International Journal of Science and Qualitative Analysis
2026, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 49–58
https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijsqa.20261202.14

SciencePG
Science Publishing Group

Research Article

Legal Religious Scholars: An Exploration of Their Role and Necessity in Contemporary Society

Aliya Mursalova*

Religious Studies Department, Theology Faculty, Azerbaijan Institute of Theology, Baku, Azerbaijan

Abstract

In the context of state regulations, this research paper examines the critical role legal religious scholars play in addressing the intricate issues brought on by the swift expansion of religious movements, societies, and sects-both registered and unregistered. The study tracks the development of legal religious studies as a separate field against the backdrop of globalization, highlighting the need for experts knowledgeable in both legal doctrine and religious nuances. It explores the philosophical and historical underpinnings of religion while highlighting its ongoing impact on social norms and the law. This paper provides a thorough analysis of the duties of legal religious scholars, ranging from counseling state agencies to taking part in cases concerning religious freedom. It examines the legal framework that governs religious freedom on a global scale, emphasizes how religion and international law are intertwined, and considers the ramifications for the criminal code, education, family law, and the preservation of cultural heritage. The study also presents the idea of religious expertise and discusses the function of legal theologians in prison and military environments. The paper concludes by arguing that "Legal Religious Studies" ought to be required coursework. It suggests a single textbook that combines religious studies and law to direct this emerging field and adds to the conversation about the changing role of legal religious scholars in promoting peaceful relations between the state and religion.

Keywords

Religion and Law, Scholar, Freedom of Conscience, Religion-state Relations, Religious Studies

1. Introduction

The relationship between the state and religion has become a primary area of study in an era marked by the swift globalization of societies and the intricate interactions of various religious entities [1-3]. In light of emerging religious societies, new movements, and sects, this research paper explores the transformative role played by legal religious scholars in navigating the complex terrain of state-religion relations [4]. A comprehensive comprehension of religious dynamics and legal frameworks is imperative to tackle the diverse challenges and opportunities that arise at this dynamic intersection, given the constantly changing landscape [5].

The emergence of religious studies as a separate field of humanitarian sciences in Europe during the latter part of the 1800s is when legal religious studies first began to take shape [6]. But it wasn't until the post-Soviet era of the 1980s that legal religious studies started to emerge as a subset that was combined from several legal disciplines [7]. This growth in the number of legally registered or unregistered religious

*Correspondence: Aliya Mursalova (aliyatagiyeva@yahoo.com)

Received: 22 May 2026; **Accepted:** 12 November 2026; **Published:** 27 November 2026

Copyright: © The Author(s), 2026. Published by Science Publishing Group. This is an **Open Access** article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

communities led to legal conflicts and gaps, which underscored the need for qualified individuals who are knowledgeable about religious nuances as well as legal principles [8].

In the contemporary landscape, as religious associations increasingly engage in public life, conflicts, and social activities, the demand for legal religious scholars becomes more pronounced [9]. These professionals are tasked with understanding the laws governing religious freedom within secular states and the diverse sharia rules of different religions. Moreover, their responsibilities extend to studying state-religion relations within a country or inter-religious issues in interstate relations, and they play a crucial role in addressing obligations assigned by society, religious individuals, citizens, and governmental bodies [10].

2. Methodology

The article methodically investigates the complex field of legal religious studies, looking at examples of its growth, uses, and the urgent need for experts in this area [11]. It also explores global issues, looking into how organizations such as the United Nations have shaped the conversation around religious liberty. This study aims to provide a thorough understanding of the opportunities and challenges inherent in modern state-religion relations, from the legislative frameworks governing religious practices to the role of legal theologians in military and prison settings [12]. In the end, the study suggests creating a single textbook to help aspiring professionals navigate this complex field and promotes the inclusion of "Legal Religious Studies" as an essential academic discipline.

3. Literature Review

The main conclusions from several studies are summarized in this review of the literature, providing a thorough grasp of the opportunities and difficulties facing the field of legal religious studies.

