

Research Article

Determinants of Non-Performing Loan: The Case of Some Selected Private Commercial Banks in Ethiopia

Abubaker Demssie¹, Abebe Tilahun Kassaye^{2, *} 

¹Department of Accounting and Finance, College of Finance, Management and Development, Ethiopian Civil Service University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

²Department of Public Financial Management and Accounting, Ethiopian Civil Service University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Abstract

This study empirically investigates the determinants of non-performing loans (NPLs) in selected private commercial banks in Ethiopia, focusing on both bank-specific and macroeconomic factors. Employing an explanatory research design with a quantitative approach, the study analyses panel data collected from twelve private commercial banks over a ten-year period from 2012 to 2021. Data analysis was conducted using EViews 10 software, incorporating descriptive statistics, correlation matrices, and multiple linear regression models with both fixed and random effects. The findings reveal that exchange rates exert a positive and statistically significant influence on NPLs. Conversely, income diversification, capital adequacy, loan growth rate, and the loan-to-asset ratio are found to have significant negative effects on NPLs. The study is limited in scope to private commercial banks, excluding public banks and non-bank financial institutions, and relies solely on secondary data, which may omit relevant qualitative factors. Future research could address these limitations by expanding the sample and incorporating primary data for a more comprehensive understanding. This study adds value by offering context-specific insights into the Ethiopian banking sector, filling gaps in existing research, and providing practical recommendations for policymakers and banking professionals to manage and reduce NPLs, thereby supporting financial stability in emerging economies.

Keywords

Non-Performing Loans, Bank-Specific Factors, Macroeconomic Factors, Private Commercial Banks, Ethiopia

1. Introduction

Bank loans are agreements between creditors and debtors, that is, between banks that lend money and other legal individuals that borrow it, with the promise of a future return of the principal plus interest [6, 11, 43].

An increase in non-performing loans (NPLs) in bank lending is a key warning sign of a currency crisis. Governments and banks have a lot of control over NPLs now that the global financial crisis is over because they are linked to

banking system failures and crises [7, 29].

In Ethiopia, commercial banks have significantly expanded their loan accessibility during the previous two decades [20, 40]. However, data indicates that Ethiopian commercial banks experienced substantial financial fragility, as seen by an excessive amount of NPLs [27, 38, 39]. Recently, NPLs have shown significant improvement, falling to an average of 5% [33, 41]. However, NPLs remain high when compared to

*Corresponding author: abeбетile@gmail.com (Abebe Tilahun Kassaye)

Received: 14 July 2025; **Accepted:** 24 July 2025; **Published:** 13 August 2025



Copyright: © The Author(s), 2025. Published by Science Publishing Group. This is an **Open Access** article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

developing-country banks such as Namibia, Mozambique, and Uganda [47]. As a result, the focus of this study is on the primary causes of non-performing loans in the context of Ethiopian commercial banks.

Specific Objectives

In line with the above general objective of the study, the following specific objectives are developing:

- 1) To examine the impact of bank-specific variables on the NPLs of private commercial banks in Ethiopia;
- 2) To examine the impact of macroeconomic variables on the NPLs of private commercial banks in Ethiopia;
- 3) To examine the extent of the relationship that exists between bank-specific variables, macroeconomic variables, and the non-performing loans of private commercial banks in Ethiopia.

2. Literature Review

Researchers have identified various elements that affect the NPLs, including income diversification, capital adequacy, loan growth, loan-to-asset ratio, lending rate, and exchange rate. However, the relationship between NPLs and these factors is not clear. Some researchers concluded that these factors have positive relationships, while others reject their results.

2.1. Bank Specific Factors

- 1) *Income diversification*: Portfolio theory suggests that diversifying portfolios can minimize firm-specific risk by compensating losses in certain products with gains in others [9, 24, 47]. However, scholars argue that revenue diversification doesn't guarantee low NPLs due to excessive operational components.

H_1 : Income diversification index has significant and negative relationship with NPLs of private commercial banks in Ethiopia.

- 2) *Capital Adequacy*: refers to the amount of capital needed by banks to withstand risks such as credit, market, and operational risks in order to absorb potential losses and protect the bank's debtors. A bank's capital adequacy is a measure of its overall financial strength. The higher the capital adequacy ratio, the greater the amount of protection provided to depositors, and it is crucial for maintaining the banking system's soundness since it acts as a buffer against panic, bank runs, and other dangers [1, 13, 35].

