Science Discovery
2026, Vol. 14, No. 4, pp. 216–221
https://doi.org/10.11648/j.sd.20261404.19

SciencePG
Science Publishing Group

Research Article

The Study Explores How AI Advancements Are Posing Challenges to Traditional Intellectual Property Laws, Particularly in Copyright and Patent Law

Al-Mamnur Rashid*

School of Law, Zhongnan University of Economic and Law, Wuhan, China

Abstract

This study examines the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on intellectual property (IP) frameworks, with a particular focus on copyright and patent law It highlights the challenges posed by AI-generated content to traditional definitions of authorship, which focus on human creativity. The evolution of AI blurs the lines between human and machine creativity, raising questions about ownership and inventorship, particularly concerning the patentability of AI-driven innovations. The study addresses legal and ethical dilemmas surrounding ownership and liability for AI-generated works, questioning the adequacy of existing IP laws. Using case studies and global regulatory analysis, it reveals the limitations of current intellectual property frameworks in the context of AI innovation. The research concludes with discussions on necessary reforms and policy adjustments to align IP law with advancements in AI technology, ensuring protection for human creators alongside machine-generated innovations. Rapid advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) are challenging traditional intellectual property (IP) law, particularly in the domains of copyright and patent. This study examines how AI-generated works and autonomous inventions complicate legal concepts such as authorship and ownership. It highlights discrepancies between current IP frameworks and the realities of AI-driven innovation, alongside issues of liability, infringement, and training data protection. The research argues for adaptive legal reforms to prevent traditional IP regimes from becoming ineffective, advocating for flexible, technology-neutral approaches to ensure IP law remains effective and fair in the context of AI advancements.

Keywords

Human Creativity, AI-Generated Works, Ethical Dilemmas

1. Introduction

The rise of artificial intelligence (AI) is transforming society, including intellectual property (IP) laws. Generally, IP laws protect human creativity and innovation, but AI-generated content is challenging these principles. This study examines the intersection of AI technology and IP law, analyzing copyright and patent law. It aims to address the legal, ethical, and policy implications of AI-generated works and inventions. The research reviews key cases, legal precedents, and international regulations to understand how different jurisdictions respond to AI's disruptive effects [1]. The study also offers recommendations for legal reform to ensure IP laws keep pace with technological advancements while

*Correspondence: Al-Mamnur Rashid (almamunlaw20@gmail.com)

Received: 18 December 2025; **Accepted:** 9 January 2026; **Published:** 27 June 2026

balancing human creators and innovator. Artificial Intelligence (AI) is a transformative technology that simulates human intelligence processes by computer systems, enabling tasks like learning, reasoning, and self-correction. It has significantly impacted various industries and daily life, including visual perception, speech recognition, decision-making, and language translation. Narrow AI, designed for specific tasks, and General AI, with generalized cognitive abilities. Narrow AI excels in their designated domains but lacks the ability to perform tasks outside of their domains. General AI, largely theoretical, has profound implications for society, including legal and ethical considerations. Machine Learning (ML) and Deep Learning (DLP) are subsets of ML, enabling systems to improve performance over time [2].

AI, including Natural Language Processing and Computer Vision, is revolutionizing various industries like healthcare, finance, entertainment, media, manufacturing, and transportation. It aids in disease diagnosis, personalized treatment plans, fraud detection, and risk management. AI's role in creation and innovation challenges traditional notions of creativity and inventorship, raising questions about authorship and ownership. AI's rapid evolution, including reinforcement learning, unsupervised learning, and explainable AI, pushes the boundaries of machine capabilities and challenges existing intellectual property frameworks. As AI becomes more sophisticated, it will drive economic growth and new forms of expression, but it also requires a robust legal infrastructure to address AI-generated challenges [3].