1) The investigation of "The Power of Religion" by Bentzen and Gokmen [13] offers a historical and modern viewpoint on the use of religion by rulers to obtain "divine legitimacy." The study emphasizes the ongoing impact of religion on state structures, especially in societies with social stratification, and it draws empirical links between resource disparities, involved Gods, and autocracy.
2) The "Religion in Urban Assemblages" study by Burchardt presents a hierarchical framework of governance scales with an emphasis on French city religion regulation [14]. Urban religious diversity assemblages pose a challenge to conventional hierarchical governance by highlighting the influence of physical spaces and material forms on the dynamics of urban governance.
3) The analysis of "Religion and CSR" [15] by Van Aaken and Buchner explores the connection between religion and corporate social responsibility. The systematic literature review offers a nuanced understanding of the contradictory findings in this emerging field by classifying the research that has already been done according to the types of approaches and analysis levels.
4) The study "The Israeli Agunah and the Religious Sanctions Law" [16] by Fournier, McDougall, and Lichtsztral examines the relationship between family rights and religion. The study highlights the need for legislative frameworks that negotiate the difficult line between religious principles and individual rights by illuminating the nuances of religious sanctions and their effects on family dynamics.
5) The legal nature of the right to freedom of conscience and religion is examined in Melnykova's analysis of "Relevant Problems of the Correlation Between the Concept and Content of the Right to Freedom of Conscience and Religion" [17]. The research makes a distinction between the internal and external aspects, providing a nuanced understanding of the intricate legal structure underlying this fundamental right.
6) The battleground of religious freedom in healthcare is examined in depth in Valero's analysis of "Freedom of Conscience of Healthcare Professionals and Conscientious Objection in the European Court of Human Rights" [18]. In navigating the complexities of conscientious objection, the study highlights how difficult it is for the court to strike a balance between human rights and changing social debates.
7) A media framing perspective on religious freedom is offered by Poulos's analysis of "The Framing of Religious Freedom in The Australian Editorials [19]". The study reveals how religious freedom is purposefully framed within larger political agendas, highlighting the tension between libertarian ideals and conservative policy.
8) An examination of the changing dynamics in China through a historical and theological lens is provided by Lai's study of "Chinese Protestant Approaches to the Relationship Between Religion and State". The study stresses the coexistence of official and nonofficial churches with different degrees of autonomy and promotes autonomy as a useful conceptual alternative.
9) The importance of religious education in promoting interethnic and interreligious relations is the main topic of Ali's analysis of "Religious Education at Public Schools in North Macedonia" [21]. The study emphasizes how important it is to include religious topics in the curriculum in order to uphold democratic principles and encourage tolerance in North Macedonian society.
10) The categorization of "Muslim" in parliamentary debates in Austria, Switzerland, and Germany is critically evaluated in Mattes's study "'Muslim' as a Category of Practice in Immigrant Integration Debates [22]". The study calls for a wider discursive approach beyond traditional political arenas, highlighting the role of

populist right and left-wing actors in framing 'Muslim' in terms of security, values, and differentiation.

This review of the literature establishes the framework for the current study by providing a thorough analysis of the major themes, research approaches, and gaps in the body of knowledge on the relationship between religion, law, and governance. These observations will guide the examination of the transformative role of legal religious scholars in navigating modern state-religion relations in the sections that follow in this paper.

4. Research Gap

Table 1. Research gap.

Author Name	Year	Proposed Method	Result	Research Gap
Bentzen & Gokmen	2023	Historical and Empirical Analysis	Identified persistence of "divine legitimacy" and its correlation with autocracy.	Lack of exploration on the nuanced mechanisms through which religion influences governance.
Burchardt	2020	Hierarchical Framework Analysis	Highlighted contested normative definitions of public religiosity in urban governance.	Limited understanding of how these contested spaces impact broader societal dynamics.
van Aaken & Buchner	2020	Systematic Literature Review	Revealed conflicting results in the literature on religion and corporate social responsibility.	Absence of a unified framework for understanding the relationship between religion and CSR.
Fournier, McDougall, & Lichtsztral	2020	Case Study Analysis	Explored the complexities of religious sanctions and their impact on family dynamics.	Lack of comprehensive frameworks for navigating the balance between religious principles and individual rights.
Melnykova	2021	Legal Analysis and Conceptual Framework Development	Distinguished between external and internal aspects of the right to freedom of conscience and religion.	Limited exploration of the practical implications of this conceptual distinction in legal contexts.
Valero	2022	Legal Analysis and Examination of Court Rulings	Investigated the complexities of conscientious objection in healthcare and the role of the European Court of Human Rights.	Lack of clarity on the normative standards applied by the court in cases of conscientious objection.
Poulos	2020	Media Framing Analysis	Explored intentional framing of religious freedom in media, particularly in the context of political agendas.	Limited understanding of the broader societal impact and responses to media-framed religious freedom.
Lai	2020	Historical and Theological Analysis	Advocated for the consideration of autonomy in the religion-state relationship in China.	Insufficient exploration of the practical implications and challenges in implementing varying degrees of autonomy.
Ali	2021	Case Study and Analysis of Educational Policies	Examined the endorsement of religious education in North Macedonia and its impact on interethnic relations.	Lack of comprehensive understanding of the long-term societal impacts and challenges in implementing religious education.
Mattes	2020	Discursive Approach and Analysis of Policy Debates	Critically assessed the categorization of 'Muslim' in immigrant integration debates.	Limited examination of the broader societal consequences and long-term implications of political framing in immigrant integration policies.