H_2 : There is a significant negative relationship between capital adequacy and the NPLs of private commercial banks in Ethiopia.

- 3) *Loan Growth*: Banks should focus on lending within their trade area and avoid lending outside of it. Emphasizing loan growth can negatively impact credit quality. Loan officers should avoid lending to marginal

borrowers, limited expertise, or geographic areas without market presence [16, 37, 42]. Good loan supervision can reduce credit risk and improve loan growth. Credit risk is crucial for bank performance, and loan growth is related to nonperformance loans [21, 35, 38].

HP_3 : There is a significant positive relationship between loan growth of a bank and the NPLs of private commercial banks in Ethiopia.

- 4) *Loan to Asset Ratio*: It is the ratio between the total loan amount and the total assets. A higher loan-to-assets ratio represents a high credit level and an increasing chance of credit risk. Therefore, a positive coefficient of loan-to-asset ratio is expected [10, 36, 39]. The study in Ethiopia and Sri Lanka found a significant positive association between non-performing loans and the loans-to-assets ratio [8, 14, 22].

H_4 : The loan-to asset ratio has a significant and negative relationship with the NPL of private commercial banks in Ethiopia.

2.2. Macroeconomic Factors


- 5) *Lending Rates*: Is a significant economic determinant of NPL. There is empirical evidence of a positive relationship between lending rates and NPLs. Banks anticipate normal loan portfolio performance in a healthy economy, as only a small percentage of loans default, and interest rates positively correlate with NPLs and bad loans [14, 18, 45]. A higher interest rate leads to even more adverse selection in this general situation; that is, a higher interest rate increases the possibility that the lender is lending to a risky credit risk, ultimately increasing NPLs [6, 44-46].

H_5 : There is a significant positive relationship between Lending rate and NPLs of private commercial banks in Ethiopia.

- 6) *Exchange Rate*: A change in the exchange rate, like inflation, can affect borrowers' debt service capacity through several routes, and its impact on NPLs can be positive or negative [4, 5, 12]. Currency depreciation can boost export-orientated enterprises' competitiveness by reducing domestic currency value and increasing their debt-servicing capabilities. However, it can negatively impact import-orientated firms, as their production costs are covered in less valuable domestic currency and revenue is collected in more valuable foreign currency [17, 24, 34].

H_6 : There is a significant negative relationship between the exchange rate and the NPLs of private commercial banks in Ethiopia.

2.3. Conceptual Frame Work



Source: The conceptual framework of the study adopted from (Amin et al., 2021).

Figure 1. Conceptual framework.

3. Methodology

3.1. Research Design

The researcher utilized quantitative research methods to construct an empirical model and hypothesize its linear relationship between determinants and dependent variable.

3.2. Sources and Types of Data

The study utilized secondary data from audited financial statements from 2012 to 2021, focusing on the National Bank of Ethiopia's financial statements. The researcher utilized panel data, combining cross-sectional and time series data, for reliable model parameter estimates [15, 30].

3.3. Population and Sample Size

The target population of the study includes all private commercial banks in Ethiopia, registered with the NBE. Twelve private commercial banks (Awash International Bank, Dashen Bank, Bank of Abyssinia, Wegegana Bank, Hibret Bank, Nib International Bank, Cooperative Bank of Oromia, Lion International Bank, Oromia International Bank, Zemen Bank, Buna International Bank and Berhan International Bank) were selected using purposive sampling method.

3.4. Model Specifications

The researcher used a panel data model to investigate the relationship between non-performing loans and income diversification, capital adequacy ratio, loan growth rate, loan-to-asset ratio, lending rate, and exchange rate, utilizing

data from various empirical studies and utilizing fixed effects and random effect models.

The regression model for this investigation is estimated as follows:

$$NPL_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ID_{it} + \beta_2 CAR_{it} + \beta_3 LGR_{it} + \beta_4 LAR_{it} + \beta_5 LDR_{it} + \beta_6 EXR_{it} + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Whereas the cross-section denotes the time-series dimension 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 6 represent the estimated coefficient for specific bank i at time t . 0 = the intercept, NPL = non-performing-loan, ID = income diversification, CA = capital adequacy, LGR = loan growth rate, LAR = loan-to-ratio, LDR = lending rate, EXR is the level of the exchange rate, and ε is the error term.

3.5. Data Analysis Methods

The study collects data from multiple sources and analyzes it using statistical techniques. Using descriptive statistics and regression, the study calculates patterns and determines the importance of explanatory variables in influencing commercial banks in Ethiopia.

