information concerning the shape of the distribution is expressed by its moments of the third and higher order. In the case of small samples in particular, numerical values of sample moments can be very different from the corresponding values of theoretical moments of the relevant probability distribution from which the random sample comes. Parameter estimations of the probability distribution made by the moment method are often considerably less accurate than those obtained using other methods, particularly in the case of small samples. The present paper deals with an alternative approach to the construction of an appropriate parametric distribution for the considered data set using order statistics.

Keywords: L-Moments and Tl-Moments of Probability Distribution, Sample L-Moments and Tl-Moments, Probability Density Function, Distribution Function, Quantile Function, Order Statistics, Income Distribution

1. Introduction

L-moments form the basis for a general theory which includes the summarization and description of theoretical probability distributions and obtained sample data sets, parameter estimation of theoretical probability distributions and hypothesis testing of parameter values for theoretical probability distributions. The theory of L-moments includes the established methods such as the use of order statistics and the Gini middle difference. It leads to some auspicious innovations in the area of measuring skewness and kurtosis of the distribution and provides relatively new methods of parameter estimation for an individual distribution. L-moments can be defined for any random variable whose expected value exists. The main advantage of L-moments over conventional moments is that they can be estimated by linear functions of sample values and are more resistant to the influence of sample variability. L-moments are more robust than conventional moments to the existence of outliers in the data, facilitating better conclusions made on the basis of small samples of the basic probability distribution. L-moments sometimes bring even more

efficient parameter estimations of the parametric distribution than those acquired by the maximum likelihood method for small samples in particular.

L-moments have certain theoretical advantages over conventional moments consisting in the ability to characterize a wider range of the distribution. They are also more resistant and less prone to estimation bias, approximation by the asymptotic normal distribution being more accurate in finite samples.

Let X be a random variable being distributed with the distribution function $F(x)$ and quantile function $x(F)$ and let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, Xn$ be a random sample of the sample size n from this distribution. Then $X_{1:n} \leq X_{2:n} \leq \ldots \leq X_{n:n}$ are order statistics of the random sample of the sample size n which comes from the distribution of the random variable X.

L-moments are analogous to conventional moments. They can be estimated on the basis of linear combinations of sample order statistics, i.e. L-statistics. L-moments are an alternative system describing the shape of the probability distribution.

2. Methods and Methodology

2.1. L-Moments of Probability Distribution

The issue of L-moments is discussed, for example, in [1] or [2]. Let X be a continuous random variable being distributed with the distribution function F(x) and quantile function $x(F)$. Let $X_{1:n} \leq X_{2:n} \leq ... \leq X_{n:n}$ be order statistics of a random sample of the sample size n which comes from the distribution of the random variable X. L-moment of the r-th order of the random variable X is defined as

$$\lambda_r = \frac{1}{r} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^j \cdot \binom{r-1}{j} \cdot E(X_{r-j:r}), \quad r = 1, 2, ... \qquad (1)$$

An expected value of the r-th order statistic of the random sample of the sample size n has the form

$$E(X_{r:n}) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)! \cdot (n-r)!} \int_0^1 x(F) \cdot [F(x)]^{r-1} \cdot [1 - F(x)]^{n-r} d F(x). \qquad (2)$$

If we substitute equation (2) into equation (1), after adjustments we obtain

$$\lambda_r = \int_0^1 x(F) \cdot P_{r-1}^*[F(x)] d F(x), \quad r = 1, 2, ..., \qquad (3)$$

where $P_r^*[F(x)]$ is the r-th shifted Legendre polynomial, i.e.

$$P_r^*[F(x)] = \sum_{j=0}^r p_{r,j}^* \cdot [F(x)]^j, \quad p_{r,j}^* = (-1)^{r-j} \cdot \binom{r}{j} \binom{r+j}{j}, \qquad (4)$$

Having substituted expression (2) into expression (1), we also obtained

$$\lambda_r = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^j \cdot \binom{r-1}{j} \cdot \frac{r!}{(r-j-1)! \cdot j!} \int_0^1 x(F) \cdot [F(x)]^{r-j-1} \cdot [1-F(x)]^j d F(x), \quad r = 1, 2, ... \qquad (5)$$

The letter "L" in "L-moments" indicates that the r-th L-moment λ_r is a linear function of the expected value of a certain linear combination of order statistics. The very estimation of the r-th L-moment λ_r, based on the obtained data sample, is thus the linear combination of order data values, i.e. L-statistics. The first four L-moments of the probability distribution are now defined as

$$\lambda_1 = E(X_{1:1}) = \int_0^1 x(F) d F(x), \qquad (6)$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} E(X_{2:2} - X_{1:2}) = \int_0^1 x(F) \cdot [2 F(x) - 1] d F(x), \qquad (7)$$

$$\lambda_3 = \frac{1}{3} E(X_{3:3} - 2X_{2:3} + X_{1:3}) = \int_0^1 x(F) \cdot \{6[F(x)]^2 - 6 F(x) + 1\} d F(x), \qquad (8)$$

$$\lambda_4 = \frac{1}{4} E(X_{4:4} - 3X_{3:4} + 3X_{2:4} - X_{1:4}) = \int_0^1 x(F) \cdot \{20[F(x)]^3 - 30[F(x)]^2 + 12[F(x)] - 1\} d F(x). \qquad (9)$$

The probability distribution can be specified by its L-moments even if some of its conventional moments do not exist, the opposite, however, is not true. It can be proved that the first L-moment λ_1 is a location characteristic, the second L-moment λ_2 being a variability characteristic. It is often desirable to standardize higher L-moments $\lambda r, r \geq 3$, so that they can be independent of specific units of the random variable X. The ratio of L-moments of the r-th order of the random variable X is defined as

$$\tau_r = \frac{\lambda_r}{\lambda_2}, \quad r = 3, 4, ... \qquad (10)$$

We can also define the function of L-moments which is analogous to the classical coefficient of variation, i.e. the so called L-coefficient of variation

$$\tau = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}. \qquad (11)$$

The ratio of L-moments τ_3 is a skewness characteristic, the ratio of L-moments τ_4 being a kurtosis characteristic of the corresponding probability distribution. Main properties of the probability distribution are very well summarized by the following four characteristics: L-location λ_1, L-variability λ_2, L-skewness τ_3 and L-kurtosis τ_4. L-moments λ_1 and λ_2, the L-coefficient of variation τ and ratios of L-moments τ_3 and τ_4 are the most useful characteristics for the summarization of the probability distribution. Their main properties are existence (if the expected value of the distribution exists, then all its L-moments exist) and uniqueness (if the expected value of the distribution exists, then L-moments define the only distribution, i.e. no two distributions have the same L-moments).