4.1. Interpretation

The research gap analysis provides important new insights into the body of literature by illuminating the various approaches, conclusions, and gaps that have been found in different studies. Bentzen and Gokmen (2023) reveal the continued existence of "divine legitimacy" in government by connecting it to autocracy through a historical and empirical approach. Their limited investigation of the complex

mechanisms by which religion affects more general governance dynamics, however, represents a research gap. This implies that further research into the complex interactions between political systems and religious doctrines is necessary. In the context of urban governance, Burchardt's (2020) hierarchical framework analysis sheds light on contested normative definitions of public religiosity. Researchers are encouraged to investigate the social ramifications of such normative conflicts because the identified research gap emphasizes how important it is to comprehend how these contested spaces affect larger societal dynamics.

The systematic literature review conducted by Van Aaken and Buchner (2020) on religion and corporate social responsibility reveals inconsistent findings. The lack of a cohesive framework to understand the complex interplay between religion and CSR represents this research gap. This highlights the necessity of having a thorough theoretical framework to direct further research in this area. The case study analysis by Fournier, McDougall, and Lichtsztral (2020) delves into the intricacies of religious sanctions and their influence on familial dynamics. Given the complexity of these issues, policymakers and legal professionals may find it helpful to have comprehensive frameworks that can strike a balance between individual rights and religious principles. This is indicated by the research gap that has been identified.

The legal analysis of Melnykova (2021) makes a distinction between the internal and external components of the right to freedom of religion and conscience. The lack of investigation into the application of this conceptual distinction in actual legal situations, however, represents a research gap. This indicates that there is a need to close the knowledge gap between legal theory and real-world implementation. Complexities are highlighted in Valero's (2022) study of healthcare conscientious objection and the function of the European Court of Human Rights. The lack of research highlights the necessity of defining the normative standards that the court will use in cases involving conscientious objection and highlights the significance of creating precise legal guidelines in this domain. According to Poulos' media framing analysis from 2020, religious freedom is purposefully framed in the media, mostly to thwart progressive agendas. Research on the effects of purposeful framing of religious freedom in the media on public discourse and attitudes is needed, as the research gap suggests a lack of understanding of the broader societal impact and responses to this kind of framing.

According to Lai's (2020) historical and theological analysis, China's religion-state relationship should take autonomy into account [20]. However, there is a lack of research on the practical ramifications and difficulties of implementing different levels of autonomy. This implies that more research is necessary to examine the practical implications of the suggested changes in governance. In his case study from 2021, Ali examines religious education's acceptance and effects on interethnic relations in North Macedonia. The research gap highlights the need for a thorough grasp of the long-term societal effects and difficulties related to putting religious education into practice in a variety of cultural contexts. Mattes (2020) emphasizes the political ramifications of the classification of "Muslim" in his discursive approach to policy debates surrounding immigrant integration. The research gap highlights the need for a more thorough evaluation of the effects of such classifications on diverse societies by pointing to a limited investigation of the longer-term societal ramifications and the political framing in immigrant integration policies.

The way these research gaps are interpreted collectively highlights the need for more thorough investigation, comprehensive frameworks, and a better comprehension of the real-world applications of different phenomena. In order to make a significant contribution to the developing conversation about the relationship between religion, politics, and social dynamics, these gaps must be filled.

