

Research Article

Polluter Pays Principle and Environmental Justice: A Theoretical Discourse on Regulatory Effectiveness in Nigeria

Nyerhovwo Kingsley Erakpotobor* 

Department of Economics, University of Delta, Agbor, Nigeria

Abstract

This study critically examines the effectiveness of the Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) in advancing environmental justice (EJ) within Nigeria's regulatory framework. Anchored in Political Ecology Theory, it interrogates how historical marginalization, power asymmetries, and weak institutions shape policy implementation and justice outcomes. Persistent environmental degradation—especially in the Niger Delta and urban centers like Lagos and Port Harcourt—reveals a pattern where vulnerable communities bear disproportionate burdens while major polluters evade accountability. Employing a qualitative, theoretical approach supported by comparative case insights, the study finds that despite PPP's legal recognition, enforcement remains undermined by regulatory capture, corruption, and limited institutional capacity. These failures perpetuate environmental injustice, eroding equity, deterrence, and compliance. The paper contributes by bridging the gap between PPP, EJ, and regulatory effectiveness within Nigeria's political ecology. It calls for a justice-oriented shift in environmental governance through participatory, transparent, and context-sensitive reforms. Strengthening civil society engagement, judicial activism, and community participation is emphasized as key to aligning environmental policy with practice across Nigeria and the broader Global South.

Keywords

Polluter Pays Principle, Environmental Justice, Regulatory Effectiveness, Political Ecology, Environmental Governance Nigeria

1. Introduction

1.1. Background of the Study

Environmental degradation in Nigeria has reached alarming levels, characterized by persistent pollution, ecosystem destruction, and the displacement of vulnerable communities, particularly in oil-producing regions such as the Niger Delta. Despite existing environmental regulations and institutional

frameworks, pollution remains poorly managed due to weak enforcement, regulatory capture, political interference, and systemic corruption [1, 49]. In this context, the Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) has gained prominence as a legal and policy mechanism designed to internalize the external costs of environmental harm by ensuring that polluters bear the financial responsibility for their actions [54].

*Correspondence: Nyerhovwo Kingsley Erakpotobor (nyerhovwo.erakpotobor@unidel.edu.ng)

Received: 10 October 2025; Accepted: 20 October 2025; Published: 15 June 2026



Copyright: © The Author(s), 2026. Published by Science Publishing Group. This is an **Open Access** article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

However, the application of PPP in Nigeria raises significant questions concerning equity, justice, and regulatory capacity. The implementation is often compromised by institutional weaknesses, lack of transparency, and socio-economic disparities that allow powerful industrial actors and multinational corporations to evade liability while disproportionately exposing marginalized communities to environmental risks [35, 55]. This reality intersects with the framework of Environmental Justice (EJ), which seeks not only the equitable distribution of environmental benefits and burdens (distributive justice) but also fairness in decision-making processes (procedural justice) and adequate remediation for harm done (corrective justice) [71, 77].

Given the inadequacies of Nigeria's regulatory institutions in addressing these dimensions of justice, there is a pressing need to explore theoretical perspectives that can illuminate the structural and political underpinnings of environmental governance. Political ecology theory, which interrogates the power dynamics, socio-political inequalities, and historical processes that shape environmental outcomes, offers a compelling lens for this discourse [68]. The theory emphasizes how environmental degradation is not merely a technical issue but is deeply rooted in broader political and economic structures that privilege certain groups over others.

This study, therefore, undertakes a theoretical discourse that bridges PPP and EJ within the Nigerian context, using political ecology theory to assess the regulatory effectiveness of environmental governance mechanisms. It raises critical questions about whether current policies are capable of delivering justice and accountability, or whether they serve to reinforce existing inequalities and institutional inefficiencies. By interrogating the intersections between pollution, regulation, and justice, the paper contributes to the ongoing academic and policy debates around sustainable environmental governance in the Global South.

1.2. Statement of the Problem: Regulatory Gaps and Socio-environmental Injustice

Despite various environmental statutes and regulatory bodies such as the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA), the practical enforcement of environmental protection remains fragmented and largely ineffective. Regulatory frameworks often favor elite interests or multinational corporations while marginalizing the rights and well-being of affected local populations. The failure to ensure that polluters bear the true cost of environmental harm—economically and socially—has deepened the gap between environmental harm and environmental justice. This situation reflects a broader structural problem where socio-political dynamics and economic interests shape environmental outcomes.

1.3. Rationale for Integrating Polluter Pays Principle and Environmental Justice

The Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) offers a compelling

mechanism for assigning responsibility to polluters, thereby internalizing environmental costs. However, in the Nigerian context, where inequality and governance weaknesses prevail, PPP alone may not guarantee justice or equity. Integrating Environmental Justice (EJ) provides a broader normative framework that emphasizes fairness, participation, and the protection of marginalized communities. A combined lens of PPP and EJ is thus essential to evaluate not only economic accountability but also the fairness of regulatory outcomes in the Nigerian environmental governance system.

This study is significant on multiple fronts. First, it contributes to the theoretical enrichment of environmental governance literature by integrating Polluter Pays Principle with Environmental Justice, framed through the lens of Political Ecology Theory. While much of the existing literature tends to treat PPP and EJ as separate paradigms, this study demonstrates their interdependence and the need for a more holistic understanding of regulatory justice in the Global South [39].

Second, it addresses a critical gap in Nigeria's environmental policy discourse by interrogating the structural and institutional barriers that hinder effective regulation. Many existing studies focus on the technical or economic dimensions of pollution control without adequately considering the socio-political forces that shape regulatory outcomes [2, 74]. By highlighting these dimensions, this paper contributes to more robust and context-sensitive policy interventions.

Third, the study's emphasis on justice—particularly in distributive, procedural, and corrective forms—offers a pathway for rethinking environmental regulation in ways that prioritize the needs and rights of marginalized communities. This is especially important in Nigeria, where decades of extractive activities have exacerbated environmental inequalities and social unrest.

Finally, the paper provides practical insights for policymakers, regulatory agencies, civil society actors, and international development partners seeking to design and implement more equitable, effective, and accountable environmental governance systems in Nigeria and other developing countries facing similar challenges.

1.4. Research Questions and Objectives

This study is guided by the following questions:

- 1) To what extent has the Polluter Pays Principle been effectively implemented in Nigeria?
- 2) How do regulatory failures contribute to environmental injustice?
- 3) In what ways can Environmental Justice complement PPP to improve regulatory fairness and effectiveness?

The primary objective is to undertake a theoretical examination of the intersection between PPP and EJ in Nigeria, critically assessing the implications for regulatory effectiveness. The study also seeks to offer context-sensitive recommendations for reforming environmental regulation in Nigeria through a justice-oriented approach.

1.5. Methodology and Theoretical Framing

This study is theoretical and non-empirical in nature, employing a qualitative, theory-driven approach anchored in Political Ecology Theory. Rather than relying on primary data collection, it synthesizes existing literature, policy documents, and secondary case evidence to critically analyze the relationship between the Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) and Environmental Justice (EJ) in Nigeria.

