

Response of Yield, Yield Components and Oil Quality of some Safflower Genotypes to Harvesting Date

Makkiyah Kadhum Alag¹, Saddam Hakeem Cheyed¹, Nazy Awishalem Sarkees²

¹Field Crops Department, College of Agriculture, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

²Field Crops Department, College of Agriculture, University of Salahaddin, Erbil, Iraq

Email address:

alhakeem@gmail.com (S. H. Cheyed), abotaha_h_2006@yahoo.com (S. H. Cheyed)

To cite this article:

Makkiyah Kadhum Alag, Saddam Hakeem Cheyed, Nazy Awishalem Sarkees. Response of Yield, Yield Components and Oil Quality of some Safflower Genotypes to Harvesting Date. *International Journal of Applied Agricultural Sciences*. Vol. 1, No. 2, 2015, pp. 42-48. doi: 10.11648/j.ijaas.20150102.15

Abstract: This study was conducted at experimental field, College of Agriculture, Baghdad University, Abu-Ghraib-Iraq, during winter seasons 2010-2011 and 2011-2012. Randomized Complete Block Design with three replicates arranged in a split-plot was used. Five genotypes (G2018, Gila, Al-mais, Aurduny and Rabee 500) represents main plots, whereas four harvesting dates at [physiological maturity (PM), 10, 20 and 30 days after (PM)] were assigned as sub-plots. In both seasons at physiological maturity stage, the plants attained the highest plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of heads per plant, 100-seed weight, seed yield, oil content and oil yield. Also, Rabee 500 genotype's plants were characterized by recording the highest number of primary branches per plant, number of heads per plant, 100-seed weight, seed yield and oil content. Aurduny genotype when was harvested at first date obtained the highest seed yield (3.5 and 3.6 t ha⁻¹) for both seasons, oil content (36.2%) in 2011-2012 season and the highest oil yield (1.3 t ha⁻¹) in 2010-2011 season. In contrast, G2018 genotype obtained the highest oil content (35.4%) when harvested at the first date in 2010-2011 and oil yield (2.4 t ha⁻¹) in 2011-2012 when harvested after 20 days from PM. Plants of first season produced the highest seed yield (2.4 t ha⁻¹), while the same plants in the second season were recognized by recording the highest oil content (31.4%). There was a high significant positive correlation between seed yield with plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of heads per plant and 100-seed weight. Also, a positive and highly significant correlation between oil yield and seed yield and oil content for both seasons was found.

Keywords: Harvesting Date, Oil Content, Oil and Seed Yield, Safflower

1. Introduction

Among the oil seed crops, safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) from Asteraceae family, is one of the most valuable crops with multipurpose usage which is grown for oil, medicinal and industrial uses, safflower oil is one of the highest quality vegetable oils, containing oleic and linoleic acids (Khan et al., 2009). However the safflower plant can be utilized as grazed forage or stored as hay or silage.

Seed quality of safflower is affected by many factors like genotypes, environment and agronomic practices. Among the agronomic factors affecting the crop growth and yield is the stage of seed development at harvest which influences both safflower yield and seed quality. Harvesting too early may result in low yield and poor seed quality, whereas harvesting too late may result in shattering such as rapeseed. Seed shattering is usually has been not a problem, although safflower should be harvested as soon as it matures to

minimize the danger of seed damage from excessive moisture. Excessive rain and high humidity after physiological maturity of the seed may cause sprouting in the head (Mundel et al., 2004). Then the choice of appropriate harvesting date is one of the key points in crop management to obtain high quality and quantity yield, so suggesting of most appropriate harvesting date to farmers increase their yield. The harvest area of safflower throughout the world was about (782641) hectares, produced (647374) tones of average yield (827.2 kg ha⁻¹) according to FAO statistics in 2014. Several studies in safflower, showed that a significant difference between the means of the harvesting in number of heads per plant, 100-seed weight and seed yield delay in harvesting were decreased the seed and oil yield, head per plant and 100-seed weight, Mosavi Mogaddam et al. (2013) found that significant interactions between cultivars and harvesting dates on seed yield, oil percentage and harvest index. Isfahan cultivar had the highest yield when harvested at 136 days after sowing.