Using equations (6)−(9) and (10), we obtain both the expressions for L-moments and L-moments ratios for the chosen probability distributions; see Table 1.

Table 1. *Formulas for distribution or quantile functions, L-moments and their ratios for chosen probability distributions.*

Distribution	Distribution function $F(x)$ or quantile function $x(F)$	L-moments and ratios of L-moments
Uniform	$x(F) = \alpha + (\beta - \alpha) \cdot F(x)$	$\lambda_1 = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}$ $\lambda_2 = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{6}$ $\tau_3 = 0$ $\tau_4 = 0$
Exponential	$x(F) = \xi - \alpha \cdot \ln[1 - F(x)]$	$\lambda_1 = \xi + \alpha$ $\lambda_2 = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ $\tau_3 = \frac{1}{3}$ $\tau_4 = \frac{1}{6}$
Gumbel	$x(F) = \xi - \alpha \cdot \ln[-\ln F(x)]$	$\lambda_1 = \xi + e \cdot \alpha$ $\lambda_2 = \alpha \cdot \ln 2$ $\tau_3 = 0,1699$ $\tau_4 = 0,1504$
Logistic	$x(F) = \xi + \alpha \cdot \ln \frac{F(x)}{1 - F(x)}$	$\lambda_1 = \xi$ $\lambda_2 = \alpha$

Distribution	Distribution function $F(x)$ or quantile function $x(F)$	L-moments and ratios of L-moments
Normal	$F(x) = \Phi\left[\dfrac{x(F) - \mu}{\sigma}\right]$	$\tau_3 = 0$ $\tau_4 = \dfrac{1}{6}$ $\lambda_1 = \mu$ $\lambda_2 = \pi^{-1} \cdot \sigma$ $\tau_3 = 0$ $\tau_4 = 30 \cdot \pi^{-1} \cdot (\tan\sqrt{2})^{-1} - 9 = 0{,}1226$
Generalized Pareto	$x(F) = \xi + \alpha \cdot \dfrac{1 - [1 - F(x)]^k}{k}$	$\lambda_1 = \xi + \dfrac{\alpha}{1+k}$ $\lambda_2 = \dfrac{\alpha}{(1+k)\cdot(2+k)}$ $\tau_3 = \dfrac{1-k}{3+k}$ $\tau_4 = \dfrac{(1-k)\cdot(2-k)}{(3+k)\cdot(4+k)}$
Generalized extreme value	$x(F) = \xi + \alpha \cdot \dfrac{1 - [-\ln F(x)]^k}{k}$	$\lambda_1 = \xi + \alpha \cdot \dfrac{1 - \Gamma(1+k)}{k}$ $\lambda_2 = \alpha \cdot \dfrac{(1 - 2^{-k})\cdot\Gamma(1+k)}{k}$ $\tau_3 = \dfrac{2\cdot(1 - 3^{-k})}{1 - 2^{-k}} - 3$ $\tau_4 = \dfrac{1 - 6{,}2^{-k} + 10{,}3^{-k} - 5{,}4^{-k}}{1 - 2^{-k}}$
Generalized logistic	$x(F) = \xi + \alpha \cdot \dfrac{1 - \left[\dfrac{1 - F(x)}{F(x)}\right]^k}{k}$	$\lambda_1 = \xi + \alpha \cdot \dfrac{1 - \Gamma(1+k)\cdot\Gamma(1-k)}{k}$ $\lambda_2 = \alpha \cdot \Gamma(1+k)\cdot\Gamma(1-k)$ $\tau_3 = -k$ $\tau_4 = \dfrac{1 + 5k^2}{6}$
Lognormal	$F(x) = \Phi\left\{\dfrac{\ln[x(F) - \xi] - \mu}{\sigma}\right\}$	$\lambda_1 = \xi + \exp\left(\mu + \dfrac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)$ $\lambda_2 = \exp\left(\mu + \dfrac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)\cdot\mathrm{erf}\left(\dfrac{\sigma}{2}\right)$ $\tau_3 = 6\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}\cdot\dfrac{\int_0^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\mathrm{erf}\left(\dfrac{x}{\sqrt{3}}\right)\cdot\exp(-x^2)\,\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{erf}\left(\dfrac{\sigma}{2}\right)}$
Gamma	$F(x) = \dfrac{\beta^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\cdot\int_0^{x(F)} t^{\alpha-1}\cdot\exp\left(-\dfrac{t}{\beta}\right)\mathrm{d}t$	$\lambda_1 = \alpha\cdot\beta$ $\lambda_2 = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}\cdot\beta\cdot\dfrac{\Gamma\left(\alpha + \dfrac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ $\tau_3 = 6\,I_{\frac{1}{3}}(\alpha, 2\alpha) - 3$ [1]

Source: [3]; own resear*c*h

2.2. Sample L-Moments

L-moments are usually estimated by a random sample obtained from an unknown distribution. Since the *r*-th L-moment λ_r is the function of the expected values of order statistics of a random sample of the sample size *r*, it is natural to estimate it using the so-called U-statistic, i.e. the corresponding function of sample order statistics (averaged over all subsets of the sample size *r*, which may be formed from the obtained random sample of the sample size *n*).

Let $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ be the sample and $x_{1:n} \le x_{2:n} \le \ldots \le x_{n:n}$ the order sample. Then the *r*-th sample L-moment can be written as

$$l_r = \binom{n}{r}^{-1} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_r \le n} \frac{1}{r}\cdot\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}(-1)^j\cdot\binom{r-1}{j}\cdot x_{i_{r-j}:n}, \quad r = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \tag{12}$$

Hence the first four sample L-moments have the form

$$l_1 = \frac{1}{n}\cdot\sum_i x_i, \tag{13}$$

$$l_2 = \frac{1}{2}\cdot\binom{n}{2}^{-1}\cdot\sum_{i>j}\sum (x_{i:n} - x_{j:n}), \tag{14}$$

$$l_3 = \frac{1}{3}\cdot\binom{n}{3}^{-1}\cdot\sum_{i>j>k}\sum\sum (x_{i:n} - 2\,x_{j:n} + x_{k:n}), \tag{15}$$

$$l_4 = \frac{1}{4}\cdot\binom{n}{4}^{-1}\cdot\sum_{i>j>k>l}\sum\sum\sum (x_{i:n} - 3\,x_{j:n} + 3\,x_{k:n} - x_{l:n}). \tag{16}$$

U-statistics are widely used especially in nonparametric statistics. Their positive properties are the absence of bias, asymptotic normality and a slight resistance due to the influence of outliers.