Political Ecology Theory serves as a critical and interdisciplinary framework for examining the intersection of environmental degradation, political power, economic inequality, and social injustice. Emerging from critiques of apolitical environmentalism, the theory draws on insights from political economy, human geography, and critical development studies to explain how environmental harm is unevenly produced and distributed across social groups [30, 68].

In the Nigerian context—where resource extraction, governance, and environmental regulation are marked by systemic inequalities and institutional weaknesses—Political Ecology provides a powerful analytical lens for interrogating the implementation of the PPP and the broader pursuit of EJ.

Key Features of Political Ecology Theory in the Context of Environmental Regulation and Justice in Nigeria:

1. Power Relations and Environmental Control

A core tenet of Political Ecology is the recognition that access to and control over environmental resources are mediated by power relations [66]. In Nigeria, state authorities often collude with multinational corporations in the oil and extractive sectors, marginalizing local voices and facilitating environmental harm. This asymmetric power dynamic results in selective enforcement of regulatory principles such as PPP, favoring polluters over affected communities [57].

2. Structural Inequality and Environmental Injustice

Political Ecology draws attention to how environmental burdens are disproportionately borne by marginalized and economically disenfranchised populations [77]. In the Niger Delta, for instance, communities endure persistent oil spills, gas flaring, and water pollution, while receiving minimal compensation or redress [74]. These injustices are symptomatic of deep-rooted structural inequalities embedded in both the regulatory system and broader economic arrangements [43, 52].

3. Critique of Market-Based Environmental Policies

Although the PPP is rooted in the economic logic of internalizing externalities [54], Political Ecology critiques such market-based instruments when they are implemented within contexts marked by institutional failure and elite capture [25]. In Nigeria, the effectiveness of PPP is undermined by corruption, regulatory loopholes, and lack of transparency, enabling powerful polluters to avoid accountability [62, 61]. Consequently, the economic rationale of PPP often fails to translate into equitable outcomes.

4. State and Institutional Dynamics

Political Ecology interrogates how the state, its regulatory

agencies, and broader governance structures shape environmental outcomes [31]. In Nigeria, regulatory bodies such as the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) often operate with limited autonomy and resources, and are vulnerable to political interference [3].

5. Emphasis on Local Voices and Participatory Governance

Political Ecology aligns with Environmental Justice in advocating for procedural justice—ensuring that affected communities have a say in environmental decision-making [71]. In the Nigerian context, there is often a disconnect between local environmental grievances and national policy responses, leading to social resistance and conflict [78, 80]. The inclusion of local knowledge and community participation is essential for advancing fair and context-sensitive environmental governance.

6. Historical and Contextual Analysis

A distinctive strength of Political Ecology is its attention to the historical and spatial dimensions of environmental issues. The legacies of colonialism, extractive capitalism, and authoritarian governance have left enduring imprints on Nigeria's environmental management systems.

Political Ecology Theory provides a robust analytical framework for critiquing the limitations of Nigeria's environmental regulation. It reveals how power, inequality, and institutional weakness interact to undermine the effectiveness of the Polluter Pays Principle and the goals of Environmental Justice. This theoretical orientation underscores the need for regulatory reforms that prioritize transparency, accountability, and community empowerment—key to achieving both environmental sustainability and social equity in Nigeria.

2. Literature Review

2.1. General Literature Review

Environmental regulation in Nigeria has undergone several phases of transformation in response to both internal pressures—such as increasing environmental degradation—and external obligations to international environmental governance standards. However, the theoretical constructs and practical implementation of environmental policies such as the Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) and the broader framework of Environmental Justice (EJ) have remained inadequately harmonized within the Nigerian legal and institutional context. The literature presents a growing consensus that, while Nigeria has domesticated several global environmental protocols and embraced PPP in principle, its operationalization remains hampered by weak regulatory institutions, uneven political commitment, and socio-economic inequalities [41, 50].

The Polluter Pays Principle, popularized by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) in the early 1970s, posits that those who produce pollution should bear the costs of managing it to prevent damage to human health and the environment [54]. This principle is often

interpreted within the broader context of environmental economics, where it seeks to internalize externalities and assign accountability to actors whose activities negatively affect ecological systems [72]. Scholars argue that PPP not only promotes efficiency but also enhances environmental responsibility among polluters [64]. In Nigeria, PPP has been incorporated into environmental statutes such as the Environmental Impact Assessment Act of 1992 and the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) Act of 2007. However, the actual enforcement of these frameworks is uneven, often weakened by institutional fragmentation and corruption [32, 5].

Parallel to PPP is the discourse on Environmental Justice (EJ), which emphasizes equitable distribution of environmental benefits and burdens, participatory access to decision-making, and the remediation of historical injustices [71]. EJ is particularly relevant in the Nigerian context, where environmental degradation disproportionately affects low-income and marginalized communities, especially in resource-rich regions like the Niger Delta [13, 74]. The region exemplifies the environmental injustice paradox: while it generates substantial national revenue through oil extraction, it simultaneously suffers from chronic underdevelopment, pollution, and state neglect [52, 79]. This reflects the concept of "environmental inequality formation," which describes how socio-political exclusion intersects with ecological vulnerability [67].

Scholarly contributions have critically interrogated the interrelationship between PPP and EJ in environmental governance, noting that without a justice framework, PPP may be rendered technocratic, focusing on cost recovery rather than redressing inequalities [27, 11]. Thus, integrating distributive and procedural justice into PPP enforcement mechanisms is essential for regulatory legitimacy and social inclusiveness [38, 45].

From a regulatory standpoint, Nigeria predominantly relies on a command-and-control approach, where compliance is enforced through prohibitive laws and punitive sanctions [51]. However, empirical and theoretical studies suggest that such systems are prone to inefficiencies due to over-centralization, rent-seeking behavior, and the lack of inter-agency coordination [46]. Market-based mechanisms, such as pollution taxes or tradable permits, which are commonly advocated in developed economies, remain largely underutilized in Nigeria. This regulatory imbalance limits Nigeria's ability to incentivize sustainable corporate behaviour and align environmental protection with developmental objectives [33].

Moreover, the implementation gap in environmental regulation remains a persistent concern in Nigeria. While legal texts often echo global environmental norms, including the PPP, actual practices deviate significantly due to weak enforcement, political interference, and limited community engagement [19, 74]. For instance, despite widespread oil spills in the Niger Delta, oil companies frequently escape liability due to legal loopholes or delayed judicial proceedings [57]. Such regulatory failures not only undermine the objectives of

PPP but also perpetuate environmental injustice, where communities bear the costs of pollution without access to remedies or reparations.

Recent literature also reveals that Nigeria's environmental regulatory institutions are under-resourced, poorly coordinated, and often lack autonomy. NESREA, for example, while vested with significant regulatory powers, faces limitations in monitoring compliance, prosecuting violators, and ensuring corporate transparency [12]. This situation is further exacerbated by a deficit in environmental literacy among the populace, which constrains civil society mobilization and hinders participatory environmental governance [44]. International development agencies have often called for institutional reforms and capacity building, but tangible progress remains slow.