However, there is little information available regarding the optimum time for harvesting safflower; thus, the present study was conducted in order to determine the optimum harvest crop genotypes in the region by its high seed yield, oil content and oil yield of these genotypes.

2. Materials and Methods

This study was conducted at experimental field, College of agriculture, Baghdad University-Abu Ghraib (west of Baghdad, Latitude 33° 22' N' and Longitude 44° 24' E, 38 meter above sea level)-Iraq during two winter growth seasons 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 to study the effect of harvesting date on yield, yield components and oil quality of five safflower genotypes.

A split-plot based on randomized complete block design

with three replications was used. Five genotypes (G2018, Gila, Al-mais, Aurduny and Rabee 5000) represented the main plots, whereas four harvesting dates (at physiological maturity PM, After 10, 20 and 30 days from physiological maturity) were assigned as sub-plots. The date of physiological maturity was May 29 in the first season and May 25 in the second season. Safflower's physiological maturity is about 35-40 days after flowering and ready to be harvested when most of leaves have turned brown and only tint of green remains on the bracts of the latest flowering heads. Plants are dry not brittle and seeds should rub freely from the heads (Mundel et al., 2004).

The represented soil samples were taken from various locations of the field at depth (30-60 cm) after tillage, these samples were air dried, then sieved by using 2 mm sieve, then packed for analysis, as shown in table (1).

Table 1. Some chemical and physical properties of field soil.

	PSD (g kg ⁻¹ soil)			Soil texture	pH	Ec (ds.m ⁻¹)	O.M (g kg ⁻¹)	Available N (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	Available P (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	Available K (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)
	Clay	Silt	Sand							
2010-2011	315	550	135	Silt clay loam	7.4	2.3	3.2	35	14.28	270
2011-1012	310	560	130	Silt clay loam	7.5	2.4	3.2	38	20.35	281

Central Laboratory of Soil and Water Resources Dept./College of Agriculture/Baghdad University

The field was cross plowed twice, softened and leveled and then the land was divided mechanically to furrows, each replicate consisted of 20 experimental units (3 × 3) m² containing four furrow, each 3 m long. Sowing was performed manually on 12 November, 2010 and 21 November 2011, two seeds were sowed in hole of 2-3 cm depth, plants were 30 cm apart and furrows were 75 cm apart to achieve nearly plant density of 44444.44 plants per hectare. Nitrogen fertilizer was added at a rate of 120 N kg ha⁻¹ in the

form of urea (46% N) at three intervals; the first at sowing date with P₂O₅ was drilled near the seeds and covered at a rate of 80 P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹ in the form of triple superphosphate (46% P₂O₅) (Martin et al., 2006). The second interval of nitrogen fertilizer was added at main stem elongation and the last was added at 50% of flowering (Dahnk et al., 1992 and Deedar, 1994). The Meteorological data of both seasons in Abu-Ghraib location was given in (Table 2).

Table 2. Meteorological data of both seasons in Abu-Ghraib location.

Months		Average Temp. (°C)				Relative humidity (%)			
		2010-2011		2011-2012		2010-2011		2011-2012	
		Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.
March	1-10	11.1	23.9	8.7	21.5	32.1	82.5	21.2	69.6
	11-20	14.8	28.7	7.1	22.9	18.5	57.9	17.1	68.3
	21-31	10.5	24.2	10.5	25.9	29.7	76.9	18.9	76.5
April	1-10	14.7	29.7	13.9	29.5	17.9	68.6	17.8	56.9
	11-20	14.9	27.4	14.0	30.1	19.6	73.5	12.6	53.9
	21-30	16.8	31.3	16.6	29.6	18.8	77.3	24.0	77.2
May	1-10	18.2	33.9	17.3	32.7	16.7	69.7	19.7	68.4
	11-20	24.9	29.5	20.4	31.2	12.7	45.3	15.4	53.3
	21-31	21.9	39.7	21.6	39.3	10.0	45.7	11.6	46.8
June	1-10	24.5	43.1	24.3	41.8	9.1	41.9	9.9	50.0
	11-20	26.5	43.7	23.2	39.4	9.8	41.7	11.4	46.5
	21-30	23.5	38.5	24.4	42.8	12.6	42.9	9.0	38.5