4. Empirical Results and Discussions

Diagnostic Test

The researcher used E-Views 10 software for statistical analysis and conducted diagnostic tests to verify OLS assumptions. The panel data model was run using random and fixed effect models, and multicollinearity, heteroscedasticity, normality, and autocorrelation tests were conducted to ensure the model met the classical linear regression model assumptions.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics.

	NPL	ID	CAR	LGR	LAR	LDR	EXR
Mean	0.034010	0.034336	0.139614	4.07420	0.57661	5.9180	0.343208
Median	0.033355	0.035341	0.137580	4.07580	0.58100	5.3900	0.345367
Maximum	0.057200	0.053251	0.199800	4.74250	0.92300	8.0000	0.529487
Minimum	0.010700	0.015646	0.097371	3.25720	0.26900	4.0000	0.175511
Std. Dev.	0.010954	0.009205	0.022697	0.355367	0.147598	1.421462	0.081027
Observations	120	120	120	120	120	120	120

Source: E-views-10 software output (2023)

As it can be seen from above [Table 1](#), for the total sample, the mean of NPLs was 3.4% with a minimum of 1.07% and a maximum of 5.72%. This indicates that, from the total loans that EPCBs disbursed, an average of 3.34% were being default or uncollected over the sample period. The lowest NPLs ratio that ECBs experienced over the sample period was 1.07%. On the other extreme, the highest NPLs ratio of EPCBs was 5.72% which was in excess of the average 5% NPLs set by national bank of Ethiopia. The disparity between the minimum and the maximum of NPLs indicate the margin that NPLs ratio of EPCBs ranged over the sample period. The standard deviation (0.010954) of NPLs also shows the existence of low variation among EPCBs in terms of their loan recovering capacity as compared to other.

As can be seen from the above table for the total sample, the mean of income diversification, as measured by the ratio of non-interest income to total assets, was 3.43%, with a minimum of 1.57% and a maximum of 5.33%. This indicates that there are banks that earn income other than interest income with a maximum and minimum value. The disparity between the minimum 1.57% and the maximum 5.33% of income diversification indicates the margin over which the income diversification ratio of Ethiopian private commercial banks (EPCBs) ranged over the sample period. The standard deviation (0.009205) of income diversification also shows the existence of low variation among EPCBs in terms of their income generation other than interest as compared to others.

The capital adequacy ratio shows the proportion of the owner's equity to total assets. The mean value for the capital adequacy ratio was 13.96%, whereas the maximum level was 19.98% and the minimum one was 9.74%, with a standard deviation of 2.27%. The average amount of capital adequacy is greater than the minimum capital requirement of 8% of the NBE, showing that EPCBs have the ability to bear losses resulting from loan default.

LG of Ethiopian private commercial banks have a minimum of 17.55% growth rate, which shows it has no change on consecutive years, and the maximum of 52.94% with the

third highest standard deviation of 8.103%, which implies that average annual loan growth has great variation in EPCBs. Among bank-specific variables employed in this study, LGR had a higher standard deviation, which was 8.103%, with a minimum of 17.55% (logarithm result) and a maximum of 52.94%.

As it can be seen from the above table, for the total sample, the mean loan asset ratio, measured by the total loan to asset ratio, was 57.66%, with a minimum of 26.9% and a maximum of 92.3%. This indicates that there are banks that have incurred collateral value so as to achieve improved credit risk management through adequate loan screening, monitoring, and control of borrowers with maximum and minimum value. Hence, it can be concluded that an increase in the loan-to-asset ratio of Ethiopian commercial banks can enhance the loan quality of banks and ultimately reduce the probability of NPLs. The standard deviation (0.147598) of the loan-to-asset ratio also shows the existence of low variation among EPCBs in terms of their outsourced resources other than payment as compared to others.

As can be seen from the above table, the exchange rate has a mean value of 34.32 birr, a minimum value of 17.55 birr, and a maximum value of 52.94 birr. This result tells us that the depreciation of the Ethiopian birr against the USD dollar was very high during the period 2012-2021.