Furthermore, gender and generational dimensions of environmental justice have gained traction in scholarly discussions. Women and children are often the most affected by environmental hazards due to their socio-economic positioning and dependency on natural resources for livelihood [16]. Yet, environmental policy in Nigeria is largely gender-neutral, failing to incorporate intersectional perspectives in regulatory design and enforcement strategies. This omission undermines the transformative potential of PPP when unaccompanied by equity-based policy lenses.

In sum, the literature strongly advocates for a rethinking of environmental regulation in Nigeria—one that synergizes economic instruments like PPP with justice-oriented approaches rooted in equity, participation, and accountability. Integrating environmental justice into legal frameworks is not merely about enhancing fairness but also about enhancing regulatory effectiveness in achieving sustainable environmental outcomes [63]. The convergence of PPP and EJ in academic discourse thus reflects a broader shift from technocratic environmentalism to transformative ecological governance, where polluters are held accountable not just economically but also socially and politically.

2.2. Conceptual Literature

Environmental governance in developing economies like Nigeria is fraught with systemic contradictions, especially regarding regulatory enforcement, distributive equity, and environmental protection. Central to this discourse are the Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) and Environmental Justice (EJ)—two interlinked normative frameworks that articulate both legal accountability and social equity in pollution management. Understanding their conceptual underpinnings provides the basis for interrogating the effectiveness of Nigeria's regulatory frameworks from a political ecology perspective.

The Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) has evolved as a cornerstone of environmental policy globally. Originally articulated by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), the PPP posits that those responsible for generating pollution should bear the costs of its remediation [54].

Its foundational logic aligns with economic internalization of environmental externalities, whereby polluters are disincentivized from environmental harm through cost imposition [65]. PPP has since gained recognition in international instruments, such as the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development 1992, and has been incorporated into various domestic environmental legislations [70].

In the Nigerian context, PPP is nominally embedded in statutory frameworks such as the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Act, the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) Act, and the Petroleum Act. However, the principle's practical enforceability remains questionable due to institutional weaknesses, elite capture, and inconsistent policy implementation [43, 33]. PPP is often circumvented in practice, particularly in the extractive sector, where multinational corporations wield significant political leverage.

Environmental Justice (EJ) emerged in the 80s as a civil rights-informed response to the disproportionate burden of environmental hazards borne by marginalized communities, especially in the United States [29]. Over time, the EJ concept has expanded into a tripartite framework encompassing *distributive justice*, *procedural justice*, and *corrective justice*.

Distributive justice concerns the fair allocation of environmental goods (e.g., clean air, water) and bads (e.g., waste sites, pollution) [77]. In Nigeria, the Niger Delta exemplifies spatial injustice, where oil pollution is highly concentrated in marginalized communities with minimal compensatory benefits.

Procedural justice refers to the inclusiveness, transparency, and fairness of decision-making processes related to environmental governance [71]. Nigerian environmental regulation often lacks meaningful community participation, thereby reinforcing top-down approaches that marginalize affected populations [8].

Corrective justice emphasizes remediation, compensation, and accountability mechanisms for those adversely affected by environmental harm [45]. In Nigeria, mechanisms for compensation and corporate liability are inconsistently enforced, often relying on ad hoc responses rather than systemic frameworks.

While PPP and EJ originate from different normative domains—economic and social respectively—their convergence is both logical and necessary. PPP operationalizes responsibility by attributing financial and legal liability, while EJ advocates for social equity and democratic governance in environmental regulation [23]. When applied synergistically, both frameworks can reinforce each other: PPP ensures accountability, and EJ ensures that such accountability is equitably distributed and procedurally fair.

In Nigeria, however, this synergy remains largely theoretical. Despite the existence of regulatory agencies and environmental statutes, pollution victims often lack access to justice, and polluters rarely pay the true social cost of their actions. Communities continue to face environmental degradation

without adequate redress, while regulatory bodies are underfunded, politicized, or captured by vested interests [60].

Political Ecology Theory provides a critical lens for interrogating the power-laden nature of environmental governance, especially in postcolonial and resource-rich countries like Nigeria. The theory challenges apolitical and technocratic narratives of environmental degradation by highlighting how power asymmetries, historical marginalization, and economic interests shape environmental outcomes [68].

From a political ecology perspective, the failure of PPP enforcement in Nigeria is not merely a technical problem but a manifestation of structural inequalities and regulatory failure. Political elites and transnational oil companies often collude to maintain a status quo that externalizes environmental costs to poor communities while insulating polluters from accountability [76]. Regulatory agencies, such as NESREA or the Department of Petroleum Resources, often lack autonomy and are hindered by overlapping mandates and political interference [15].

Understanding the nexus between PPP, EJ, and regulatory effectiveness requires moving beyond legal provisions to critically assess the institutional, political, and socio-economic factors that mediate enforcement. Regulatory effectiveness is contingent not only on statutory clarity but also on governance capacity, political will, community engagement, and judicial integrity [24].

By anchoring this analysis in Political Ecology, this study underscores that regulatory ineffectiveness in Nigeria is structurally rooted, and resolving it requires more than legal reform. It necessitates a transformation of power relations, institutional accountability, and participatory governance to align the enforcement of PPP with the ideals of environmental justice.

2.3. Theoretical Literature Review

Nigeria's environmental governance continues to face regulatory failures, socio-ecological inequalities, and erosion of community rights—especially in extractive zones like the Niger Delta. Anchored on Political Ecology Theory, this paper synthesizes the Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) and Environmental Justice (EJ) as interconnected frameworks for analyzing environmental regulation.

The Polluter Pays Principle (PPP), rooted in environmental economics, holds that polluters should bear the costs of managing pollution to prevent environmental and health damage [54, 73]. Originally, a strict liability doctrine, PPP has evolved into a global environmental policy norm [26]. Yet, in Nigeria, its implementation remains largely rhetorical due to weak enforcement, political interference, and regulatory ambiguity [1].

In parallel, Environmental Justice (EJ) emerged from grassroots movements against environmental racism and unequal exposure to ecological hazards [28, 71]. It encompasses distributive justice (equity in burdens and benefits), procedural justice (fairness in decision-making), and corrective justice

(remediation and redress). These dimensions illuminate Nigeria's governance failures, particularly where oil pollution disproportionately harms marginalized communities [40].

The convergence of PPP and EJ underscores the need for integrative approaches that combine economic responsibility with social equity. However, Nigeria's environmental regulation remains technocratic and top-down, emphasizing command-and-control measures over participatory engagement [12]. Market-based instruments such as environmental taxes or emissions trading are still underdeveloped and poorly aligned with local realities [75].