When calculating the *r*-th sample L-moment, it is not necessary to repeat the process over all sub-sets of the sample size *r*, since this statistic can be expressed directly as a linear combination of order statistics of a random sample of the sample size n.

If we assume an estimate of $E(X_{r:r})$ obtained with the use of U-statistics, it can be written as $r\cdot b_{r-1}$, where

$$b_r = \frac{1}{n}\cdot\binom{n-1}{r}^{-1}\cdot\sum_{j=r+1}^{n}\binom{j-1}{r}\cdot x_{j:n}, \tag{17}$$

namely

$$b_0 = \frac{1}{n}\cdot\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j:n}, \tag{18}$$

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{n}\cdot\sum_{j=2}^{n}\frac{(j-1)}{(n-1)}\cdot x_{j:n}, \tag{19}$$

$$b_2 = \frac{1}{n}\cdot\sum_{j=3}^{n}\frac{(j-1)\cdot(j-2)}{(n-1)\cdot(n-2)}\cdot x_{j:n}, \tag{20}$$

and so generally

$$b_r = \frac{1}{n}\cdot\sum_{j=r+1}^{n}\frac{(j-1)\cdot(j-2)\cdot\ldots\cdot(j-r)}{(n-1)\cdot(n-2)\cdot\ldots\cdot(n-r)}\cdot x_{j:n}. \tag{21}$$

Thus the first four sample L-moments can be written as

$$l_1 = b_0, \tag{22}$$

$$l_2 = 2b_1 - b_0, \tag{23}$$

$$l_3 = 6b_2 - 6b_1 + b_0, \tag{23}$$

---

[1] $I_x(p, q)$ is incomplete beta function

$$l_4 = 20\,b_3 - 30\,b_2 + 12\,b_1 - b_0. \qquad (25)$$

We can therefore write generally

$$l_{r+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{r} p_{r,k}^{*} \cdot b_k, \quad r = 0, 1, ..., n-1, \qquad (26)$$

where

$$p_{r,k}^{*} = (-1)^{r-k} \cdot \binom{r}{k} \cdot \binom{r+k}{k} = \frac{(-1)^{r-k} \cdot (r+k)!}{(k!)^2 \cdot (r-k)!}. \qquad (27)$$

Table 2. *Formulas for parameter estimations made by the method of L-moments of chosen probability distributions.*

Distribution	Parameter Estimation
Exponential	(ξ known) $\hat{\alpha} = l_1$
Gumbel	$\hat{\alpha} = \dfrac{l_2}{\ln 2}$ $\hat{\xi} = l_1 - e \cdot \hat{\alpha}$
Logistic	$\hat{\alpha} = l_2$ $\hat{\xi} = l_1$
Normal	$\hat{\sigma} = \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot l_2$ $\hat{\mu} = l_1$
General. Pareto	(ξ known) $\hat{k} = \dfrac{l_1}{l_2} - 2$ $\hat{\alpha} = (1+\hat{k}) \cdot l_1$
General. extreme value	$z = \dfrac{2}{3+t_3} - \dfrac{\ln 2}{\ln 3}$ $\hat{k} = 7,8590\,z + 2,9554\,z^2$ $\hat{\alpha} = \dfrac{l_2 \cdot \hat{k}}{(1-2^{-\hat{k}}) \cdot \Gamma(1+\hat{k})}$ $\hat{\xi} = l_1 + \hat{\alpha} \cdot \dfrac{\Gamma(1+\hat{k})-1}{\hat{k}}$
General. logistic	$\hat{k} = -t_3$ $\hat{\alpha} = \dfrac{l_2}{\Gamma(1+\hat{k}) \cdot \Gamma(1-\hat{k})}$ $\hat{\xi} = l_1 + \dfrac{l_2 - \hat{\alpha}}{\hat{k}}$
Lognormal	$z = \sqrt{\dfrac{8}{3}} \cdot \Phi^{-1}\!\left(\dfrac{1+t_3}{2}\right)$ [2)] $\hat{\sigma} = 0,999\,281\,z - 0,006\,118\,z^3 + 0,000\,127\,z^5$ $\hat{\mu} = \ln \dfrac{l_2}{\mathrm{erf}\left(\dfrac{\sigma}{2}\right)} - \dfrac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{2}$ $\hat{\xi} = l_1 - \exp\!\left(\hat{\mu} + \dfrac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{2}\right)$

Distribution	Parameter Estimation

Gamma	(ξ known)
	$t = \dfrac{l_2}{l_1}$
	if $0 < t < \dfrac{1}{2}$, then:
	$z = \pi \cdot t^2$
	$\hat{\alpha} \approx \dfrac{1 - 0,3080\,z}{z - 0,058\,12\,z^2 + 0,017\,65\,z^3}$
	if $\dfrac{1}{2} \le t < 1$, then:
	$z = 1 - t$
	$\hat{\alpha} \approx \dfrac{0,7213\,z - 0,5947\,z^2}{1 - 2,1817\,z + 1,2113\,z^2}$
	$\hat{\beta} = \dfrac{l_1}{\hat{\alpha}}$

Source: [3]; own research

Sample L-moments are used in a similar way as sample conventional L-moments, summarizing the basic properties of the sample distribution, which are the location (level), variability, skewness and kurtosis. Thus, sample L-moments allow an estimation the corresponding properties of the probability distribution from which the sample originates and can be used in estimating the parameters of the relevant probability distribution. We often prefer L-moments to conventional moments within such applications, since sample L-moments – as the linear functions of sample values – are less sensitive to sample variability or measurement errors in extreme observations than conventional moments. L-moments therefore lead to more accurate and robust estimates of characteristics or parameters of the basic probability distribution.

Sample L-moments have been used previously in statistics, but not as part of a unified theory. The first sample L-moment l_1 is a sample L-location (sample average), the second sample L-moment l_2 being a sample L-variability. The natural estimation of L-moments (10) ratio is the sample ratio of L-moments

$$t_r = \frac{l_r}{l_2}, \quad r = 3, 4, ... \qquad (28)$$

Hence t_3 is a sample L-skewness and t_4 is a sample L-kurtosis. Sample ratios of L-moments t_3 and t_4 may be used as the characteristics of skewness and kurtosis of a sample data set.