2. Importance of Intellectual Property (IP) in the AI Era

Intellectual property (IP) is crucial in the AI era, as it encourages innovation, protects creative outputs, and ensures fair competition. IP protections like patents, copyrights, and trade secrets incentivize investment in AI technologies, allowing innovators to benefit from their work without competition. IP frameworks must adapt to protect AI-generated works while addressing ownership and rights issues. They also ensure fair competition and market dynamics by preventing unauthorized use and promoting ethical business practices. IP protections also facilitate knowledge sharing and collaboration in the AI community. Addressing ethical and legal challenges, such as bias in AI-generated works and potential monopolistic practices, is essential for responsible AI development. IP protections drive economic growth by promoting commercialization, creating jobs, and generating revenue. Navigating global IP landscapes is essential for fostering innovation, protecting AI-generated creations, ensuring fair market dynamics, and supporting economic growth [4].

3. Scope and Purpose of the Research

This research focuses on the intersection of artificial intelligence (AI) and intellectual property (IP) law, with particular emphasis on how advancements in AI are challenging traditional copyright and patent frameworks. As AI systems become more sophisticated, they are capable of generating original content and innovations autonomously, which raises critical questions about authorship, inventorship, ownership, and liability.

The research explores the legal, ethical, and policy challenges AI presents to traditional intellectual property (IP) frameworks, focusing on copyright and patent law. It identifies gaps in current IP laws regarding AI-generated content, proposes legal reforms such as revising authorship criteria and creating new IP rights, and contributes to ongoing debates in the field. Additionally, the study examines ethical considerations related to AI as a creator and provides a global perspective on diverse regional responses to AI-related challenges [5].

4. Traditional Intellectual Property (TIP) Frameworks

Traditional Intellectual Property (TIP) frameworks encompass legal structures designed to protect creations of the mind, ensuring that creators can benefit from their innovations and maintain control over their use. These frameworks are fundamental to fostering innovation, creativity, and economic growth by incentive's individuals and organizations to invest in new ideas and technologies. The primary categories within traditional IP frameworks include patents, trademarks, copyrights, and trade secrets A patent grants exclusive rights for inventions, lasting 20 years, requiring the invention to be new, non-obvious, and useful. It involves application filing, examination, and grant. A trademark distinguishes goods/services through words, logos, or symbols, preventing confusion and enabling legal action against infringement, with a similar application process. Copyright protects original works, covering their expression, with rights to prevent unauthorized use; protection starts upon creation and may require registration. Trade secrets consist of confidential information providing a competitive advantage, protected as long as secrecy is maintained. International frameworks like WIPO and various conventions help secure intellectual property rights globally.

Traditional IP frameworks face challenges in balancing protection and public interest, global enforcement issues, counterfeiting and piracy, adapting to technological advances, and promoting open source and creative commons. They must balance proprietary IP models with open licensing frameworks, ensuring economic growth and social equity by encouraging investment in research and development and ensuring equitable access to innovations.

5. Ownership and Liability Issues in AI-Generated Works

AI has revolutionized various sectors, including the creation of art, literature, and music. However, AI-generated works present unique challenges regarding ownership and liability, raising questions about authorship, copyright, and accountability. Legal frameworks in the US, EU, and other countries often default to human creativity, with AI-generated works often defaulting to human authorship requirements. Liability assignments for AI-generated content include developers for negligence, users for prompting AI to generate infringing content, and shared responsibility in collaborative settings. Policymakers should update legal frameworks and foster global collaboration to ensure consistent standards

6. Case Law and International Regulation

The legal landscape surrounding AI-generated works is rapidly evolving, with courts and international bodies grappling to address the complexities introduced by artificial intelligence. This section delves into notable case law and international regulations that shape the ownership and liability frameworks for AI-generated content [6].

A. The "Monkey Selfie" Case (Naruto vs. Slater)

The court ruled that copyrights are exclusively for humans, not animals or non-u man entities, guiding the legal perspective on AI-generated works and recognizing authors.

B. BMG Rights Management v. Cox Communications

The case involved unauthorized sharing of copyrighted music via Cox Communications network, impacting AI-generated content distribution and copyright infringement, especially in training datasets.