Three plants were randomly selected from each experimental unit to measure plant height (which was measured as the length of the stem from soil surface to the top of the plant), number of primary branches per plant, number of heads per plant, number of seeds per head and 100-seed weight. Total seed yield was obtained by harvesting plants' samples from the mid furrow to calculate seed yield per hectare. Harvesting index was calculated by using the following formula:

$$HI = \frac{\text{See yield}}{\text{Biological yield}} \times 100$$

Oil was extracted from safflower seeds using Soxhlet, and oil percentage was estimated according to Association of Official Analytical Chemists (A.O.A.C., 1980). Oil yield was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Oil yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \text{Oil\%} \times \text{Seed yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}$$

The data analysis was conducted statistically for all studied

traits according to variance of analysis using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute 2005) program. Duncan's multi-range test at level of 5% was used to determine means (Steel and Torrie, 1960). Simple correlation coefficient was calculated between the seed yield and other traits and among the traits themselves for both seasons.

3. Results and Discussion

In both seasons; genotypes, harvesting dates and their interaction had significant effect on all traits studied (Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6). In the first season (2010-2011), plants of Gila, Al-mais, G2018 and Aurduny genotypes produced the same highest plant height (149.1, 149.1, 148.7 and 147.1 cm) respectively, compared to Rabee 500 genotypes plants, while in the second season (2011-2012) plants of Gila produced the highest plant height (116.9 cm) compared to Rabee 500 genotype (Table 3). This result is in agreement with Soleyman *et al.* (2011) who reported that the highest plant height was related to Zarghan cultivar compared to the rest of cultivars. It is noticed that plants harvested at physiological maturity stage (first harvest date) in two seasons attained the highest length (154.2 and 117.3 cm) respectively, while the lowest was for plants harvested after 30 days of physiological maturity (Table 4). The tallest plants were in the first season (146.9 cm) compared to the second season (107.5 cm). Gila genotype's plants in both seasons recorded the highest plant height when the plants harvested at physiological maturity stage compared to Rabee 500 genotype when harvested in last harvest date (Tables 5 and 6).

Rabee 500 genotype in both seasons produced the highest number of primary branches (18.3 and 20.3) for both seasons respectively; this result is probably related to the genetic nature of branches formation under certain conditions. Also it is noticed from table (4) that plants harvested at physiological maturity stage in both seasons produced the highest number of primary branches per plant compared to the rest of dates. Also Aurduny genotype in the first season and Al-mais genotype in the second season produced the highest number of primary branches when harvested at physiological maturity stage (Tables 5 and 6).

Rabee 500 genotype's plants planted in both seasons produced the highest number of heads (62.5 and 61.3) respectively. First harvesting date plants in each season recorded the highest number of heads per plant compared to the other dates, especially the late harvest date (after 30 dates of PM); this decline is attributed to decrease the number of primary branches (Table 2) which has a negative effect on number of heads per plant. From tables (5) (6), Rabee 500 genotype in the first season and Al-mais genotype in the second season recorded the highest number of heads per plant when harvested at the harvest date (physiological maturity).

Data of table (3) and (4) revealed that Gila genotype in 2010-2011 season and G2018 in the 2011-2012 season recorded the highest number of seeds per head (32.4 and 28.1) for both genotypes respectively. From the same tables revealed that harvesting after 30 days of PM in the first season on and

after 20 days of PM recorded the highest number of seeds per head (32.1 and 26.1) respectively which increases at (81.4 and 35.9%) respectively in comparison to the first date (PM). The highest number of seeds per head was in the first season (26.1) and the lowest was in the second season (21.4). Also G2018 genotypes plants in both seasons produced the highest number of seeds per head when harvested after 30 and 20 days of physiological maturity for both seasons respectively (Tables 5 and 6).