The Gini middle difference relates both to sample L-moments, having the form of

$$G = \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \cdot \sum_{i>j} \sum (x_{i:n} - x_{j:n}), \qquad (29)$$

and the Gini coefficient which depends only on a single parameter σ in the case of the two-parametric lognormal distribution, depending, however, on the values of all three parameters in the case of the three-parametric lognormal distribution. Table 2 presents the expressions for parameter

---

2) $\Phi^{-1}(\cdot)$ is a quantile function of the standardized normal distribution

estimations of the chosen probability distributions obtained using the method of L-moments. For more details see, for example, [4]−[14].

2.3. TL-Moments of Probability Distribution

An alternative robust version of L-moments is introduced in this subchapter. The modification is called "trimmed L-moments" and it is termed TL-moments. The expected values of order statistics of a random sample in the definition of L-moments of probability distributions are replaced with those of a larger random sample, its size growing correspondingly to the extent of the modification, as shown below.

Certain advantages of TL-moments outweigh those of conventional L-moments and central moments. TL-moment of the probability distribution may exist despite the non-existence of the corresponding L-moment or central moment of this probability distribution, as it is the case of the Cauchy distribution. Sample TL-moments are more resistant to outliers in the data. The method of TL-moments is not intended to replace the existing robust methods but rather supplement them, particularly in situations when we have outliers in the data.

In this alternative robust modification of L-moments, the expected value $E(X_{r-j:r})$ is replaced with the expected value $E(X_{r+t1-j:r+t1+t2})$. Thus, for each r, we increase the sample size of a random sample from the original r to $r + t_1 + t_2$, working only with the expected values of these r modified order statistics $X_{t1+1:r+t1+t2}$, $X_{t1+2:r+t1+t2}$, …, $X_{t1+r:r+t1+t2}$ by trimming the smallest t_1 and largest t_2 from the conceptual random sample. This modification is called the r-th trimmed L-moment (TL-moment) and marked as $\lambda_r^{(t1,t2)}$. Thus, TL-moment of the r-th order of the random variable X is defined as

$$\lambda_r^{(t1,t2)} = \frac{1}{r} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^j \cdot \binom{r-1}{j} \cdot E(X_{r+t1-j:r+t1+t2}), \quad r = 1, 2, \dots \quad (30)$$

It is evident from the expressions (30) and (1) that TL-moments are reduced to L-moments, where $t_1 = t_2 = 0$. Although we can also consider applications where the adjustment values are not equal, i.e. $t_1 \neq t_2$, we will focus here only on the symmetric case $t_1 = t_2 = t$. Then the expression (30) can be rewritten

$$\lambda_r^{(t)} = \frac{1}{r} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^j \cdot \binom{r-1}{j} \cdot E(X_{r+t-j:r+2t}), \quad r = 1, 2, \dots \quad (31)$$

Thus, for example, $\lambda_1^{(t)} = E(X_{1+t:1+2t})$ is the expected value of the median of the conceptual random sample of $1 + 2t$ size. It is necessary to note that $\lambda_1^{(t)}$ is equal to zero for distributions that are symmetrical around zero.

For $t = 1$, the first four TL-moments have the form

$$\lambda_1^{(1)} = E(X_{2:3}), \quad (32)$$

$$\lambda_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} E(X_{3:4} - X_{2:4}), \quad (33)$$

$$\lambda_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3} E(X_{4:5} - 2X_{3:5} + X_{2:5}), \quad (33)$$

$$\lambda_4^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4} E(X_{5:6} - 3X_{4:6} + 3X_{3:6} - X_{2:6}). \quad (35)$$

The measurements of location, variability, skewness and kurtosis of the probability distribution analogous to conventional L-moments (6)−(9) are based on $\lambda_1^{(1)}$, $\lambda_2^{(1)}$, $\lambda_3^{(1)}$ and $\lambda_4^{(1)}$.

The expected value $E(X_{r:n})$ can be written using the formula (2). With the use of the equation (2), we can express the right side of the equation (31) again as

$$\lambda_r^{(t)} = \frac{1}{r} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^j \cdot \binom{r-1}{j} \cdot \frac{(r+2t)!}{(r+t-j-1)! \cdot (t+j)!} \cdot \int_0^1 x(F) \cdot [F(x)]^{r+t-j-1} \cdot [1-F(x)]^{t+j} \, dF(x), r = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$(36)$$

It is necessary to point out that $\lambda_r^{(0)} = \lambda_r$ represents a normal r-th L-moment with no respective adjustments.

Expressions (32)−(35) for the first four TL-moments (t = 1) may be written in an alternative way as

$$\lambda_1^{(1)} = 6 \cdot \int_0^1 x(F) \cdot [F(x)] \cdot [1-F(x)] \, dF(x), \quad (37)$$

$$\lambda_2^{(1)} = 6 \cdot \int_0^1 x(F) \cdot [F(x)] \cdot [1-F(x)] \cdot [2F(x)-1] \, dF(x), \quad (38)$$

$$\lambda_3^{(1)} = \frac{20}{3} \cdot \int_0^1 x(F) \cdot [F(x)] \cdot [1-F(x)] \cdot \{5[F(x)]^2 - 5F(x) + 1\} \, dF(x), \quad (39)$$

$$\lambda_4^{(1)} = \frac{15}{2} \cdot \int_0^1 x(F) \cdot [F(x)] \cdot [1-F(x)] \cdot \{14[F(x)]^3 - 21[F(x)]^2 + 9[F(x)] - 1\} \, dF(x).$$

$$(40)$$

The distribution can be determined by its TL-moments, even though some of its L-moments or conventional moments do not exist. For example, $\lambda_1^{(1)}$ (the expected value of the median of a conceptual random sample of sample size three) exists for the Cauchy distribution, despite the non-existence of the first L-moment λ_1.