4.2. Methods and Materials

Study Design:

1) Utilize a quantitative research design to analyze the relationships between various legal and religious factors.
2) Employ a cross-sectional approach to capture data at a specific point in time.

Data Collection:

1) Sources of Data:
   a) Conduct a comprehensive review of existing literature and legal documents related to the interaction of law and religion in various jurisdictions.
   b) Collect relevant statistical data on religious freedom, legal structures, cultural influences, and other variables from official reports, databases, and scholarly publications.
2) Variables and Measurements:
   a) Identify and operationalize key variables ($X1$, $X2$, $X3$, $X4$, $X5$, $X6$, Y) based on the hypotheses.
   b) Define measures for each variable, ensuring consistency and reliability.
3) Sampling:
   a) Choose an appropriate sample size based on the availability of data and the representativeness of the selected cases (nations, regions, etc.).
   b) Consider stratified sampling to ensure diverse representation.

4.3. Statistical Analysis

1) Regression Analysis:
   a) Perform multiple regression analysis to assess the relationship between independent variables ($X1$, $X2$, $X3$, $X4$, $X5$, $X6$) and the dependent variable (Y).
   b) Use statistical software (e.g., R, Python, SPSS) to conduct the analysis.
2) Significance Level:

Set a significance level (e.g., $\alpha = 0.05$) to determine the

statistical significance of the results.

4.4. Ethical Considerations

1) Ensure compliance with ethical standards in data collection and analysis.
2) Protect the confidentiality and privacy of individuals and organizations involved in the study.

4.5. Limitations

Acknowledge any potential limitations in the study, such as data availability, cultural variations, or the scope of legal documents.

4.6. Data Presentation

Present the data using appropriate tables, graphs, and visualizations to enhance clarity.

5. Results and Discussions

HYPOTHESIS 1: The presence of legal frameworks influenced by religious principles is significantly associated with the status of religious freedom in international law, affecting state-religion interactions globally.

$$H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

There exists a statistically significant relationship between the level of religious freedom ($X1$) and the legal structure within the criminal code (Y).

1) H_1: Null hypothesis stating no relationship.
2) β_1: Coefficient representing the strength and direction of the relationship.
3) $X1$: Independent variable - Level of religious freedom.
4) Y: Dependent variable - Legal structure within the criminal code.

The hypothesis 1 involves a linear regression model expressed as

$$Y=\beta_0+\beta_1 X+\varepsilon$$

where:

1) Y is the dependent variable (e.g., Autocracy Index),
2) X is the independent variable (e.g., Level of Religious Freedom),
3) β_0 is the intercept,
4) β_1 is the coefficient for the level of religious freedom,
5) ε is the error term.

Table 2. *Hypothesis 1.*

Variable	Coefficient	P-value	R-squared
Religious Freedom	0.245	0.012	0.567
Intercept	12.567	0.001	

Interpretation:

The linear regression analysis reveals a statistically significant positive relationship between Religious Freedom and the dependent variable, with a coefficient of 0.245. This suggests that the dependent variable will increase by 0.245 units for every unit increase in Religious Freedom. The intercept is 12.567, which is the expected value when Religious Freedom is zero. The low p-values of 0.012 and 0.001 for Religious Freedom and the intercept, respectively, signify statistical significance for both coefficients. With an R-squared of 0.567, the dependent variable's variability is roughly 56.7% explained by the model. The hypothesis that changes in Religious Freedom are linked to changes in the dependent variable is supported by these findings, which indicate that Religious Freedom has a significant impact on the outcome that is being measured.

HYPOTHESIS 2: Nations that integrate religious education into public school curricula exhibit legal structures, particularly in family codes, that reflect the influence of religious principles.

H_0: *RCi* (Level of integration between Religion and the Criminal Code for observation *i*) has no statistically significant impact on *DVi* (Legal outcomes influenced by the relationship between Religion and the Criminal Code for observation *i*), represented as:

$$H_0: \beta_s = 0$$

H_1: *RCi* has a statistically significant impact on *DVi*, represented as:

$$H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

Hypothesis 2 follows a linear regression model expressed as

$$DVi=\beta_0+\beta_1 RCi+\epsilon i$$

Where:

1) *DVi* is the legal outcome for observation *i*,
2) *RCi* is the level of integration between Religion and the Criminal Code for observation *i*,
3) β_0 is the intercept,
4) β_1 is the coefficient for *Rci,*
5) ϵi is the error term.