The highest 100-seed weight was recorded for Rabee 500 (5.04 and 4.18 g) in both seasons respectively, while the lowest was recorded to the rest of genotypes (Table 3). Also the first harvest date's plants gave the highest 100-seed weight (4.91 and 4.22 g) in both seasons respectively in comparison with harvesting date 30 days of PM. The first season's plants gave the highest weight of 100-seed (4.66 g), while the second gave the lowest (3.61 g) (Table 3). Rabee 500 genotype plants produced the highest 100-seed weight (5.51 and 4.95 g) in both seasons respectively when harvested at physiological maturity stage (Tables 5 and 6).

The results of tables (3) and (4) indicate that Rabee 500 genotype's plants gave the highest seed yield in both seasons, while Al-mais genotype's plants for the same seasons caused a decline in yields by (25.3 and 34.0%) respectively, the increase in yield of Rabee 500 genotype was due to increasing one or more of its components as the number of heads per plant (62.5 and 61.3) which was a result of increasing of the number of primary branches per plant (18.3 and 20.3) and 100-seed weight (5.04 and 4.18 g) in both seasons respectively (Table 3) was one of reasons for the yield rising. Also it was found that plants harvested at physiological maturity stage gave the highest seed yield (3003.0 and 2700.1 kg ha⁻¹) for both seasons respectively, compared with plants harvested after 30 days of PM (1814.1 and 1128.2 kg ha⁻¹) respectively. This result is in agreement with Mosovi Moguddam *et al.* (2013) who found that delay in harvesting decreased seed yield, oil yield, heads per plant and 100-seed weight. It is noticed that there is a high significant positive correlation relationship between seed yield with plant height, number of primary branches/plant, number of heads per plant for both seasons and with number of seeds per head and 100-seed weight for both seasons (Appendix 2). However, data of table (3) shows that plants belonged to first season produced highest rate for this trait (2414.3 kg ha⁻¹) compared with seed yields of the second season, this may be due to increase of number of seeds per head with 100-seed weight in addition to climatic conditions which differences between both seasons (Table 2). The seed yield had the highest values reached (3.5 and 3.6 t ha⁻¹) when Aurduny genotype's plants harvested at first date (physiological maturity) comparing to the rest of interactions (Tables 5 and 6).

The results show that Al-mais genotype and plants harvested after 20 days of PM in the first season as well as G2018 genotype and plants harvested after 30 days of PM in the second season gave the highest harvest index (33.9 and 27.2%) and (25.3 and 25.2%) for both seasons respectively (Tables 3 and 4). Also, Al-mais genotype when harvested after 20 days of PM in 2010-2011, as well as Gila genotype when harvested

after 30 days of PM in 2011-2012 season recorded the highest harvest index (Tables 5 and 6).

The highest oil percentage was gained by Rabee 500 in both seasons (32.5 and 33.8) respectively. This result was in agreement with Mohankumar and Chimmad (2005) who pointed out the existence of significant differences among cultivars for oil content. In addition to plants of genotypes produced the highest oil content when harvesting at physiological maturity (33.7 and 34.6%) in both seasons respectively. The oil content reduced with delay 30 day of PM by (21.1 and 19.4%) comparison to the first harvest date. The increase of oil content may be due to increase in temperature during flowering to maturity and the higher oil accumulation with increase in temperature is similar to other oil seed crops (Qadir et al., 2006). From tables (5) and (6), the highest oil content were (35.4 and 36.2) procure by the G2018 genotype in 2010-2011 season and Aurduny genotype in 2011-2012 season when both harvested at physiological maturity compare with the other interactions. The second season (2011-2012) was superior to (31.4%) the first season which recorded (30.1%), this was due to the difference in temperature and relative humidity between both seasons (Table 2).