Political Ecology Theory provides a robust analytical lens to examine these failures. It explores how power, inequality, and political economy shape environmental outcomes [25, 68]. In Nigeria, this framework exposes how elite capture, weak institutions, and corporate dominance perpetuate environmental injustice [76]. Regulatory agencies are often compromised by regulatory capture, where polluting entities influence decision-making to evade penalties [42].

Corruption and institutional weakness further erode regulatory capacity. Environmental fines are informally negotiated, data systems for pollution monitoring are deficient, and overlapping mandates create bureaucratic confusion [56]. Consequently, laws that appear robust on paper fail in practice due to entrenched political and economic asymmetries [68].

Political ecology also highlights issues of agency and voice—revealing that affected communities are not passive victims but are systematically excluded from environmental governance. Thus, environmental injustice is not solely institutional but structural, reflecting whose interests dominate regulatory decisions.

In sum, PPP and EJ offer normative ideals for equitable environmental management, but their realization in Nigeria demands more than legal reforms. Embedding them within a political ecology framework repositions environmental governance toward participatory, transparent, and justice-oriented regulation, providing theoretical grounding for the empirical patterns observed in subsequent studies.

2.4. Empirical Literature Review

Empirical evidence from Nigeria supports the theoretical claims that weak enforcement, institutional decay, and elite dominance undermine PPP and EJ implementation. Studies consistently show that, despite policy adoption, polluters often evade accountability while affected communities bear the costs of degradation [1, 33].

Studies have found that oil companies routinely shirk remediation obligations in the Niger Delta, aided by state complicity [13]. Similarly, field data documented chronic oil spills and delayed cleanup efforts across Delta and Bayelsa States, revealing systemic enforcement failures [20]. On the environmental justice front, research has shown that water pollution in Ogoniland has led to significantly high morbidity rates, underscoring distributive and corrective justice failures [47].

Procedural injustice also persists, study through qualitative interviews, showed that community voices are excluded from environmental decision-making, while Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs) are often manipulated [4]. UNEP's 2011 audit of Ogoniland and other studies further highlight poor agency autonomy, inadequate funding, and bureaucratic inertia in enforcement [17].

Broader studies evaluating Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) processes in Nigeria show that, despite legal requirements EIA processes in Nigeria lack transparency and cumulative assessment [22]. Further research tied industrial effluents to declining water quality in Warri, advocating for stricter PPP enforcement [34]. Evidence based associated weak regulation with resource-based conflicts, aligning with political ecology's critique of power asymmetries [53].

More recent work demonstrated, through geospatial analysis and socio-economic surveys, how pollution-induced displacement disproportionately impacts the poor. They argue for community-driven enforcement mechanisms to enhance equity and accountability [36].

Collectively, empirical studies reveal three persistent patterns:

- 1) PPP remains weakly implemented, with polluters rarely assuming full financial or legal responsibility.
- 2) Environmental injustices endure, particularly in marginalized and ecologically vulnerable regions.
- 3) Regulatory institutions lack capacity and autonomy, resulting in ineffective enforcement and erosion of public trust.

These findings reinforce the theoretical position that environmental regulation in Nigeria cannot succeed without confronting underlying power imbalances. Bridging the gap between PPP and EJ therefore requires a justice-centered governance model that empowers communities and embeds accountability within environmental institutions.

3. Environmental Governance and Regulatory Framework in Nigeria

3.1. A Political Ecology Analysis of Regulatory Effectiveness

Environmental governance in Nigeria represents a complex interplay between legal frameworks, institutional mandates, socio-political dynamics, and historical marginalization—particularly in regions endowed with natural resources. Although the country has established various environmental laws and regulatory agencies, persistent environmental degradation and inequitable outcomes raise concerns about the effectiveness and justice of its regulatory regimes. This analysis, grounded in Political Ecology Theory, interrogates the structure, enforcement, and limitations of Nigeria's environmental governance with a focus on how these impacts the operationalization

of the Polluter Pays Principle and the realization of Environmental Justice.

3.2. Overview of Nigeria's Environmental Laws and Institutions

Nigeria's environmental governance is shaped by an evolving legislative and institutional landscape. Key legal instruments include the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Act of 1991, the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) Act of 2007, the Petroleum Act of 1996, the Harmful Waste (Special Criminal Provisions) Act of 1998, and various state-level environmental laws. These laws are designed to promote sustainable development by holding polluters accountable, minimizing environmental degradation, and ensuring public health and safety.

The National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) is the principal federal body tasked with environmental enforcement. NESREA is empowered to establish regulatory standards, inspect facilities, and impose penalties on non-compliant actors. Other institutions include the Federal Ministry of Environment (FME), the National Oil Spill Detection and Response Agency (NOSDRA), and state environmental protection agencies (SEPA), each with overlapping responsibilities for enforcement and policy implementation.

Despite this array of institutions, Nigeria's environmental governance architecture is often criticized for being fragmented and weak in enforcement. Regulatory overlaps, bureaucratic inefficiencies, inadequate funding, and political interference undermine their effectiveness [15, 49].

3.3. Analysis of Enforcement Mechanisms, Institutional Capacity, and Political Will

Effective environmental regulation in Nigeria is severely hampered by systemic deficiencies in enforcement mechanisms, weak institutional capacity, and a pervasive lack of political will. These elements form a trifecta that undermines the practical realization of both the Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) and Environmental Justice (EJ), despite their theoretical entrenchment in national policy instruments. From a political ecology perspective, these failures are symptomatic of deeper socio-political dynamics, particularly those shaped by power relations, elite interests, and institutional inertia in postcolonial governance structures.

Enforcement Mechanisms: Structural Weakness and Ineffectiveness

At the heart of Nigeria's regulatory inefficiency lies the fragmented and inconsistent nature of environmental enforcement mechanisms. Although institutions such as the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA), the Department of Petroleum Resources (DPR), and state-level environmental protection agencies are

charged with environmental oversight, their enforcement capacity is undermined by overlapping mandates, bureaucratic duplication, and inadequate funding [14]. For example, the NESREA Act empowers the agency to enforce environmental standards across various sectors, yet it often clashes jurisdictionally with other federal and state institutions, leading to regulatory inertia [3].

Moreover, enforcement often lacks transparency, timeliness, and predictability. Inspections, sanctions, and legal actions against polluters—particularly multinational corporations—are either weakly implemented or arbitrarily enforced. This creates a regulatory environment where non-compliance becomes a rational business decision for polluters, given the low probability of detection or penalty [43]. The ineffective application of the PPP is further exacerbated by the lack of a standardized mechanism for calculating environmental damage and corresponding remediation costs.

Institutional Capacity: Constraints and Limitations

Institutional capacity deficits remain a major barrier to effective environmental regulation in Nigeria. Most regulatory agencies are underfunded, understaffed, and ill-equipped to monitor environmental infractions, enforce compliance, or conduct independent environmental audits [33, 48]. Technical expertise is often limited, particularly at the subnational level, where state agencies lack access to modern environmental monitoring technology and trained personnel.