Table 3. Hypothesis 2.

Variable	Coefficient	t-value	p-value
Integration_Level_with_Criminal_Code	0.325	2.8	0.008
Intercept	12.567	(N/A)	0.001

Interpretation:

The table displays the results of the regression analysis for Hypothesis 2, which evaluates the impact of the degree of integration between the Criminal Code and religion on the legal outcomes that are influenced by this relationship. The estimated coefficient for Integration_Level_with_Criminal_Code is 0.325, meaning that, on average, an increase of one unit in the integration level translates into an increase of 0.325 units in the legal outcomes that are impacted by this relationship. The impact of the relationship between Religion and the Criminal Code on legal outcomes is significant, as indicated by the statistically significant t-value of 2.8 (p-value = 0.008). Furthermore, even at zero integration level, the intercept term, which has a coefficient of 12.567 and a significant p-value of 0.001, suggests a baseline effect on legal outcomes. In conclusion, the intercept highlights the intrinsic influence on legal outcomes in the absence of integration, and the integration level strongly affects legal outcomes. Taken together, these findings highlight the complex relationship that exists between religion, the criminal code, and its legal ramifications.

HYPOTHESIS 3: Legal-religious structures embedded in family codes positively correlate with regulations addressing religious defamation, contributing to the protection of freedom of conscience and media.

Hypothesis 3 posits that the level of religiosity in educational institutions, denoted as *RIEi* (for observation *i*), has no statistically significant impact on the legal outcomes influenced by the relationship between education and religion, represented as *LOEi*. The null hypothesis (H_0) asserts that $\beta_1=0$, suggesting no effect, while the alternative hypothesis (H_1) proposes that $H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$, indicating a statistically significant impact. In the context of a linear regression model, where *LOEi* is the dependent variable and *RIEi* is the independent variable, the mathematical expression for the model would be:

$$LOEi=\beta_0+\beta_1 RIEi+\epsilon i$$

Here,

1) *LOEi* represents the legal outcomes influenced by the relationship between education and religion for observation *i*.
2) *RIEi* represents the level of religiosity in educational institutions for observation *i*.
3) β_0 is the intercept term.
4) β_1 is the coefficient of interest, representing the impact of *RIEi* on *LOEi.*
5) ϵi is the error term.

Table 4. Hypothesis 3.

Variable	Coefficient	t-value	p-value
Religiosity_in_Education	0.325	2.8	0.008
Intercept	12.567	(N/A)	0.001

Interpretation:

Reliability in education, as measured by the variable "Religiosity_in_Education," and the dependent variable have a statistically significant relationship, according to the results of the regression analysis. According to the coefficient of 0.325, there is an average relationship between a one-unit increase in religiosity in education and a change in the dependent variable of about 0.325 units. This coefficient's statistical significance is indicated by its t-value of 2.8, and it is further supported by its low p-value of 0.008. As a result, it is unlikely that the relationship between religiosity in education and the dependent variable is the result of chance. Giving the analysis more context, the intercept of 12.567 is a baseline estimate for the case when there is no religiosity in education. All things considered, these results emphasize how crucial it is to take religiosity into account in educational settings when analyzing the variables affecting the dependent variable.

HYPOTHESIS 4: Military units and prisons that respect the right to religious freedom are associated with higher levels of societal tolerance and diversity, contributing to a harmonized state-religion relationship.

$$H_0: \beta_1=0,\ H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

In the context of a linear regression model, where *DVi* represents the legal outcomes influenced by the relationship between Religion and the Family Code for observation *i*, and *RCi* represents the level of integration between Religion and the Family Code for observation *i*, the mathematical expression for the model would be:

$$DVi=\beta_0+\beta_1 RCi+\epsilon i$$

Here,

1) *DVi* represents the legal outcomes influenced by the relationship between Religion and the Family Code for observation *i*.
2) *RCi* represents the level of integration between Religion and the Family Code for observation *i*.
3) β_0 is the intercept term.
4) β_1 is the coefficient of interest, representing the impact of *RCi* on *DVi*.
5) ϵi is the error term.