TL-skewness $\tau_3^{(t)}$ and TL-kurtosis $\tau_4^{(t)}$ can be defined analogously as L-skewness τ_3 and L-kurtosis τ_4

$$\tau_3^{(t)} = \frac{\lambda_3^{(t)}}{\lambda_2^{(t)}}, \quad (41)$$

$$\tau_4^{(t)} = \frac{\lambda_4^{(t)}}{\lambda_2^{(t)}}. \quad (42)$$

2.4. Sample TL-Moments

Let $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ be a sample and $x_{1:n} \leq x_{2:n} \leq \ldots \leq x_{n:n}$ an order sample. The expression

$$\hat{E}(X_{j+1:j+l+1}) = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{j+l+1}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{i-1}{j} \cdot \binom{n-i}{l} \cdot x_{i:n} \qquad (43)$$

is considered to be an unbiased estimate of the expected value of the $(j + 1)$-th order statistic $X_{j+1:j+l+1}$ in the conceptual random sample of sample size $(j + l + 1)$. Now we will assume that in the definition of TL-moment $\lambda_r^{(t)}$ in (31), the expression $E(X_{r+t-j:r+2t})$ is replaced by its unbiased estimate

$$\hat{E}(X_{r+t-j:r+2t}) = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r+2t}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{i-1}{r+t-j-1} \cdot \binom{n-i}{t+j} \cdot x_{i:n}, \qquad (44)$$

which is obtained by assigning $j \to r + t - j - 1$ a $l \to t + j$ in (43). Now we get the r-th sample TL-moment

$$l_r^{(t)} = \frac{1}{r} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^j \cdot \binom{r-1}{j} \cdot \hat{E}(X_{r+t-j:r+2t}), \quad r = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 2t, \qquad (45)$$

i.e.

$$l_r^{(t)} = \frac{1}{r} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^j \cdot \binom{r-1}{j} \cdot \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r+2t}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{i-1}{r+t-j-1} \binom{n-i}{t+j} \cdot x_{i:n}, \quad r = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 2t, \qquad (46)$$

which is an unbiased estimate of the r-th TL-moment $\lambda_r^{(t)}$. Let us note that for each $j = 0, 1, \ldots, r - 1$, the values $x_{i:n}$ in (46) are not equal to zero only for $r + t - j \leq i \leq n - t - j$, taking combination numbers into account. A simple adjustment of equation (46) provides an alternative linear form

$$l_r^{(t)} = \frac{1}{r} \cdot \sum_{i=r+t}^{n-t} \left[\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^j \cdot \binom{r-1}{j} \binom{i-1}{r+t-j-1} \binom{n-i}{t+j}}{\binom{n}{r+2t}} \right] \cdot x_{i:n}. \qquad (47)$$

For $r = 1$, for example, we obtain for the first sample TL-moment

$$l_1^{(t)} = \sum_{i=t+1}^{n-t} w_{i:n}^{(t)} \cdot x_{i:n}, \qquad (48)$$

where the weights are given by

$$w_{i:n}^{(t)} = \frac{\binom{i-1}{t} \binom{n-i}{t}}{\binom{n}{2t+1}}. \qquad (49)$$

The above results can be used for the estimation of TL-skewness $\tau_3^{(t)}$ and TL-kurtosis $\tau_4^{(t)}$ by simple ratios

$$t_3^{(t)} = \frac{l_3^{(t)}}{l_2^{(t)}}, \qquad (50)$$

$$t_4^{(t)} = \frac{l_4^{(t)}}{l_2^{(t)}}. \qquad (51)$$

We can choose $t = n\alpha$, representing the size of the adjustment from each end of the sample, where α is a certain ratio, where $0 \leq \alpha < 0,5$. Table 3 contains the expressions for TL-moments and their ratios as well as those for parameter estimations of the chosen probability distributions obtained employing the method of TL-moments ($t = 1$); for more, see, e.g. Elamir & Seheult.

Table 3. *Formulas for TL-moments and their ratios and parameter estimations made by the method of TL-moments of the chosen probability distributions (t = 1).*

Distribution	TL-moments and ratios of TL-moments	Parameter estimation
Normal	$\lambda_1^{(1)} = \mu$ $\lambda_2^{(1)} = 0{,}297\sigma$ $\tau_3^{(1)} = 0$ $\tau_4^{(1)} = 0{,}062$	$\hat{\mu} = l_1^{(1)}$ $\hat{\sigma} = \dfrac{l_2^{(1)}}{0{,}297}$
Logistic	$\lambda_1^{(1)} = \mu$ $\lambda_2^{(1)} = 0{,}500\sigma$ $\tau_3^{(1)} = 0$ $\tau_4^{(1)} = 0{,}083$	$\hat{\mu} = l_1^{(1)}$ $\hat{\sigma} = 2 l_2^{(1)}$
Cauchy	$\lambda_1^{(1)} = \mu$ $\lambda_2^{(1)} = 0{,}698\sigma$ $\tau_3^{(1)} = 0$ $\tau_4^{(1)} = 0{,}343$	$\hat{\mu} = l_1^{(1)}$ $\hat{\sigma} = \dfrac{l_2^{(1)}}{0{,}698}$
Exponential	$\lambda_1^{(1)} = \dfrac{5\alpha}{6}$ $\lambda_2^{(1)} = \dfrac{\alpha}{4}$ $\quad \tau_3^{(1)} = \dfrac{2}{9}$ $\tau_3^{(1)} = \dfrac{2}{9}$ $\quad \tau_4^{(1)} = \dfrac{1}{12}$	$\hat{\alpha} = \dfrac{6 l_1^{(1)}}{5}$

Source: [15]; own research

2.5. Maximum Likelihood Method

Let a random sample of sample size n come from the three-parametric lognormal distribution with a probability density function

$$f(x; \mu, \sigma^2, \theta) = \frac{1}{\sigma \cdot (x-\theta) \cdot \sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{[\ln(x-\theta) - \mu]^2}{2\sigma^2} \right], \qquad x > \theta,$$

$$= 0, \qquad (52)$$

where $-\infty < \mu < \infty$, $\sigma^2 > 0$, $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ are parameters. The three-parametric lognormal distribution is described in

detail, for example, in [4]−[12].