Corruption and patronage networks further diminish institutional credibility. Regulatory officials are frequently susceptible to political or corporate influence, leading to compromised enforcement and regulatory capture [58]. Such dynamics allow powerful polluters to negotiate or evade sanctions, especially in sectors such as oil and gas, where transnational corporations wield considerable economic and political clout.

In rural and peri-urban communities most affected by environmental degradation, institutional outreach is often absent or ineffective. These communities are rarely involved in the design or implementation of environmental policies, further entrenching procedural injustice and reinforcing the disconnect between regulatory institutions and the communities they are mandated to protect [9].

Political Will: Elusive Commitment to Environmental Justice

Perhaps the most critical dimension of regulatory ineffectiveness in Nigeria is the lack of sustained political will to implement environmental laws and uphold principles such as PPP and EJ. Environmental protection is often subordinated to economic imperatives, particularly those related to oil revenue and infrastructural development. The state's dual role as both a regulator and economic beneficiary of polluting industries introduces a conflict of interest that discourages stringent regulation [79].

The absence of political commitment manifests in several ways: delayed policy reforms, reluctance to prosecute corporate offenders, non-implementation of judicial rulings on en-

environmental damages, and the failure to operationalize environmental trust funds or liability insurance schemes [59]. This pattern of neglect reflects the broader political economy of Nigeria, where regulatory institutions are influenced by clientelist networks that prioritize short-term gains over long-term sustainability and justice.

From a political ecology standpoint, these enforcement failures are not merely administrative lapses but expressions of structural inequality, where environmental governance is shaped by the interplay of power, marginalization, and economic exploitation. Regulatory enforcement is thus selectively applied, often excluding the interests of vulnerable populations while shielding polluters who are politically connected or economically strategic.

3.4. Key Regulatory Gaps and Their Implications for Equity and Accountability

Despite Nigeria's expansive legal architecture on environmental protection, including instruments such as the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) Act (2007), the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Act (1992), and sector-specific laws such as the Petroleum Act (1969), regulatory gaps persist—undermining both environmental equity and polluter accountability. These gaps manifest in structural, procedural, and operational dimensions, highlighting deficiencies not just in legislation but in enforcement, institutional coherence, and justice mechanisms.

A critical regulatory gap lies in fragmented jurisdictional authority. Several agencies at federal and state levels—such as NESREA, the Federal Ministry of Environment (FMEnv), and State Environmental Protection Agencies (SEPAs)—often have overlapping mandates with poorly defined operational boundaries [15]. This leads to bureaucratic inefficiency, turf conflicts, and delayed responses to environmental infractions. Such structural disarray fosters regulatory laxity, especially in high-stake sectors like oil and gas, where powerful multinational corporations often exploit these loopholes to externalize environmental costs without adequate penalties.

Moreover, weak institutional capacity—both in terms of human resources and technical competence—limits the effectiveness of enforcement agencies. Many regulatory bodies lack access to reliable environmental data, real-time pollution monitoring technologies, and independent laboratories for environmental audits [37]. This incapacity constrains the enforcement of the Polluter Pays Principle (PPP), as regulatory decisions are often based on incomplete or outdated assessments, enabling polluters to evade liabilities or negotiate lenient settlements.

Corruption and political interference further erode regulatory integrity. Environmental compliance is frequently undermined by vested interests and rent-seeking behavior among public officials [57]. In practice, polluters—particularly those with significant political or economic clout—can circumvent

environmental fines, manipulate Environmental Impact Assessments, or stall compensatory processes through prolonged litigation. This not only fosters a culture of impunity but also betrays the principles of Environmental Justice (EJ), which demand fair treatment and full redress for affected communities.

Furthermore, the absence of community participation and procedural transparency in environmental decision-making represents a fundamental governance flaw. Most regulatory processes in Nigeria adopt a top-down approach, marginalizing the voices of local communities, particularly those most impacted by pollution. This violates the procedural justice dimension of EJ, where affected stakeholders are expected to have agency in shaping decisions that influence their health, livelihoods, and ecological spaces [10].

The cumulative implication of these regulatory gaps is a deep accountability crisis, where polluters are seldom held responsible, and victims remain voiceless and uncompensated. Environmental degradation persists without systemic redress, entrenching a pattern of ecological injustice—especially in marginalized and resource-hosting regions. From a political ecology standpoint, these gaps are not incidental but reflect entrenched power asymmetries and state complicity in perpetuating environmental inequality [68, 76].

3.5. Case References: Niger Delta Communities and Urban Pollution—A Political Ecology Perspective

The environmental crises in the Niger Delta and Nigeria's urban centers such as Lagos, Port Harcourt, and Kano provide vivid illustrations of regulatory shortcomings and entrenched environmental injustice. These cases offer empirical substance to the theoretical assertions of the Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) and Environmental Justice (EJ), while also exposing the institutional fragilities that impede effective environmental governance.

The Niger Delta: Environmental Degradation Amid Regulatory Paralysis

The Niger Delta region exemplifies the devastating environmental and social consequences of weak regulatory enforcement and the politicization of environmental governance. For decades, the region has endured severe ecological degradation resulting from oil extraction activities, notably by multinational corporations such as Shell, Chevron, and Eni. Oil spills, gas flaring, and habitat destruction have not only devastated ecosystems but also disrupted local livelihoods and public health [74].

A landmark example is the United Nations Environment Programme's Environmental Assessment of Ogoniland, which unveiled chronic contamination of soil and groundwater, with benzene levels reaching 900 times the permissible limits established by the World Health Organization [74]. The UNEP report indicted both corporations and regulatory institutions for systemic neglect and lack of accountability. Although the Nigerian government initiated the Hydrocarbon

Pollution Remediation Project (HYPREP) as a remedial response, progress has been lethargic, marred by inadequate funding, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and governance opacity [21]. Consequently, the financial and social burdens of pollution remain disproportionately borne by the local communities, contravening the core tenets of the PPP and environmental justice.

Urban Pollution in Nigerian Cities: Distributive and Procedural Injustices

Beyond the Niger Delta, urban centers in Nigeria are also witnessing a steady rise in environmental hazards driven by poor urban planning, rapid industrialization, and institutional weakness. Cities like Lagos, Port Harcourt, and Kano suffer from pervasive air and water pollution, indiscriminate industrial discharges, and unregulated solid waste accumulation. For instance, in Ijegan (Lagos), the proximity of residential areas to toxic waste dumps and informal industrial zones results in elevated exposure to pollutants, especially for residents in low-income neighborhoods [6].

The lack of environmental impact assessments, inadequate zoning policies, and poor monitoring of informal economic activities further compound the problem. Regulatory enforcement is often selective and politically driven, failing to sanction violators consistently or prioritize the health and safety of vulnerable populations. This constitutes a clear case of procedural injustice, where certain groups are systematically excluded from decision-making processes that directly affect their environmental well-being.