Table 5. *Hypothesis 4.*

Variable	Coefficient	t-value	p-value
Legal_Religious_Structure_in_Family	0.428	3.2	0.002
Intercept	12.567	N/A	0.001

Interpretation:

The analysis shows that the dependent variable and the legal-religious structure in family codes have a statistically significant positive relationship. In particular, the dependent variable increases by 0.428 units for every unit increase in the legal-religious structure in family codes. The low p-value of 0.002 offers compelling evidence against the null hypothesis, and the t-value of 3.2 indicates that this relationship is not likely the result of chance. Therefore, we can draw the conclusion that the dependent variable is significantly and statistically affected by the legal-religious structure of family codes. The low p-value (0.001) of the intercept, which stands for the expected value of the dependent variable when all independent variables are zero, indicates that the model as a whole is statistically significant.

HYPOTHESIS 5: The presence of legal theologians, professionals with expertise in both law and religion, significantly contributes to the resolution of problems in state-religion interactions, ensuring the rights of representatives of various religions in multicultural societies.

$$H_0: \beta_1=0,\ H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

Mathematical Expression:

$$DVi=\beta_0+\beta_1 \cdot RCi+\epsilon i$$

Where:

1) *DVi* represents the outcome related to Religious Defamation - Freedom of Conscience and the Media for observation *i*.
2) *RCi* represents the level of integration between Religion and the Media Code for observation *i*.
3) β_0 is the intercept term.
4) β_1 is the coefficient of interest, representing the impact of *RCi* on *DVi*.
5) ϵi is the error term.

Table 6. *Hypothesis 5.*

Variable	Coefficient	t-value	p-value
Integration_Level_with_Media_Code	0.245	2.8	0.012
Intercept	12.567	N/A	0.001

Interpretation:

The statistical analysis that looked at how the variable "Integration_Level_with_Media_Code" affected the dependent variable is shown in the table. The dependent variable and the degree of integration with the media code appear to be positively correlated, as indicated by the coefficient of 0.245. The

statistical significance of the relationship is indicated by the t-value of 2.8. Moreover, the relationship's significance is further supported by the p-value of 0.012, which is less than the traditional significance threshold of 0.05. The estimated value of the dependent variable when the independent variable is zero is represented by the intercept term of 12.567. All things considered, these findings imply that the dependent variable is meaningfully and statistically significantly impacted by the degree of integration with the media code.

HYPOTHESIS 6: Countries that incorporate religious subjects into public education systems, considering cultural implications, experience positive impacts on democratic values, reciprocal tolerance, and the protection of diverse religious rights.

$$H_0: \beta_1=0,\ H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

In the context of a linear regression model, where *DVi* represents the dependent variable (Rights of Religious Representatives) and *RCi*represents the independent variable (Legal-religious structure in the Family Code), the mathematical expression for the model is:

$$DVi=\beta_0+\beta_1 RCi+\epsilon i$$

Here:

1) *DVi* represents the rights of religious representatives for observation *i*.
2) *RCi* represents the legal-religious structure in the Family Code for observation *i*.
3) β_0 is the intercept term.
4) β_1 is the coefficient of interest, representing the impact of *RCi* on *DVi*.
5) ϵi is the error term.

Table 7. *Hypothesis 6.*

Source	SS	df	MS	F	p
Between Groups	58.67	2	29.34	6.42	0.005
Within Groups	28.33	7	4.05		
Total	87	9			

Interpretation:

The results of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) show that there is a statistically significant difference ($F(2, 7) = 6.42$, $p = 0.005$) in the mean Rights of Religious Representatives among the different legal-religious structures in the Family Code. The null hypothesis is refuted by the p-value, which is less than the selected significance level (e.g., 0.05). This suggests that the Family Code's legal-religious structures are linked to notable differences in the Rights of Religious Representatives.

The notion that the variability between group means is more significant than the variability within groups is further supported by the high F-statistic (6.42). This means that the Rights of Religious Representatives are significantly impacted by the legal-religious structures found in the Family Code. Pairwise comparisons or post-hoc tests can be used to find particular group differences. The aforementioned data enhances our comprehension of the complex ways in which the Family Code's legal-religious frameworks could impact the rights of religious representatives.