As the above [Table 1](#) showed, for the total sample, the mean lending rate, as measured by the average lending rate, was 5.918000, with a minimum of 4 and a maximum of 8. This indicates that the bank's maximum lending rate was 8 and the minimum was 4. This disparity between the minimum 4 and the maximum 8 of the lending rate indicates the margin over which the lending rate of EPCBs ranged over the sample period. The standard deviation (1.421462) of the lending rate also showed the existence of high variation over the sampled period. Thus, it can be concluded that the macroeconomic variables were relatively stable over the sample periods as compared to bank-specific variables, with the exception of some instability in the interest rate.

Table 2. Result of Hausmann Tests.

Correlated Random Effects - Hausman Test			
Equation: Untitled			
Test cross-section random effects			
Test Summary	Chi-Sq. Statistic	Chi-Sq. d.f.	Prob.
Cross-section random	0.000000	6	1.0000
The cross-sectional test variance is invalid. Hausman statistic set to zero.			

Source: E-views-10 software output (2023)

The Hausmann test results indicate that a random effect model is more suitable for this study, as the P-value of 1.0000 is over 0.05, indicating its validity.

Table 3. Regression Result.

Dependent Variable: NPL				
Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section random effects)				
Date: 05/16/23 Time: 21:12				
Sample: 2012 2021				
Periods included: 10				
Cross-sections included: 12				
Total panel (balanced) observations: 120				
Swamy and Arora estimator of component variances				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	0.091315	0.011761	7.764351	0.0000
ID	-0.372448	0.076713	-4.855105	0.0000
CAR	-0.090276	0.030948	-2.917036	0.0043
LGR	-0.010839	0.002063	-5.254786	0.0000
LAR	-0.013979	0.005153	-2.712933	0.0077
LDR	0.000743	0.000438	1.698668	0.0921
EXR	0.046356	0.009116	5.085337	0.0000
Effects Specification			S.D.	Rho
Cross-section random			0.002612	0.1455
Idiosyncratic random			0.006331	0.8545
Weighted Statistics				
R-squared	0.648398	Mean dependent var		0.020688
Adjusted R-squared	0.629729	S.D. dependent var		0.010223
S.E. of regression	0.006220	Sum squared resid		0.004372
F-statistic	34.73102	Durbin-Watson stat		1.738468

Dependent Variable: NPL

Prob (F-statistic)	0.000000		
	Unweighted Statistics		
R-squared	0.660505	Mean dependent var	0.034010
Sum squared resid	0.004847	Durbin-Watson stat	1.568077

Source: E-views-10 software output (2023)

Income diversification: As the above Table 3 showed, the mean value of income diversification, which was measured by the ratio of non-interest income to total assets, is -0.372448, and its p-value is also 0.0000. This shows that when income diversification decrease by one NPL ratio increase by 0.37, keeping others constant. Based on the result, the study hypothesis is not rejected, and the result is also consistent with the findings of the previous study [5, 6, 9].

Capital Adequacy Ratio: As indicated in Table 3 above, the coefficient of capital adequacy (measured by equity to total asset) and the coefficient of beta are -0.090276 with a p-value of 0.0043. This concludes that there is a negative and statistically significant relationship between capital adequacy and NPLs reported by the sampled private commercial banks in Ethiopia. This means that if capital adequacy ratio decrease by 1%, the non-performing loans of private commercial banks will increase by 0.0903, keeping others constant. This finding was consistent with previous studies, and found that capital adequacy has a negative and statistically significant relationship with the NPLs of private commercial banks [26, 28, 40].

Loan growth: As indicated above in the regression result, a variable used to capture a bank's loan growth rate had a coefficient estimate of -0.010839 and was statistically significant at the 1% significance level (P-value = 0.0000). This means that if loan growth rate decrease by 1%, the non-performing loans of private commercial banks will increase by 0.0108, keeping others constant. This finding was consistent with previous studies, for instance that found loan growth rate has a negative and statistically significant relationship with the NPLs of private commercial banks [23, 32, 47].

Loan to Asset Ratio: Table 3 showed that the coefficient of loan to asset ratio is -0.014. The coefficient indicates that there is significant and negative relationship between loan to asset ratio and Ethiopian private commercial bank NPL even at 1% significant level. This means that when capital loan to asset ratio decrease by 1%, the non-performing loans of private commercial banks will increase by 0.014, keeping others constant. This result was consistent with earlier research [19, 20]. However, the beta coefficient is different from the early study results [31, 39]. The loan growth rate has a positive and statistically significant relationship with the NPLs of private commercial banks [22, 36].

Lending Rate: as indicated in Table 3 lending rate has insignificant relationship with nonperforming loans of private

commercial banks in Ethiopia.