The likelihood function then has the form

$$L(\boldsymbol{x};\mu,\sigma^2,\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i;\mu,\sigma^2,\theta) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^{n/2} \cdot (2\pi)^{n/2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x_i-\theta)} \cdot \exp\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} -\frac{[\ln(x_i-\theta)-\mu]^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}. \quad (53)$$

We determine the natural logarithm of the likelihood function

$$\ln L(\boldsymbol{x};\mu,\sigma^2,\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -\frac{[\ln(x_i-\theta)-\mu]^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{n}{2}\cdot\ln\sigma^2 - \frac{n}{2}\cdot\ln(2\pi) - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\ln(x_i-\theta). \quad (54)$$

We make the first partial derivatives of the likelihood function logarithm according to μ and σ^2 equal to zero, obtaining a system of likelihood equations

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\boldsymbol{x};\mu,\sigma^2,\theta)}{\partial \mu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\ln(x_i-\theta)-\mu]}{\sigma^2} = 0, \quad (55)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\boldsymbol{x};\mu,\sigma^2,\theta)}{\partial \sigma^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\ln(x_i-\theta)-\mu]^2}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{n}{2\sigma^2} = 0. \quad (56)$$

After adjustment we obtain maximum likelihood estimations of parameters μ and σ^2 for the parameter θ

$$\hat{\mu}(\theta) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ln(x_i-\theta)}{n}, \quad (57)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2(\theta) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\ln(x_i-\theta)-\hat{\mu}(\theta)]^2}{n}. \quad (58)$$

If the value of the parameter θ is known, we get maximum likelihood estimates of the remaining two parameters of the three-parametric lognormal distribution using equations (57) and (58). However, if the value of the parameter θ is unknown, the problem is more complicated. It has been proved that if the parameter θ gets closer to min{X1, X2, …, Xn}, then the maximum likelihood approaches infinity. The maximum likelihood method is also often combined with the Cohen method, where the smallest sample value is made equal to $100 \cdot (n+1)^{-1}$% quantile

$$x_{\min}^{V} = \hat{\theta} + \exp(\hat{\mu} + \hat{\sigma} \cdot u_{(n+1)^{-1}}). \quad (59)$$

Equation (59) is then combined with the system of equations (57) and (58).

For the solution of maximum likelihood equations (57) and (58), it is also possible to use θ satisfying the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i-\hat{\theta}) + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{z_i^{\backslash}}{(x_i-\hat{\theta})}}{\hat{\sigma}(\hat{\theta})} = 0, \quad (60)$$

where

$$z_i^{\backslash} = \frac{\ln(x_i-\hat{\theta})-\hat{\mu}(\hat{\theta})}{\hat{\sigma}(\hat{\theta})}, \quad (61)$$

where $\hat{\mu}(\hat{\theta})$ and $\hat{\sigma}(\hat{\theta})$ comply with equations (57) and (58), the parameter θ being replaced by θ. We may also obtain the limits of variances

$$n \cdot D(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{\sigma^2 \cdot \exp(2\mu)}{\omega \cdot \left[\omega \cdot (1+\sigma^2) - 2\sigma^2 - 1\right]}, \quad (62)$$

$$n \cdot D(\hat{\mu}) = \frac{\sigma^2 \cdot [\omega \cdot (1+\sigma^2) - 2\sigma^2]}{\omega \cdot (1+\sigma^2) - 2\sigma^2 - 1}, \quad (63)$$

$$n \cdot D(\hat{\sigma}) = \frac{\sigma^2 \cdot [\omega \cdot (1+\sigma^2) - 1]}{\omega \cdot (1+\sigma^2) - 2\sigma^2 - 1}. \quad (64)$$

3. Results and Discussion

L-moments method used to be employed in hydrology, climatology and meteorology in the research of extreme precipitation, see, e.g. [14], having mostly used smaller data sets. This study presents applications of L-moments and TL-moments to large sets of economic data, Table 4 showing the sample sizes of obtained household sample sets. The research variable is the net annual household income per capita (in CZK) in the Czech Republic (nominal income). The data gathered by the Czech Statistical Office come from the Mikrocenzus statistical investigation covering the years 1992, 1996 and 2002 and EU-SILC survey (The European Union Statistics on Income and Living Conditions) spanning the period 2004-2007. In total, 168 income distributions were analyzed – for all households in the Czech Republic as well as with the use of particular criteria: gender, region (Bohemia and Moravia), social group, municipality size, age and the highest educational attainment. The households are divided into subsets according to their heads – mostly men, Table 4 pointing to significantly larger sample sizes for men than women. The head of household is always a man in two-parent families (a husband-and-wife or cohabitee type), regardless of the economic activity. In lone-parent families (a one-parent-with-children type) and non-family households whose members are related neither by marriage (partnership) nor parent-child relationship, a crucial criterion for determining the head of household is the economic activity, another aspect being the amount of money income of individual household members. The

former criterion also applies in the case of more complex household types, for instance, in joint households of more two-parent families.

Table 4. Sample sizes of income distributions broken down by relatively homogeneous categories.

	Set	Year						
		1992	1996	2002	2004	2005	2006	2007
Gender	Men	12,785	21,590	5,870	3,203	5,456	7,151	8,322
	Women	3,448	6,558	2,103	1,148	2,027	2,524	2,972
	Czech Republic	16,233	28,148	7,973	4,351	7,483	9,675	11,294
Country	Bohemia	9,923	22,684	5,520	2,775	4,692	6,086	7,074
	Moravia	6,310	5,464	2,453	1,576	2,791	3,589	4,220
	Lower employee	4,953	4,963	1,912	1,068	1,880	2,385	2,811
	Self-employed	932	1,097	740	391	649	802	924
	Higher employee	3,975	4,248	2,170	1,080	1,768	2,279	2,627
Social group	Pensioner with s EA	685	594	278	178	287	418	493
	Pensioner without EA	4,822	4,998	2,533	1,425	2,577	3,423	4,063
	Unemployed	189	135	172	131	222	258	251
	0–999 inhabitants	2,458	3,069	999	727	1,164	1,607	1,947
Municipality size	1,000–9,999 inhabitants	4,516	4,471	2,300	1,233	2,297	3,034	3,511
	10,000–99,999 inhabitants	5,574	5,755	2,401	1,508	2,655	3,347	3,947
	100,000 and more inhabitants	3,685	2,853	2,273	883	1,367	1,687	1,889
	To 29 years	1,680	2,809	817	413	627	649	827
Age	From 30 to 39 years	3,035	4,718	1,398	716	1,247	1,620	1,655
	From 40 to 49 years	3,829	6,348	1,446	738	1,249	1,609	1,863
	From 50 to 59 years	2,621	5,216	1,642	919	1,581	2,051	2,391
	From 60 years	5,068	9,057	2,670	1,565	2,779	3,746	4,558
	Primary	9,302	15,891	3,480	553	940	1,183	1,385
Education	Secondary	4,646	3,172	2,493	3,186	5,460	7,168	8,371
	Complete secondary	1,951	6,356	1,129	118	282	266	319
	Tertial	334	2,729	871	494	801	1,058	1,219

Source: Own research

Table 5. Parameter estimations of three-parametric lognormal curves obtained using three various robust methods of point parameter estimation and the value of χ^2 criterion.