3.6. Political Ecology as an Interpretive Framework

Through the lens of Political Ecology Theory, these case studies reveal that Nigeria's environmental governance failures are not merely the result of technical inefficiencies or legislative gaps, but rather stem from entrenched power asymmetries, historical marginalization, and institutionalized inequities [71]. This theoretical perspective emphasizes that environmental degradation and the ineffective application of the Polluter Pays Principle are structurally maintained by elite interests and state-corporate alliances, which prioritize economic returns over ecological integrity and human rights.

In the Niger Delta, for example, the alignment of state actors with oil corporations often leads to regulatory inertia and the suppression of local resistance. Community voices are marginalized, and compensation mechanisms are either delayed or denied, violating principles of environmental justice and public participation. Similarly, in urban contexts, the spatial concentration of pollution in low-income settlements reflects socio-political choices that externalize environmental costs to those with the least political power.

3.7. Systemic Implications for Regulatory Reform

These empirical examples highlight that environmental

harm in Nigeria disproportionately affects the poor and politically marginalized, while powerful polluters often operate with impunity. This regulatory imbalance undermines the efficacy of the Polluter Pays Principle and stymies efforts to realize environmental justice.

To address these entrenched inequalities, there must be a fundamental reorientation of Nigeria's environmental governance architecture, one that promotes transparency, ensures inclusive participation, and enhances institutional independence and accountability. The political ecology lens reveals that without tackling the underlying structures of exclusion, no legal principle—however well-intentioned—can deliver equitable environmental outcomes.

4. Regulatory Effectiveness: Evaluating Policy Instruments and Outcomes

4.1. Assessment of Regulatory Effectiveness: Deterrence, Compliance, and Equity

Environmental regulatory effectiveness in Nigeria remains uneven and often underwhelming when evaluated against the benchmarks of deterrence, compliance, and equity. While the Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) is nominally embedded in policy frameworks such as the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) Act, enforcement remains largely reactive and inconsistent [18]. Deterrence is weakened by lenient penalties and limited prosecution of violators, leading to widespread non-compliance, especially by powerful industrial actors. For instance, several multinational oil companies continue to operate with impunity in the Niger Delta despite documented pollution incidents [74, 21].

In terms of equity, the implementation of environmental laws disproportionately affects the poor and marginalized, who often lack legal literacy, access to redress mechanisms, or the political leverage to demand enforcement. Communities affected by pollution—especially in oil-producing or industrial zones—seldom receive adequate compensation or remediation, indicating a justice gap in the regulatory landscape [59].

4.2. Comparative Insights from Other Developing Economies

Comparative experiences from other developing countries such as India, Brazil, and South Africa reveal alternative models of environmental governance that, while facing their own challenges, have shown relatively stronger integration of environmental justice and community rights. In India, the National Green Tribunal has provided a quasi-judicial mechanism for enforcing environmental laws and ensuring citizen participation [69]. Brazil's participatory budgeting and environmental councils offer avenues for grassroots influence over

environmental priorities. In contrast, Nigeria lacks effective institutionalized platforms for such citizen-driven oversight, highlighting a structural gap in participatory environmental governance.

4.3. Role of Civil Society, Judiciary, and Environmental Advocacy

Civil society organizations (CSOs), environmental advocates, and the judiciary have played important but limited roles in Nigeria's environmental governance. While NGOs such as Environmental Rights Action (ERA) and the Health of Mother Earth Foundation (HOMEF) have raised awareness and litigated against polluters, their impact is constrained by limited funding, political repression, and restricted access to decision-making arenas [57].

The judiciary, although constitutionally empowered, has often been reluctant to enforce environmental justice robustly, citing procedural hurdles, jurisdictional limitations, or deferring to executive agencies. Nonetheless, notable cases like the *Shell v. Ogoni* suit brought international attention to the environmental plight in the Niger Delta, albeit with limited domestic policy shifts [74].

4.4. Identifying Structural and Normative Barriers

Several structural barriers hinder effective environmental regulation in Nigeria. These include:

- 1) Institutional fragmentation, where overlapping mandates among federal, state, and local agencies lead to jurisdictional conflicts and inefficiencies.
- 2) Regulatory capture, where polluting industries exert undue influence over regulatory agencies.
- 3) Corruption, which dilutes enforcement integrity and enables offenders to avoid sanctions.
- 4) Normative dissonance, where cultural and socio-economic norms fail to align with formal regulatory expectations [7].

Together, these constraints erode trust in environmental institutions and undermine the operationalization of the PPP and environmental justice goals.

5. Policy Implications and Recommendations

5.1. Strategies for Strengthening Regulatory Frameworks and Institutional Capacity

1. To enhance regulatory effectiveness, Nigeria must prioritize institutional reform and capacity building. Key steps include:

- 1) Restructuring NESREA and other agencies to improve

independence, technical capacity, and inter-agency coordination.

- 2) Introducing performance-based incentives for environmental officers to strengthen compliance monitoring.
- 3) Developing comprehensive national pollution databases to track violations and guide evidence-based interventions.

2. Mainstreaming Environmental Justice into Policy, Environmental justice (EJ) should be a guiding principle in all environmental laws and development policies. This involves:

- 1) Explicitly embedding EJ in environmental impact assessments (EIAs) and licensing processes.
- 2) Mandating social and environmental audits that are disaggregated by income, gender, and location to ensure equity in decision-making and impact distribution.
- 3) Prioritizing vulnerable communities in environmental remediation and rehabilitation schemes.

3. Promoting Transparency, Accountability, and Community Participation, Effective PPP implementation demands greater transparency and inclusivity. Policy tools should ensure:

- 1) Open data access, especially on pollution levels, corporate responsibility reports, and regulatory actions.
- 2) Community monitoring platforms, such as citizen science or digital complaint portals.
- 3) Legal empowerment initiatives that equip communities with knowledge to challenge polluters and demand enforcement.

4. Proposals for Context-Sensitive and Equity-Focused PPP, The PPP should be recalibrated to reflect Nigeria's socio-economic realities. Proposals include:

- 1) Differentiated responsibilities, where polluters are assessed not only by their emissions but also their ability to pay and past compliance records.
- 2) Environmental tax reforms to discourage pollution while funding restoration.
- 3) Integrating traditional ecological knowledge into regulatory design, especially in rural and indigenous communities.

5.2. Conclusion

The political ecology framework reveals that environmental degradation in Nigeria extends beyond weak regulation; it is embedded in structural power asymmetries, historical marginalization, and the political economy of natural resource exploitation. While the Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) and Environmental Justice (EJ) frameworks exist within national legislation, their enforcement remains selective, inequitable, and politically compromised.

This study's theoretical contribution lies in integrating PPP and EJ within a political ecology perspective to explain how institutional weaknesses, elite capture, and socio-political exclusion sustain environmental injustice. By doing so, it advances understanding of environmental governance as not only a legal or technical challenge but also a deeply political

process shaped by access, power, and accountability.

A justice-driven paradigm must therefore transcend formalistic regulation to embrace redistributive justice, participatory governance, and ecological accountability. Achieving this requires dismantling institutional biases, enhancing transparency, and strengthening community agency in environmental decision-making.