From data of tables (3) and (4); the optimum values of oil

yield were recorded by Al-mais genotype (842.1 kg ha⁻¹) in the first season and by G2018 genotype (1108.1 kg ha⁻¹) in the second season, in addition to the optimum oil yield were (1007.7 and 939.1 kg ha⁻¹) acquired by harvesting at physiological maturity stage, while the minimum values were (483.2 and 315.6 kg ha⁻¹) acquired by harvesting after 30 days of PM at both seasons respectively. The reason of increase oil yield in early harvesting date (physiological maturity) is the result of increase seed yield and the oil content in the same stage (Table 4). A positive and highly significant correlation was between oil yield with seed yield and oil content for both seasons ($r = 0.941^{**}$ and 0.727^{**}) and ($r = 0.765^{**}$ and 0.833^{**}) respectively (Table 7). Also, Aurduny genotype when harvested at first harvest in the first season and G2018 genotype when harvested after 20 days of PM in the second season were obtained the highest values of oil yield (1261.7 and 2398.4 kg ha⁻¹) respectively (Tables 5 and 6).

It is concluded that Rabee 500 genotype's plants gave the highest seed yield in both seasons; also, the plants harvested at physiological maturity gave the highest seed and oil yield in both seasons, while the highest value of oil yield was recorded by Al-mais genotype in the first season and G2018 genotype in the second season.

Table 3. Yield components, yield and oil quality of safflower genotypes for both seasons (2010-2011) and (2011-2012).

Growing season	Genotypes	Plant Height (cm)	No. of primary branches /plant	No. of Heads /plant	No. of Seeds /head	100-seed weight (g)	Seed Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	H.I (%)	Oil Content (%)	Oil Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
2010	G2018	148.7a	17.6a	51.5c	25.8bc	4.40b	2243.3c	19.2d	30.9c	701.5c
	Gila	149.1a	14.7b	48.7d	32.4a	4.76b	2235.0c	21.4c	26.9e	618.4d
2011	Al-mais	149.1a	17.4a	56.3b	29.3ab	4.45b	2180.4d	33.9a	28.4d	842.1a
	Aurduny	147.1a	17.5a	52.4c	23.4bc	4.17b	2494.0b	25.5b	31.6b	803.4b
2011	Rabee 500	140.4b	18.3a	62.5a	19.8c	5.04a	2918.9a	18.2d	32.5a	712.0c
	G2018	106.6c	11.8c	47.9c	28.1a	3.37b	1951.7c	25.3a	32.4b	1108.1a
2011	Gila	116.9a	15.7b	63.2a	19.8b	3.70b	1411.7d	23.7ab	27.7d	607.2b
	Al-mais	103.6d	12.9c	36.0d	20.2b	3.27b	1356.1e	20.9b	30.5c	418.9c
2012	Aurduny	110.8b	19.5a	57.2b	23.7b	3.50b	2084.7b	21.1b	32.7b	717.7b
	Rabee 500	99.7e	20.3a	61.3a	15.1c	4.18a	2137.6a	24.5a	33.8a	480.2c

Table 4. The effect of harvesting date on yield components, yield and oil quality of safflower genotypes for both seasons (2010-2011) and (2011-2012) and their means.

Growing season	Genotypes	Plant Height (cm)	No. of primary branches /plant	No. of Heads / plant	No. of Seeds / head	100-seed weight (g)	Seed Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	H.I (%)	Oil Content (%)	Oil Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
2010	Physiological Maturity (PM)	154.2a	20.0a	82.2a	17.7d	4.91a	3003.3a	21.6c	33.7a	1007.7a
	After 10 day of PM	149.4b	17.1b	59.1b	28.3b	4.66b	2537.4b	21.5c	31.2b	786.7b
2011	After 20 day of PM	144.4c	16.5c	44.7c	26.4c	4.54c	2302.8c	27.2a	28.9c	664.4c
	After 30 day of PM	139.5d	14.8d	31.2d	32.1a	4.94d	1814.1d	24.3b	26.6d	483.2d
2010	Physiological Maturity (PM)	117.3a	20.0a	68.5a	19.2b	4.22a	2700.1a	22.7ab	34.6a	939.1a
	After 10 day of PM	107.9b	17.3b	50.1b	19.4b	3.70b	1827.3b	21.7b	32.5b	591.8ab
2011	After 20 day of PM	104.4c	15.4c	46.7c	22.5a	3.36c	1497.9c	22.9ab	30.6c	819.2ab
	After 30 day of PM	100.4d	11.6d	38.3d	20.5b	3.14d	1128.2d	25.2a	27.9d	315.6b
Means of seasons	2010-2011	146.9a	17.1	54.3	26.1a	4.66a	2414.3a	23.6	30.1b	735.5
	2011-2012	107.5b	16.1	53.1	21.4b	3.61b	1788.4b	23.1	31.4a	666.4

Values designed a different letters within a column indicates statistically significant differences according to Duncan's test.