C. Emerging Trends in Case Law

Courts are increasingly tasked with determining AI authorship, liability for copyright infringement, and addressing cases of AI-generated content causing computational damage or spreading harmful information, focusing on the accountability of various parties involved [7].

6.1. International Regulations

1) *Overview:* The directive updates EU copyright laws, addressing issues like text and data mining, which are pertinent to AI training.
2) *Relevance to AI:* It provides exceptions for text and data mining for research purposes, impacting how AI models are trained on copyrighted material.

In April 2021, the EU proposed a comprehensive regulatory framework for AI development and deployment, requiring stricter regulations, transparency, and consideration of intellectual property, impacting ownership and liability.

6.2. Digital Services Act (DSA) and Digital Markets Act (DMA)

These acts regulate online platforms, including those hosting AI-generated content, and impose obligations on platforms to manage harmful content, potentially impacting liability distributions.

United States

1) Human Authorship Requirement: Current policies stipulate that only works with human authorship are eligible for copyright protection.
2) Impact on AI: AI-generated works without significant human input are not protected, affecting ownership and commercialization.
3) Human Authorship Requirement: Similar to the U.S. and EU, UK law requires human authorship for copyright protection.
4) AI-Generated Works: AI-generated content without human intervention is not eligible for copyright.

6.3. AI Regulation White Papers and Strategies

The UK government has released white papers outlining strategies for AI governance, emphasizing ethical use and accountability. Influences how AI-generated works are regulated, focusing on transparency and responsible AI deployment.

6.4. China

1) AI Governance Principles: China emphasizes state control and ethical guidelines for AI, focusing on alignment with national interests.
2) Intellectual Property: China is actively updating its IP laws to address AI-generated content, balancing innovation with protection of existing rights.

6.5. Canada

Updates copyright laws to address digital and technological advancements. While not specific to AI, it sets the groundwork for addressing AI-generated works in the future.

6.6. Australia

Overview: Ongoing discussions on how to adapt copyright laws to technological changes, including AI.

1) Potential Changes: May include provisions for AI-generated content and clarify authorship requirements.
2) OECD Principles on AI: Promotes responsible stewardship of trustworthy AI, emphasizing transparency, accountability, and fairness.
3) Impact on Regulations: Influences member countries to adopt AI policies that align with these principles, affecting ownership and liability frameworks.

6.7. United Nations (UN)

1) *UNESCO's Recommendations on AI Ethics:* Provides a global framework for ethical AI development and deployment.
2) *Relevance to AI-Generated Works:* Encourages member states to incorporate ethical considerations into their national AI regulations, impacting ownership and liability norms [8].

6.8. Regulatory Harmonization Challenges

1) *Divergent Laws:* Different countries have varying definitions and requirements for authorship and liability, leading to inconsistencies in global AI-generated content management.
2) *International Cooperation:* Efforts by organizations like WIPO and OECD aim to harmonize regulations, but achieving consensus remains challenging due to diverse legal traditions and economic priorities [9].

7. Impact on Innovation and Commerce

Protective regulations may safeguard intellectual property, but risk stifling innovation through heavy compliance burdens for AI developers. Conversely, flexible frameworks can nurture innovation by balancing advancements in AI with essential ownership and liability issues. The convergence of AI technology with intellectual property and liability law presents intricate challenges that demand adaptable legal frameworks. Current case law emphasizes human authorship, while international regulations are increasingly addressing the complexities of AI-generated works. Continued dialogue among global stakeholders is vital for establishing equitable ownership and liability standards that harmonize innovation with rights protection and accountability.

8. Ethical and Policy Considerations

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) presents significant ethical and policy challenges, particularly in the realm of intellectual property (IP) law. As AI systems become more autonomous and capable of generating creative works and inventions, traditional legal frameworks face difficulties in adapting to the new dynamics of authorship, inventorship, and ownership. This section explores the key ethical dilemmas and policy considerations that arise from the intersection of AI and IP law, focusing on issues such as the recognition of AI as a creator, the allocation of ownership rights, liability concerns, and the societal implications of AI-driven innovation.