Exchange rate: as indicate in the Table 3, there is a positive association between the birr-to-dollar exchange rate and nonperforming loans. This indicates that exchange rate increased by 1%, the non-performing loans ratio of private commercial banks increases by 0.04, keeping others constant. The literature on the relationship of the exchange rate produces mixed results. The currency rate and non-performing loans have a favorable association. An increase in exchange rates can have a negative impact on the loan payment capacity of export-oriented firms [34, 36]. It can also have a positive impact on the loan payment capacity of borrowers who borrow in foreign currency. The relationship between nominal exchange rate and non-performing loans is indeterminate [2, 3, 25].

5. Conclusions

This research aimed to analyze the determinants of Non-Performing Assets (NPLs) in Ethiopian Private Commercial Banks (EPCBs) using a quantitative approach. Data from twelve banks was analyzed using descriptive statistics and multiple linear regression analysis from 2012 to 2021, comparing internal and external determinants.

Bank-specific variables like income diversification, capital adequacy, loan growth rate, and loan-to-asset ratio strongly negatively impact NPLs in Ethiopian private commercial banks, indicating a correlation between these factors. The regression results show a positive and significant linear relationship between macroeconomic variable exchange rate and non-performing loans of Ethiopian private commercial banks.

Abbreviations

CAR	Capital Adequacy Ratio
EXR	Exchange Rate
EPCB	Ethiopian Private Commercial Banks
ID	Income Diversification
LAR	Loan to Asset Ratio
LDR	Lending Rate
LGR	Loan Growth Rate
NPL	Non-Performing Loan

Author Contributions

Abubaker Demssie: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Resources, Writing – original draft

Abebe Tilahun Kassaye: Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Abdelbary, A. (2019). Changing The Game; New Framework of Capital Adequacy Ratio.
- [2] Akmel, D. (2019). Determinants of non-performing loan: The case of Ethiopian commercial banks.
- [3] Amin, M. I., Ahsan, A., Al muktadir, M., Azad, M., & Rezanur, R. H. B. (2021). Macroeconomic and Firm-specific Factors Influencing Non-Performing Loans in Bangladesh. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(12), 95-105.
- [4] Atanasijević, J., & Božović, M. (2016). Exchange rate as a determinant of corporate loan defaults in a Euroized economy. *Eastern European Economics*, 54(3), 228-250.
- [5] Ayele, F. (2017). Assessments of Non-Performing Loans: A Study On Selected Commercial Banks in Ethiopia.
- [6] Babu, B. S. (2018). Capping of Commercial Bank Interest Rates and Its Impact on Number of Loan Advances Generated by Microfinance Institutions.
- [7] Barr, R., & Siems, T. (1994). Predicting Bank Failure using DEA to quantify management quality. *Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, Financial Industry Studies*.
- [8] Belay, T. (2020). Determinants of Non-Performing Loans In Ethiopian Commercial Banks.
- [9] Berhanu, B. B. (2019). Determinants of non-performing loan in commercial banks in Ethiopia. *MSc Thesis, Addis Ababa University*.
- [10] Blanco, R., & Gimeno, R. (2012). Determinants of default ratios in the segment of loans to households in Spain.
- [11] Bolt, W., & Tieman, A. F. (2004). Banking Competition, Risk and Regulation. *IMF Working Paper WP/04/11.
- [12] Boudriga, A., Taktak, N. B., & Jellouli, S. (2009). Banking supervision and nonperforming loans: A cross-country analysis. *Journal of Financial Economic Policy*.
- [13] Braun, B., & Koddenbrock, K. (2022). The three phases of financial power: Leverage, infrastructure, and enforcement.
- [14] Breuer, J. B. (2006). Problem bank loans, conflicts of interest, and institutions. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 2, 266-285.
- [15] Brooks, C. (2008). *Introductory Econometrics for Finance* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- [16] Calice, P., Chando, V., & Sekioua, S. (2012). Bank Financing to Small and Medium Enterprises in East Africa. *African Development Bank Group Working Paper*.
- [17] Ciukaj, R., & Kil, K. (2020). Determinants of the non-performing loan ratio in the European Union banking sectors. *Economics and Business Review*, 6(1), 22-45.
- [18] De la Torre, A., Per á, M. S. M., & Schmukler, S. L. (2010). Bank involvement with SMEs: Beyond relationship lending. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 34(9), 2280-2293.
- [19] Delil, A. (2019). Determinants of Non-Performing Loan: The Case of Ethiopian Commercial Banks. *MSc Thesis, Addis Ababa University*.
- [20] Demirguc-Kunt, A., & Detragiache, E. (1998). The Determinants of Banking Crises in Developing and Developed Countries. *IMF Staff Papers*, 45(1), 81-109.
- [21] El-Maude, J. G., Abdul-Rahman, A., & Ibrahim, M. (2017). Determinants of non-performing loans in Nigeria's deposit money banks. *Archives of Business Research*, 5(1), 74-88.
- [22] Ekanayake, E. M., & Azeez, A. A. (2013). Determinants of non-performing loans in licensed commercial banks: Evidence from Sri Lanka. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 5(6), 868-882.
<https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.aefr/2015.5.6/102.6.868.882>
- [23] Fernández de Lis, S., Martínez Pagés, J., & Saurina Salas, J. (2000). Credit growth, problem loans and credit risk provisioning in Spain. *Banco de España*.
- [24] Fisher, I. (1933). The Debt Deflation Theory of Great Depressions. *Econometrica*, 1, 337-357.
- [25] Fofack, H. (2005). Nonperforming loans in Sub-Saharan Africa. *World Bank Publications*.
- [26] Gadise, G. (2014). Determinants of nonperforming loans: Empirical study in case of Commercial banks in Ethiopia. *MSc thesis, Jimma University*.
- [27] Garr, D. K. (2013). Determinants of credit risk in the banking industry of Ghana. *Developing Country Studies*, 3(11), 64-77.
- [28] Gezu, G. (2014). Determinants of nonperforming loans: Empirical study in case of commercial banks in Ethiopia.
- [29] Ghosh, A. (2015). Banking-industry specific and regional economic determinants of non-performing loans. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 20, 93-104.
- [30] Gujarati, D. (2004). *Basic Econometrics* (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- [31] Gulati, R. (2022). Global and local banking crises and risk-adjusted efficiency of Indian banks. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 84, 23-39.
- [32] Habtamu, N. (2012). Determinants of Bank Profitability: An Empirical Study on Ethiopian Private Commercial Banks. *MSc Thesis, Addis Ababa University*.