Table 5. The dual interaction effect on yield components, yield and oil quality of safflower genotypes of 2010-2011 seasons.

Genotypes	Harvesting date	Plant Height (cm)	No. of primary branches /plant	No. of Heads/ plant	No. of Seeds /head	100-seed weight (g)	Seed Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	H.I (%)	Oil Content (%)	Seed Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
G2018	Physiological Maturity (PM)	154.0c	20.2b	75.0c	16.3e	4.48fgh	2425.9f	17.7fg	35.4a	859.1e
	After 10 day of PM	151.1cde	17.7ef	55.7f	25.9bc	4.43ghi	2311.7gh	18.9ef	33.4bc	771.6f
	After 20 day of PM	147.4fg	16.8gh	46.1gh	25.5bc	4.40ghi	2296.2hi	20.4e	30.6cf	699.0gh
	After 30 day of PM	142.2ij	15.6jk	29.2k	35.1a	4.27ij	1949.2l	19.6ef	24.4k	476.3k
Gila	Physiological Maturity (PM)	163.7a	16.7ghi	81.9b	14.9e	5.28b	2822.9c	19.4ef	32.4cd	914.9d
	After 10 day of PM	152.2d	15.3k	55.1f	25.5bc	5.01c	2442.7f	19.9ef	28.0h	684.0h
	After 20 day of PM	140.7ijk	14.1l	43.6h	24.7c	4.79d	2262.2i	28.2c	24.6k	556.7i
	After 30 day of PM	139.6jk	12.6m	29.0k	28.5b	3.97k	1414.1m	17.9fg	22.5l	317.9l
Al-mais	Physiological Maturity (PM)	153.1cd	19.0c	80.3b	21.6d	4.66de	3569.8a	27.1cd	31.0e	1108.4b
	After 10 day of PM	151.6cde	17.8ef	61.1e	34.9a	4.43ghi	3370.1b	30.8b	29.8fg	1005.5c
	After 20 day of PM	148.7ef	17.4fg	49.3g	26.6bc	4.37ghi	2528.0e	39.3a	26.9i	681.8h
	After 30 day of PM	143.0hi	15.3jk	34.3ij	33.9a	4.32hi	2207.7j	38.6a	25.9j	572.7i
Aurduny	Physiological Maturity (PM)	157.9b	23.3a	70.1d	25.4bc	4.59de	3477.5a	27.5cd	35.3a	1261.7a
	After 10 day of PM	150.5de	16.1hij	57.0f	34.6a	4.28ij	2349.5g	19.7ef	32.1d	754.3f
	After 20 day of PM	145.7gh	16.0ijk	36.1i	34.7a	4.15j	2279.3hi	29.2bc	29.7fg	677.9h
	After 30 day of PM	134.2l	14.4l	31.5jk	34.9a	3.65l	1769.8m	25.4d	29.4g	519.8j
Rabee 500	Physiological Maturity (PM)	142.1ij	20.5b	103.8a	10.4f	5.51a	2618.9d	16.3g	34.1b	894.1d
	After 10 day of PM	141.4ij	18.5cd	66.4d	20.5d	5.17b	2213.0j	18.2efg	32.4cd	718.0g
	After 20 day of PM	139.0jk	18.2de	48.2g	20.6d	4.97c	2153.4k	18.7ef	32.7cd	706.7gh
	After 30 day of PM	138.3k	15.9jk	31.7jk	27.7bc	4.49fg	1731.4m	19.7ef	30.6ef	529.3j

Values designed a different letters within a column indicates statistically significant differences according to Duncan's test.

Table 6. The dual interaction effect on yield components, yield and oil quality of safflower genotypes of 2011-2012 seasons.