Future research should build on this theoretical synthesis by empirically examining how participatory governance models, local knowledge systems, and grassroots environmental movements can operationalize justice-based approaches in Nigeria and comparable developing contexts. Such inquiries would deepen the practical application of political ecology and contribute to designing inclusive, accountable, and context-sensitive environmental governance frameworks.

Abbreviations

EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EJ	Environmental Justice
NESREA	National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PPP	Polluter Pays Principle
UN	United Nations
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme

Author Contributions

Nyerhovwo Kingsley Erakpotobor is the sole author. The author read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Adebayo, A. A., & Ojo, A. O. (2021). Environmental degradation and the regulatory gap in Nigeria's oil-producing communities. *Journal of African Environmental Policy*, 8(2), 101–118.
- [2] Adegoke, O., & Ogundele, T. (2020). Institutional failure and environmental pollution in Nigeria: A review of regulatory gaps. *African Journal of Sustainable Development*, 9(1), 98–112.
- [3] Adegoroye, A. (2006). The challenge of environmental enforcement in Africa: The Nigerian experience. Environmental Law Institute.
- [4] Adekola, O., Lamond, J., & Proverbs, D. (2017). Community perceptions of environmental and social injustice in flood risk exposure: A case study in the Niger Delta. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 24, 101–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2017.05.020>
- [5] Adekoya, O. A. (2019). Environmental regulation in Nigeria: Perspectives and challenges. *Journal of Environmental Law and Policy*, 3(1), 56–70.
- [6] Adelekan, I. O. (2012). Vulnerability to wind hazards in the traditional city of Ibadan, Nigeria. *Environment and Urbanization*, 24(2), 597–617. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247812457090>
- [7] Adeola, G. N. (2021). Environmental justice and the struggle for equitable pollution control in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Environmental Law*, 25(1), 37–56.
- [8] Adewumi, A. O., & Okojie, L. O. (2018). Environmental injustice and the politics of land use in Nigeria: A case study of riverine communities. *African Journal of Environmental Law and Policy*, 22(1), 43–58.
- [9] Adewumi, I. O., & Okojie, C. E. E. (2018). Public participation in environmental governance in Nigeria: Myth or reality? *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*, 20(1), 45–58.
- [10] Adewumi, I. O., & Okojie, C. G. (2018). Environmental injustice and development in the Niger Delta: A critique of the democratic process in Nigeria. *African Research Review*, 12(2), 25–37. <https://doi.org/10.4314/afrev.v12i2.3>
- [11] Adeola, F. O. (2000). Cross-national environmental injustice and human rights issues: A review of evidence in the developing world. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 43(4), 686–706. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00027640021955338>
- [12] Agbola, T., & Alabi, M. (2003). Sustainable environmental management in Nigeria: Some practical insights. *Environmental Management and Health*, 14(3), 352–361.
- [13] Aghalino, S. O., & Eyinla, B. (2011). Oil exploration and human security in the Niger Delta. *Nigerian Journal of International Affairs*, 37(1), 35–50.
- [14] Ajayi, O. (2020). Environmental enforcement and compliance in Nigeria: A critical appraisal of NESREA. *International Journal of Environmental Law*, 11(2), 78–93.
- [15] Ajibo, C. C. (2016). Environmental law and underdevelopment in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria. *Journal of Sustainable Development Law and Policy*, 7(1), 110–129. <https://doi.org/10.4314/jsdlp.v7i1.6>
- [16] Akinbami, J. F., Fagbohun, O., & Adewale, A. (2016). Gendered perspectives on climate change adaptation and environmental justice in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Environmental Law*, 10(2), 15–34.
- [17] Akinbami, J. F. K., & Igbinsola, I. (2014). Capacity issues in environmental regulation: The Nigerian experience. *Environmental Management Journal*, 10(1), 45–61.
- [18] Akpofure, E. A., & Enaruvbe, G. O. (2021). Institutional mechanisms and the challenges of enforcing environmental laws in Nigeria. *Environmental Policy and Law*, 51(2), 85–94. <https://doi.org/10.3233/EPL-201159>
- [19] Akpofure, R. (2008). Environmental impact assessment process for oil and gas projects in Nigeria: A critical review. *Environmental Management Journal*, 12(3), 44–59.

- [20] Akpofure, E. A., Efere, M. L., & Ayawei, P. (2000). The adverse effects of crude oil spills in the Niger Delta. Shell Petroleum Development Company.
- [21] Amnesty International. (2020). No clean-up, no justice: An evaluation of the implementation of UNEP's environmental assessment of Ogoniland nine years on. <https://www.amnesty.org/en/documents/afr44/2151/2020/en/>
- [22] Ayejina, A., & Adewusi, A. O. (2019). Environmental Impact Assessment practice in Nigeria: A review of policy implementation and compliance issues. *Journal of Environmental Policy and Law*, 49(2), 167–176.
- [23] Baber, W. F., & Bartlett, R. V. (2009). *Global democracy and sustainable jurisprudence: Deliberative environmental law*. MIT Press.
- [24] Blackman, A. (2009). Alternative pollution control policies in developing countries: Informal, informational, and voluntary. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 3(2), 278–303. <https://doi.org/10.1093/reep/rep012>
- [25] Blaikie, P., & Brookfield, H. (1987). *Land degradation and society*. Methuen.
- [26] Braithwaite, J., & Drahos, P. (2000). *Global business regulation*. Cambridge University Press.
- [27] Bullard, R. D. (2005). *The Quest for Environmental Justice: Human Rights and the Politics of Pollution*. Sierra Club Books.
- [28] Bullard, R. D. (1993). *Confronting environmental racism: Voices from the grassroots*. South End Press.
- [29] Bullard, R. D. (1990). *Dumping in Dixie: Race, class, and environmental quality*. Westview Press.
- [30] Bryant, R. L. (2015). *The international handbook of political ecology*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- [31] Bryant, R. L., & Bailey, S. (1997). *Third World Political Ecology*. Routledge.
- [32] Ebeku, K. S. A. (2004). Environmental rights of the Niger Delta people under the Nigerian Constitution and international law. *International Journal on Minority and Group Rights*, 11(4), 405–423.
- [33] Ebeku, K. S. A. (2004). Environmental protection and the oil industry in Nigeria: The roles of governmental agencies. *Oil and Gas Law Review*, 22(3), 398–415.
- [34] Ede, P. N., & Edokpayi, C. A. (2015). Industrial pollution and ecological health: The case of Warri and its environs. *Nigerian Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 13(1), 72–84.
- [35] Ekhaton, E. O. (2016). Environmental protection in the oil and gas sector in Nigeria: The roles of governmental and non-governmental actors. *Oil, Gas & Energy Law Journal (OGEL)*, 14(1), 1–21.
- [36] Ekundayo, J. A., & Oladapo, T. (2022). Pollution-induced displacement and environmental justice in Nigeria's oil belt: A geospatial study. *African Geographical Review*, 41(1), 56–73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19376812.2021.1930645>
- [37] Etemire, U. (2015). *Law and practice on public participation in environmental matters: The Nigerian example in transnational comparative perspective*. Routledge.
- [38] Fiorino, D. J. (1990). Citizen participation and environmental risk: A survey of institutional mechanisms. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, 15(2), 226–243.
- [39] Gonzalez, C. G. (2015). Environmental justice, human rights, and the global South. *Santa Clara Journal of International Law*, 13(2), 151–195.
- [40] Ibaba, S. I., & Olumati, J. C. (2020). Environmental justice and oil-induced conflict in the Niger Delta. *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations*, 14(1), 1–10.
- [41] Ibe, K. N. (2017). Revisiting the polluter pays principle in Nigeria: Time to walk the talk. *Environmental Law Review*, 19(4), 282–296.
- [42] Ikelegbe, A. (2013). State, oil and environmental insecurity in the Niger Delta. *African Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 13(1), 91–120.
- [43] Ite, U. E., & Ibok, U. J. (2013). Petroleum exploration and production: Past and present environmental issues in the Nigeria's Niger Delta. *American Journal of Environmental Protection*, 1(4), 78–90. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajep.20130104.11>
- [44] Iyiola, A., & Okafor, O. N. (2021). Environmental literacy and the right to a healthy environment in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Law and Environment*, 9(2), 76–92.
- [45] Kuehn, R. R. (2000). A taxonomy of environmental justice. *Environmental Law Reporter*, 30, 10681–10703.
- [46] Lawal, K., & Adeola, R. (2019). Environmental regulatory frameworks in Nigeria: Gaps and prospects. *African Journal of Law and Policy*, 15(3), 101–119.
- [47] Nriagu, J. O., Udofia, E. A., Ekong, I., & Ebuk, G. (2016). Health risks associated with oil pollution in the Niger Delta. *Environmental Research*, 151, 247–259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2016.07.028>
- [48] Nwafor, A. O. (2021). Evaluating institutional frameworks for environmental governance in Nigeria. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*, 23(2), 19–34.
- [49] Nwankwo, C. O., & Ifeanchio, M. (2019). The politics of environmental degradation in the Niger Delta: A critical assessment. *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations*, 13(4), 48–56.
- [50] Nwankwo, C. A., & Onyia, C. (2020). Assessing the effectiveness of Nigeria's regulatory framework on environmental pollution. *African Journal of Environmental and Waste Management*, 4(2), 31–46.
- [51] Nsofor, C., & Odum, O. (2018). Command and control approaches in Nigeria's environmental law regime: A critical assessment. *Journal of African Environmental Studies*, 5(1), 22–38.
- [52] Obi, C. (2010). The petroleum industry: A paradox or (sp)oil of development in the Niger Delta? *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*, 28(4), 443–457.