Year	Method of TL-moments			Method of L-moments			Maximum likelihood method		
	μ	σ^2	θ	μ	σ^2	θ	μ	σ^2	θ
1992	9.722	0.521	14,881	9.696	0.700	14,491	10.384	0.390	-325
1996	10.334	0.573	25,981	10.343	0.545	25,362	10.995	0.424	52.231
2002	10.818	0.675	40,183	10.819	0.773	37,685	11.438	0.459	73.545
2004	10.961	0.552	39,899	11.028	0.675	33,738	11.503	0.665	7.675
2005	11.006	0.521	40,956	11.040	0.677	36,606	11.542	0.446	-8.826
2006	11.074	0.508	44,941	11.112	0.440	40,327	11.623	0.435	-42.331
2007	11.156	0.472	48,529	11.163	0.654	45,634	11.703	0.421	-171.292
Year	Criterion χ^2			Criterion χ^2			Criterion χ^2		
1992	739.512			811.007			1,227.325		
1996	1,503.878			1,742.631			2,197.251		
2002	998.325			1,535.557			1,060.891		
2004	494.441			866.279			524.478		
2005	731.225			899.245			995.855		
2006	831.667			959.902			1,067.789		
2007	1,050.105			1,220.478			1,199.035		

Source: Own research

Parameters of three-parametric lognormal curves were estimated simultaneously, three robust methods of parametric estimation having been employed – namely those of TL-moments, L-moments and maximum likelihood, their accuracy being compared to each other with the use of a common test criterion

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(n_i - n \pi_i)^2}{n \pi_i}, \qquad (65)$$

where n_i are the observed frequencies in particular income intervals, π_i are theoretical probabilities of a statistical unit belonging to the i-th interval, n is the total sample size of a corresponding statistical set, $n \cdot \pi i$ are theoretical frequencies in particular income intervals, $i = 1, 2, ..., k$, and k is the number of intervals.

However, the appropriateness of a model curve for the income distribution is not a common mathematical and statistical issue encompassing tests of the null hypothesis

H_0: The sample emerges from the assumed theoretical distribution

against the alternative hypothesis

H$_1$: non H$_0$,

since large sample sizes occur frequently in goodness of fit tests in the case of the income distribution, and hence the tests would mostly lead to the rejection of the null hypothesis. This results not only from a high power of the test at a chosen significance level, enabling it to indicate the slightest divergences between the actual income distribution and the model, but also from the test construction itself.

Not focusing, in fact, on small divergences, we are satisfied with a rough agreement of the model with the reality, the model (curve) being simply "borrowed". In this respect, only tentative conclusions can be drawn from the use of the test criterion χ^2. We have to assess the suitability of the model subjectively to some extent, relying on experience and logical analysis.

With only minor exceptions, the TL-moments method produced the most accurate results. L-moments was the second most effective method in more than half of the cases, the differences between this method and that of maximum likelihood not being significant enough as far as the number of cases, when the former gave better results than the latter. Table 5 represents distinctive outcomes for all 168 income distributions, showing the results for the total household sets in the Czech Republic. Apart from the estimated parameter values of the three-parametric lognormal distribution, which were obtained having simultaneously employed TL-moments, L-moments and maximum likelihood methods, Table 5 contains the values of the test criterion (65), indicating that the L-moments method produced – in four out of seven cases – more accurate results than the maximum likelihood method, the most accurate outcomes in all seven cases being produced by the TL-moments method.

For the years 1992, 2005, 2006 and 2007, an estimate of the value of the parameter θ (the beginning of the distribution, theoretical minimum) made by the maximum likelihood method is negative. This, however, may not interfere with good agreement between the model and the real distribution since the curve has initially a close contact with the horizontal axis.

Figures 1−3 allow us to compare the methods in terms of model probability density functions in the given years (1992, 2004 and 2007) for the whole set of all households in the Czech Republic. It is worth pointing out that the scale on the vertical axis in Figure 1 is different from that in Figures 2 and 3 because of better legibility, since the income distribution distinguished itself by more diverse characteristics right after the transformation from the centrally planned to market economy (lower level and variability, higher skewness and kurtosis) than in more recent times. It is clear from the three figures that the methods of TL-moments and L-moments produce very similar results, while the probability density function with the parameters estimated by the maximum likelihood method differs greatly from the model density functions constructed using TL-moments and L-moments methods respectively.


Figure 1. *Model probability density functions of three-parametric lognormal curves in 1992 with parameters estimated using three various robust methods of point parameter estimation.*
[Source: Own research]

Figure 2. *Model probability density functions of three-parametric lognormal curves in 2004 with parameters estimated using three various robust methods of point parameter estimation.*
[Source: Own research]

Figure 3. *Model probability density functions of three-parametric lognormal curves in 2007 with parameters estimated using three various robust methods of point parameter estimation.*
[Source: Own research]

Figure 4. *Development of the model and sample median of net annual household income per capita (in CZK).*
[Source: Own research]

A comparison of the accuracy of the three methods of point parameter estimation is also provided by Figure 4. It shows the development of the sample median and theoretical medians of the lognormal distribution with the parameters estimated using the methods of TL-moments, L-moments and maximum likelihood for the whole set of households in the Czech Republic over the research period. It is also obvious from this figure that the curves indicating the development of theoretical medians of the lognormal distribution with the parameters estimated by TL-moments and L-moments methods fit more tightly to the curve representing the trajectory of the sample median compared to the curve showing the development of the theoretical median of the lognormal distribution with the parameters estimated by the maximum likelihood method.

Figures 5−7 depict the development of model probability density functions of the three-parametric lognormal distribution with the parameters estimated using the three research methods of parameter estimation for the total set of households in the Czech Republic in the analysed period. These figures also indicate that the income distribution in 1992 shows a great difference from income distributions in the following years. We can also observe a certain similarity between the results produced by the methods of TL-moments and L-moments, while the outcomes obtained using the two methods of point parameter estimation diverge considerably from those produced by the maximum likelihood method.

Figures 8−10 represent model relative frequencies of employees (in %) by the bands of gross monthly wages in 2007 obtained using three-parametric lognormal curves with the parameters estimated by TL-moments, L-moments and maximum likelihood methods. These figures also allow a fair comparison of the accuracy of the point parameter estimation methods with Figure 11, where the really observed relative frequencies in particular income intervals obtained from the sample are presented.