- [33] IMF. (2010). Determinations of NPL in Developing countries.
- [34] Khemraj, T., & Pasha, S. (2009). The determinants of non-performing loans: An econometric case study of Guyana.
- [35] Kirui, S. (2014). The effect of non-performing loans on profitability of commercial banks in Kenya. *Master's Thesis, University of Nairobi*.
- [36] Klein, N., & Shingjergji, A. (2013). Non-performing loans in CESEE: Determinants and impact on macroeconomic performance. *IMF Working Paper* No. WP/13/72.
- [37] Kolapo, T. F., Ayeni, R. K., & Oke, M. O. (2012). Credit Risk and Commercial Banks' Performance in Nigeria. *Australian Journal of Business and Management Research*, 2(2).
- [38] Louzis, P. D., Vouldis, A. T., & Metaxas, V. L. (2012). Macroeconomic and Bank-Specific Determinants of Non-Performing Loans in Greece. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 36(4), 1012-1027.
- [39] Mehari, M. (2012). Credit risk management in Ethiopia banking industry. *MBA thesis, Addis Ababa University*.
- [40] Mesay, T. (2017a). Determinant of non-performing loan in Ethiopian private commercial banks. *MSc thesis, Addis Ababa University*.
- [41] NBE. (2012). Asset classification and Provisioning for development financial institution Directive, Addis Ababa Ethiopia. SBB, 52.
- [42] Natnael, T. (2017). Factors Affecting Non-Performing Loans In Banking Industry In Ethiopia. *MA Thesis, St. Mary's University*.
- [43] Ramadhani, R. (2020). Legal Consequences of Transfer of Home Ownership Loans without Creditors' Permission. *International Journal Reglement & Society*, 1(2), 31-37.
- [44] Rediet, G. (2020). Determinants of Non-performing loan: A case of Development bank of Ethiopia.
- [45] Sitina, A. (2018). Determinants of Non-performing Loans in Ethiopian Commercial Banks. *MSc thesis, Addis Ababa University*.
- [46] Yonas, A. B. (2017). Assessment of the determinants of non-performing loans. *MSc thesis, Addis Ababa University*.
- [47] Zelalem, T. (2013). Determinants of Non-performing Loans: Empirical Study on Ethiopian Commercial Banks.