8.1. Authorship and Inventorship

One of the most pressing ethical questions revolves around whether AI systems should be recognized as authors or inventors of the works or inventions they generate. Traditional IP frameworks are built on the assumption that creativity and inventiveness are uniquely human traits, with legal rights granted to human creators and inventors. Recognizing AI as an author raises ethical questions about human creativity and the value of AI systems. Granting AI the same rights as humans could diminish this status, while refusing to recognize AI-generated content could stifle innovation. Policymakers must decide whether to extend legal rights to AI systems or establish new categories for AI-generated works.

The question of ownership is central to the ethical and policy debate surrounding AI and intellectual property. If AI-generated content and inventions are eligible for IP protection, determining who owns the rights to these outputs becomes critical [10].

8.2. Ethical Dilemma

Should the developer of the AI system, the user who operates it, or even the AI itself (through a proxy) be granted ownership of the work or invention? Each of these possibilities raises complex ethical issues. For instance, giving ownership to the developer may unfairly limit the rights of the user who prompted the creation, while granting ownership to the user might undermine the significant role played by the AI's developer [11].

8.3. Policy Consideration

Current IP frameworks typically grant rights to the natural person who creates or invents. However, if AI is increasingly responsible for these outputs, policymakers must explore alternative models for allocating ownership. This might involve shared ownership between developers and users or the creation of new licensing schemes that account for the collaborative nature of AI-generated works. Additionally, policymakers may need to address how ownership rights impact the economic benefits of AI-driven innovation, ensuring that the rewards are fairly distributed among all stakeholders involved in the creative process [12].

8.4. Liability for IP Infringements

AI's ability to autonomously generate content and inventions raises questions about who is liable when IP laws are violated, either through infringement or the misuse of existing copyrighted or patented materials. AI systems infringing on copyrights raise ethical questions about legal person-hood, as it would require assigning legal person-hood to machines, raising ethical concerns about non-human entities in society. Policymakers should establish clear rules for AI-generated IP infringements, requiring developers or users to be held accountable or introduce new insurance schemes or liability frameworks to avoid legal ambiguity and protect all parties [13].

8.5. Access to AI-Generated Knowledge and Innovation

AI has the potential to produce vast amounts of new knowledge, inventions, and creative works at unprecedented rates. However, concerns about how this knowledge is controlled and accessed by the public are central to the ethical and policy debates surrounding AI and IP law. The ethical dilemma arises from the exclusive protection of AI-generated works by IP rights, potentially creating monopolistic control over new knowledge, limiting public access and societal progress. Policymakers must balance protecting AI investors' rights with ensuring AI-generated knowledge is accessible to the public, considering open-access repositories, flexible licensing schemes, and public finding's influence on access decisions.

The ethical and policy implications of AI and intellectual property law are multifaceted, requiring careful consideration of authorship, liability, access to AI-generated knowledge, and societal impacts. Policymakers must develop flexible, forward-looking legal frameworks to protect human creators, promote innovation, and safeguard ethical principles [14].

9. Reforming IP Laws to Address AI-Related Challenges

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies is fundamentally transforming creative and inventive processes across various industries. As AI systems become increasingly capable of generating original content and inventions, traditional intellectual property (IP) laws, particularly in the realms of copyright and patent law, face unprecedented challenges. Existing IP frameworks were predominantly designed with human creators and inventors in mind, rendering them ill-suited to address the complexities introduced by AI-generated works. This section outlines key areas where IP laws require reform to effectively manage AI-related challenges and proposes strategic approaches to modernize these legal frameworks.

Reforming intellectual property laws to address AI-related challenges is imperative to ensure that legal frameworks remain relevant and effective in the face of technological advancements. By redefining authorship and inventorship, establishing clear ownership and rights attribution mechanisms, enhancing international harmonization, promoting ethical AI development, and leveraging technological solutions, policymakers can create a robust and adaptable IP landscape. These reforms will not only protect the rights of human creators and inventors but also foster an environment conducive to innovation and the responsible development of AI technologies. Collaborative efforts among global stakeholders, coupled with proactive legal adaptation, are essential to navigate the complexities of AI-generated works and to uphold the integrity and purpose of intellectual property laws in the modern era [15].