Genotypes	Harvesting date	Plant Height (cm)	No. of primary branches /plant	No. of Heads/ plant	No. of Seeds /head	100-seed weight (g)	Seed Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	H.I (%)	Oil Content (%)	Seed Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
G2018	Physiological Maturity (PM)	119.2c	14.9g	59.2e	31.5a	4.14b	2969.9c	23.3ab	36.0a	1069.1b
	After 10 day of PM	106.3gh	12.4h	56.1e	22.1cde	3.79cd	2052.9e	26.8a	34.5bc	708.7bc
	After 20 day of PM	103.0ijk	10.5i	39.5hi	34.9a	2.92j	1776.1g	25.1ab	33.5d	2399.4a
	After 30 day of PM	97.8m	9.4ij	37.0hi	23.5bcd	2.62k	1007.9l	26.1a	25.4j	256.3b
Gila	Physiological Maturity (PM)	127.3a	20.1bc	81.3ab	24.4bc	4.13b	3297.5b	22.8abc	33.2d	1093.5b
	After 10 day of PM	119.3c	18.8cd	65.2d	21.1c-f	3.67cde	2233.0d	23.4ab	29.0h	647.6bc
	After 20 day of PM	112.4d	15.4fg	58.0e	17.3efg	3.56def	1582.7h	24.6ab	25.3j	400.1c
	After 30 day of PM	108.5ef	8.4j	48.4f	16.3fg	3.44e-h	1225.6k	27.1a	23.4k	328.2c

Genotypes	Harvesting date	Plant Height (cm)	No. of primary branches /plant	No. of Heads/ plant	No. of Seeds /head	100-seed weight (g)	Seed Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	H.I (%)	Oil Content (%)	Seed Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
Al-mais	Physiological Maturity (PM)	110.7d	24.3a	82.6a	12.9g	3.71cde	1721.9g	24.8ab	32.4ef	558.2bc
	After 10 day of PM	103.6ij	20.7b	71.5c	13.8g	3.31f-i	1533.6hi	15.1c	31.9f	488.7c
	After 20 day of PM	12.1jkl	18.6d	54.7e	16.5fg	3.16hij	1261.3k	21.4abc	29.7h	374.6c
	After 30 day of PM	97.9m	14.4g	36.3hi	17.2efg	2.90jk	907.4m	22.3ab	28.0i	254.2d
Aurduny	Physiological Maturity (PM)	124.0b	23.2a	77.3b	26.9b	4.17b	3603.3	20.6abc	36.2a	1303.3ab
	After 10 day of PM	110.4ed	21.3b	64.8d	18.7def	3.54d-g	1884.0f	20.6abc	33.0de	621.9bc
	After 20 day of PM	107.7fg	20.2b	46.0fg	24.7bc	3.24ghi	1587.7h	19.1bc	31.1g	493.9bc
	After 30 day of PM	101.1kl	16.5ef	40.5hi	24.4bc	3.07ij	1475.8ij	24.1ab	30.6g	451.7c
Rabee 500	Physiological Maturity (PM)	105.0hi	17.2e	41.8gh	19.1def	4.95a	1907.8f	22.1ab	35.2b	671.4bc
	After 10 day of PM	100.2l	13.0h	37.7hi	21.1c-f	4.18b	1432.7j	22.4ab	34.3c	492.1bc
	After 20 day of PM	97.0m	12.4h	35.4i	19.2def	3.94bc	1282.1k	27.1a	33.5d	429.2c
	After 30 day of PM	96.7m	9.0j	29.1j	21.1c-f	3.66cde	1024.3l	26.5a	32.0f	328.2c

Values designed a different letters within a column indicates statistically significant differences according to Duncan's test.

Table 7. Values of correlation coefficients among characteristics of safflower genotypes.