- [53] Obi, C., & Rustad, S. A. (2011). *Oil and insurgency in the Niger Delta: Managing the complex politics of petro-violence*. Zed Books.
- [54] OECD. (1972). *Recommendation of the Council on Guiding Principles concerning International Economic Aspects of Environmental Policies*. OECD Publishing.
- [55] Okafor-Yarwood, I. (2020). The vulnerability of coastal communities in Nigeria: The case of oil exploitation. *African Security Review*, 29(3), 270–288.
- [56] Okereke, C., & Agupusi, P. (2015). *Environmental governance and the challenges of climate change in Nigeria*. Routledge.
- [57] Okonta, I., & Douglas, O. (2003). *Where vultures feast: Shell, human rights, and oil in the Niger Delta*. Sierra Club Books.
- [58] Okpara, I., & Omoju, O. E. (2016). Corporate impunity and environmental justice in Nigeria's oil-producing communities. *African Journal of Criminology and Justice Studies*, 9(1), 98–117.
- [59] Olorunfemi, F. B. (2022). Political economy of environmental governance in Nigeria. *African Journal of Governance and Development*, 11(1), 50–69.
- [60] Oloruntoba, S. O., & Falola, T. (2015). *The political economy of development and underdevelopment in Africa*. Routledge.
- [61] Oloruntoba, S. O. (2020). Neoliberalism, resource governance and conflict in Africa: Revisiting the Nigerian oil economy. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 11(2), 327–344. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJEMS-07-2019-0277>
- [62] Omisore, A. G. (2018). Environmental pollution and its impact on sustainable development in Nigeria. *European Journal of Sustainable Development Research*, 2(1), 34. <https://doi.org/10.20897/ejosdr/77682>
- [63] Osofsky, H. M. (2004). Learning from environmental justice: A new model for international environmental rights. *Stanford Environmental Law Journal*, 24(1), 71–148.
- [64] Pearce, D. (2006). *Blueprint 2: Greening the World Economy*. Earthscan.
- [65] Pearce, D. (2002). The economic value of biodiversity. In S. A. Levin (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of biodiversity* (pp. 109–121). Academic Press.
- [66] Peet, R., Robbins, P., & Watts, M. (Eds.). (2011). *Global political ecology*. Routledge.
- [67] Pellow, D. N. (2000). Environmental inequality formation: Toward a theory of environmental injustice. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 43(4), 581–601.
- [68] Robbins, P. (2012). *Political ecology: A critical introduction* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.
- [69] Sahu, G. (2020). Judicial activism and environmental governance in India: The role of the National Green Tribunal. *Environmental Law Review*, 22(3), 190–205. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461452920934312>
- [70] Sands, P., Peel, J., Fabra, A., & MacKenzie, R. (2012). *Principles of international environmental law* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- [71] Schlosberg, D. (2007). *Defining environmental justice: Theories, movements, and nature*. Oxford University Press.
- [72] Tietenberg, T. H., & Lewis, L. (2018). *Environmental and Natural Resource Economics* (11th ed.). Routledge.
- [73] Turner, R. K., Pearce, D., & Bateman, I. (1994). *Environmental economics: An introduction*. Harvester Wheatsheaf.
- [74] UNEP. (2011). *Environmental assessment of Ogoniland*. United Nations Environment Programme. <https://www.unep.org/resources/report/environmental-assessment-ogoniland-report>
- [75] UNEP. (2021). *Global Environment Outlook 6: Healthy planet, healthy people*. United Nations Environment Programme.
- [76] Watts, M. (2004). Resource curse? Governmentality, oil and power in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Geopolitics*, 9(1), 50–80. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14650040412331307832>
- [77] Walker, G. (2012). *Environmental justice: Concepts, evidence and politics*. Routledge.
- [78] Watts, M. J. (2008). Blood oil: The anatomy of a petro-insurgency in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Fifth Pan-African Conference on Peace, Gender and Development*, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- [79] Watts, M. (2015). Petro-violence and the political ecology of oil in the Niger Delta. In P. Robbins (Ed.), *Political Ecology* (2nd ed., pp. 189–210). Wiley-Blackwell.
- [80] Zalik, A. (2004). The Niger Delta: 'Petro violence' and partnership development. *Review of African Political Economy*, 31(101), 401–424. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0305624042000262257>