Figure 5. *Development of the probability density function of three-parameter lognormal curves with parameters estimated using the method of TL-moments.*
[Source: Own research]

Figure 6. *Development of the probability density function of three-parameter lognormal curves with parameters estimated using the method of TL-moments.*
[Source: Own research]

Figure 7. *Development of the probability density function of three-parameter lognormal curves with parameters estimated using the maximum likelihoodood method.*
[Source: Own research]

Figure 8. *Model ratios of employees by the band of net annual household income per capita with parameters of three-parametric lognormal curves estimated by the method of TL-moments in 2007.*
[Source: Own research]

Figure 9. *Model ratios of employees by the band of net annual household income per capita with parameters of three-parametric lognormal curves estimated by the method of L-moments in 2007.*
[Source: Own research]

Figure 10. *Model ratios of employees by the band of net annual household income per capita with parameters of three-parametric lognormal curves estimated by the maximum likelihood method in 2007.*
[Source: Own research]

Figure 11. *Sample ratios of employees by the band of net annual household income per capita in 2007.*
[Source: Own research]

4. Conclusion

A relatively new class of moment characteristics of probability distributions has been introduced in the present paper. They are the characteristics of the location (level), variability, skewness and kurtosis of probability distributions constructed with the use of L-moments and TL-moments that represent a robust extension of L-moments. The very L-moments were implemented as a robust alternative to classical moments of probability distributions. L-moments and their estimates, however, are lacking in some robust features that are associated with TL-moments.

Sample TL-moments are the linear combinations of sample order statistics assigning zero weight to a predetermined number of sample outliers. They are unbiased estimates of the corresponding TL-moments of probability distributions. Some theoretical and practical aspects of TL-moments are still the subject of both current and future research. The efficiency of TL-statistics depends on the choice of α, for example, $l_1^{(0)}$, $l_1^{(1)}$, $l_1^{(2)}$ have the smallest variance (the highest efficiency) among other estimates for random samples from the normal, logistic and double exponential distribution.

The above methods as well as other approaches, e.g. [16], [17] or [18], can be also adopted for modelling the wage distribution and other economic data analysis.

Acknowledgements

References

[1]   K. Adamowski, "Regional Analysis of Annual Maximum and Partial Duration Flood Data by Nonparametric and L-moment Methods," Journal of Hydrology, 2000, Vol. 229, pp. 219−231. ISSN 0022-1694.

[2]   T. J. Ulrych, D. R.Velis, A. D. Woodbury and M. D. Sacchi, "L-moments and C-moments," Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Asses, 2000, Vol. 14, pp. 50–68. ISSN 1436-3240.

[3]   J. R. M. Hosking, "L-moments: Analysis and Estimation of Distributions Using Linear Combinations of Order Statistics," Journal of the Royal Statistical Society (Series B), 1990, Vol. 52, No. 1, pp. 105–124. ISSN 1467-9868.

[4]   D. Bílková, "Modelling of Wage Distributions Using the Method of L-Moments," Paper presented at AMSE – Applications of Mathematics and Statistics in Economy held on 25–28 August 2010, Demänovská Dolina, pp. 16–30. ISBN 978-80-89438-02-0.

[5]   D. Bílková, "Use of the L-Moments Method in Modeling the Wage Distribution," Paper presented at Aplimat held on 01–04 February 2011, Bratislava, pp. 1471–1481. ISBN 978-80-89313-52-5.

[6]   D. Bílková, "L-Moments and Their Use in Modeling the Distribution of Income and Wage," Paper presented at ISI held on 21–26 August 2011, Dublin, flashdisk, pp. 1–6.

[7]   D. Bílková, "Modeling of Income and Wage Distribution Using the Method of L-Moments of Parameter Estimation," Paper presented at International Days of Statistics and Economics at VŠE held on 22–23 September 2011, Prague, pp. 1–10. ISBN 978-80-86175-72-0.

[8]   D. Bílková, "Three-Parametric Lognormal Distribution and Estimating Its parameters Using the Method of L-Moments," Paper presented at RELIK – Reprodukce lidského kapitálu held on 05–06 December 2011, Prague, CD. ISBN 978-80-86175-75-1.

[9]   D. Bílková, "Estimating Parameters of Lognormal Distribution Using the Method of L-Moments," Research Journal of Economics, Business and ICT, 2011, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 4–9. ISSN 2045-3345.

[10]   D. Bílková, "Modelling of Wage and Income Distributions Using the Method of L-Moments," Journal of Mathematics and System Science, 2012, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 13–19. ISSN 2159-5291.

[11]   D. Bílková, "Lognormal Distribution and Using L-Moment Method for Estimating Its Parameters," International Journal of Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences [online], 2012, Vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 30–44. ISSN 1998-0140. URL: http://www.naun.org/journals/m3as/17-079.pdf.

[12]   D. Bílková, "Lognormal Distribution Parameter Estimating Using L-Moments," Journal of Mathematics and Technology, 2012, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 33–51. ISSN: 2078-0257.

[13]   D. Bílková and I. Malá, I. "Application of the L-Moment Method when Modelling the Income Distribution in the Czech Republic," Austrian Journal of Statistics, 2012, Vol. 41, No. 2, pp. 125–132. ISSN: 1026-597X.

[14]   J. Kyselý and J. Picek, "Regional Growth Curves and Improved Design value Estimates of Extreme Precipitation Events in the Czech Republic," Climate research, 2007, Vol. 33, pp. 243−255. ISSN: 0936-577X.

[15]   E. A. H. Elamir and A. H. Seheult, "Trimmed L-moments," Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, 2003, Vol. 43, No. 3, pp. 299–314. ISSN: 0167-9473.

[16]   L. Marek, "Wage development in the Czech Republic for the past 16 years," Paper presented at AIESA – Budovanie spoločnosti založenej na vedomostiach held on 10–11 November 2011, Bratislava, pp. 1–7. ISBN 978-80-225-3312-6.

[17]   L. Marek and M. Vrabec, "Mixture Normal Density Functions as a Model Wage Distribution," Paper presented at Economic, Marketing and Management held on 19–20 January 2013, Dubai, pp. 69–74. ISBN 978-981-07-5039-8. ISSN 2010-4626.

[18]   M. H. Atyeh and W. Al-Rashed, „Testing the Existence of Integration; Kuwait and Jordan Financial Markets," International Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Sciences, 2013, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 89–94. doi: 10.11648/j.ijefm.20130102.14