10. Conclusion

The study highlights the significant impact of AI on intellectual property (IP) laws, especially in copyright and patent domains. Current laws, which emphasize human authorship, create uncertainty regarding the protection of AI-generated content and ownership issues, particularly with joint authorship. There is a pressing need for reform to create clear guidelines for AI-assisted work. Global collaboration is crucial for harmonizing regulations, supported by technological solutions like block chain and smart contracts for compliance. Ethical standards must also be integrated to ensure transparency and accountability. The intersection of AI and IP law presents challenges and opportunities that require legal structures to reflect AI's role while safeguarding creators' rights. A proactive approach involving reform, collaboration, and ethical considerations is essential for adapting IP laws to remain effective in the age of AI.

Abbreviations

AI	Artificial Intelligent
IP	Intellectual Property
WIPO	World Intellectual Property Organization
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
UN	United Nations
DSA	Digital Services Act
DMA	Digital Markets Act
PCT	Patent Cooperation Treaty
TRIPS	Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights
TIP	Traditional Intellectual Property

Author Contributions

Al-Mamnur Rashid: Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

[1] Awasthy, D., Bishnoi, A. and Meena, R., 2024, November. AI and Intellectual Property Law: Challenges and Opportunities in Digital Age. In 2024 International Conference on Intelligent & Innovative Practices in Engineering & Management (IIPEM) (pp. 1-6).

[2] Farhad, M. A. and Zakir, M. H., 2025. Adapting legal horizons in reshaping intellectual property law for the artificial intelligence revolution. AI and Ethics, 5(3), pp. 2307-2321.

[3] Rosati, E., 2017. The Monkey Selfie case and the concept of authorship: an EU perspective. Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 12(12), pp. 973-977.

[4] Zazi, J., 2018. BMG Rights Mgmt.(US) LLC v. Cox Communs., Inc. Intell. Prop. & Tech. LJ, 23, p. 49.

[5] Nikolinakos, N. T., 2023. EU policy and legal framework for Artificial intelligence, Robotics and related Technologies-the AI Act. Springer International Publishing AG.

[6] Chiarella, M. L., 2023. Digital markets act (DMA) and digital services act (DSA): New rules for the EU digital environment. Athens JL, 9, p. 33.

[7] Sloane, M. and Wüllhorst, E., 2025. A systematic review of regulatory strategies and transparency mandates in AI regulation in Europe, the United States, and Canada. Data & Policy, 7, p. e11.

[8] Unnikrishnan, A. (2024). Analyzing the impact of emerging technologies on intellectual property rights (IPR): a comprehensive study on the challenges and opportunities in the digital age. Law and world, 10(29), 66-79.

[9] Challapalli, S., 2023. Benefits and constraints associated with the harmonization of financial regulations: An overview. Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting, 23(15), pp. 49-56.

[10] O'Connor, S. M., 2011. Hired to Invent vs. Work Made for Hire: Resolving the Inconsistency among Rights of Corporate Personhood: Authorship, and Inventorship. Seattle UL Rev., 35, p. 1227.

[11] Griffin, T. A., Green, B. P. and Welie, J. V., 2025. The ethical wisdom of AI developers. AI and Ethics, 5(2), pp. 1087-1097.

[12] Helfer, L. R., 2006. Toward a human rights framework for intellectual property. UC davis l. rev., 40, p. 971.

[13] Rački Marinković, A., 2024. Liability for AI-related IP infringements in the European Union. Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice, 19(10), pp. 784-792.

[14] Stanikzai, M. E. and Mittal, E., 2025. Leveraging AI-generated and human-generated content for maximized user engagement in contentpreneurs' innovation and creativity. Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, 14(1), p. 91.

[15] Sharma, S., 2024. Redefining Liability: Intellectual Property Challenges in the Age of AI. LawFoyer International Journal of Doctrinal Legal Rsch., 2, p. 316.