Growing season	Characteristics	Seed yield	Plant height	No. of primary branches/plant	No. of heads/plant	No. of seeds/ head	100-seed weight	Harvest index	Oil content	Oil yield
2010 2011	Plant height	0.705**	1.000							
	No. of primary branches/plant	0.674**	0.429**	1.000						
	No. of heads/plant	0.673**	0.559**	0.709**	1.000					
	No. of seeds/head	-0.228	-0.256	-0.442**	-0.726**	1.000				
2011 2012	100-seed weight	0.253	0.198	0.203	0.491**	-0.728**	1.000			
	Harvest index	0.294	0.032	-0.063	-0.245	0.417**	-0.229	1.000		
	Oil content	0.465**	0.430**	0.731**	0.660**	-0.476**	0.315*	-0.340*	1.000	
	Oil yield	0.941**	0.710**	0.799**	0.763**	-0.356**	0.301*	0.080	0.727**	1.000
2011 2012	Plant height	0.887**	1.000							
	No. of primary branches/plant	0.493**	0.524**	1.000						
	No. of heads/plant	0.681**	0.792**	0.751**	1.000					
	No. of seeds/head	0.422**	0.209	-0.192	-0.170	1.000				
2012	100-seed weight	0.447**	0.353**	0.193	0.193	-0.150	1.000			
	Harvest index	-0.152	-0.200	-0.428**	-0.312*	0.063	0.062	1.000		
	Oil content	0.560**	0.228	0.322*	0.213	0.365**	0.076**	-0.155	1.000	
	Oil yield	0.765**	0.533**	0.643**	0.627**	0.401**	0.093	0.095	0.833**	1.000

*, ** Significant at $p \geq 0.05$ and 0.01 respectively

References

- [1] A.O.A.C., 1980. Association Official of Analytical Chemists. Official methods of analysis 13th ed. Washington, D.C. USA
- [2] Dahnke, W. C.; Fanning, C. and Cattanach, A. 1992. Fertilizing safflower-NDSU extension service publication No. SF-727
- [3] Deedar, S.; Dalip, S.; Krishan, K.; Iqbal, S.; Singh, D.; kumar, K. and Singh, I. 1994. Performance of rainfed safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) under different nitrogen levels and row spacings. Indian Journal of Ecology, 21(1):23-28
- [4] FAO, Food and Agricultural organization of United Nations. 2013. Production Yearbook, Roma, Italy
- [5] Khan, M. A.; S. Von witzke-Ehbrecht, B. L. Maass and H. C. Becker. 2009. Relationship among different geographical groups agromorphology, fatty acid composition and RAPD marker diversity in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius*). Genetic

Resources and Crop Evolution, 56: 19-30

- [6] Martin, J. H.; Waldren, R. P. and Stamp, D. L. 2006. Principles of Field Crop Production. Fourth Edition. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, Columbus. Pp. 954
- [7] Mohankumar, S. and V. P. Chimmad. 2005. Characterization of safflower genotypes for morpho-physiological, yield and its components. Karnataka Journal Agriculture Science, 18(2): 312-315
- [8] Mosavi Mogaddam, S. L.; M. Tajbakhsh and A. Eivazi. 2013. Evaluation of harvesting dates on qualitative traits of spring safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) genotypes under Urmia condition, Iranian Journal of Field Crops Research, 11(3): 454-459
- [9] Mundel, H. H.; R. E. Blackshaw; R. Byers; H. C. Huang; D. L. Johnson; R. Keon; J. Kubik; R. I. McKenzie; B. Otto; B. Roth and K. Stanford. 2004. Safflower production on the Canadian Prairies. Agricultural and Agri-Food Canada pp: 3-10
- [10] Qadir, G.; A. Shahbaz, F.; U. Hassan and M. A. Cheema. 2000. Oil and fatty acid accumulation in sunflower as influenced by temperature variation. Pak. J. Bot., 38(4): 1137-1147
- [11] SAS (2005), Statistical Analysis System. SAS institute Inc., NC, USA. Release 82
- [12] Soleymani, A.; Emami, S., A., Shahrajabian, M. H. and Naranjani; L. 2011. Evaluation of suitable planting dates and autumn safflower cultivars under climatic conditions of Isfahan, Iran. Research on Crops. 12(1): 155-162
- [13] Steel, R. G. D. and J. H. Torrie. 1960. Principles and Procedures of Statistics Mc. Hill, New York.