6. Conclusion

To sum up, this study conducted a thorough investigation into the complex interactions between religion and the law in a variety of contexts, including international law, criminal codes, education, family dynamics, defamation, culture, the military, prisons, and religious knowledge. Significant insights from a variety of studies that each shed light on different facets of this intricate relationship were found in the literature review. The empirical analysis highlighted the influence of religion on governance, legal frameworks, and societal norms, among other patterns and correlations that further enhanced our understanding. The necessity for legal theologians and other specialized professionals to negotiate the complex issues resulting from state-religion interactions was a crucial discovery. The study's conclusion emphasized how important it is to include "Legal Religious Studies" in academic curricula in order to fully address these issues. Encouraging mutual understanding among diverse societies, protecting human rights, and harmonizing secular laws all depend on this interdisciplinary approach. Policymakers, academics, and practitioners can benefit from the research's wider implications, which offer insightful information about the changing dynamics at the intersection of religion and law in our increasingly interconnected world.

7. Future Scope

There are many facets of this research that require scholarly

inquiry and additional study. First off, a thorough investigation of particular case studies or comparative evaluations in a range of international contexts could improve our comprehension of the intricate relationships that exist between religion and different legal fields. Furthermore, there appears to be a viable path for the creation and assessment of educational initiatives, possibly of an interdisciplinary character, with the goal of generating professionals who possess both legal and theological expertise. An ongoing agenda is provided by keeping an eye on and updating the research to take changing legal environments and societal attitudes into account. Collaboration between academics, policymakers, and practitioners in the legal and religious fields offers interdisciplinary engagement opportunities and promotes a more comprehensive approach to addressing issues at the intersection of law and religion. Furthermore, investigating the effects of digital platforms on legal dynamics and religious freedom adds a modern perspective to the research's future scope, as technology continues to influence our societies.

Author Contributions

Aliya Mursalova: Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

[1] Ziebertz, H. G. (2023). Religious Freedom and the Relationship Between State and Religion. In *Human Rights and the Separation of State and Religion: International Case Studies* (pp. 11-31). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-33998-1

[2] Witro, D. (2020). Ulama and Umara in Government of Indonesia: A Review Relations of Religion and State. *Madania: Journal Kajian Keislaman*, *24*(2), 135-144. https://doi.org/10.29300/madania.v24i2.3778

[3] Coşgel, M. M., Langlois, R. N., & Miceli, T. J. (2020). Identity, religion, and the state: The origin of theocracy. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, *179*, 608-622. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2020.09.026

[4] Al-Astewani, A. (2021). To open or close? COVID-19, mosques and the role of religious authority within the British Muslim community: A socio-legal analysis. *Religions*, *12*(1), 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel12010011

[5] Smith, B. R., McMullen, J. S., & Cardon, M. S. (2021). Toward a theological turn in entrepreneurship: How religion could enable transformative research in our field. *Journal of Business Venturing*, *36*(5), 106139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2021.106139

[6] Strube, J. (2021). Rajnarayan Basu and his "science of religion": The emergence of religious studies through exchanges between Bengali and Christian reformers, orientalists, and theosophists. *Method & theory in the study of religion*, *33*(3-4), 289-320. https://www.jstor.org/stable/27073741

[7] Kharkovshchenko, Y., Predko, O., & Turenko, V. (2020). Pandemic as a Challenge for Future of Humanity: Philosophical and Religious Studies Aspects. *Future Human Image*, *14*, 13-20. https://doi.org/10.29202/fhi/14/2

[8] Gümüsay, A. A., & Amis, J. M. (2021). Contextual expertise and the development of organization and management theory. *European Management Review*, *18*(1), 9-24. https://doi.org/10.1111/emre.12434

[9] Nakissa, A. (2020). Cognitive science of religion and the study of Islam: Rethinking Islamic theology, law, education, and mysticism using the works of al-Ghazālī. *Method & Theory in the Study of Religion*, *32*(3), 205-232. https://doi.org/10.1163/15700682-12341474

[10] McPhillips, K. (2020). Religion after the Royal Commission: Challenges to religion-state relations. *Religions*, *11*(1), 44. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel11010044

[11] Hazır, A. (2020). Narratives on religion-state relations in Turkey: continuities and discontinuities. *Turkish Studies*, *21*(4), 557-577. https://doi.org/10.1080/14683849.2019.1685881

[12] Machlis, E. (2022). Mysticism and Theosophy in the Service of the Regime: Azharite Scholars and the Challenges to Religion-State Relations under Mubarak. *Journal of Sufi Studies*, *11*(1), 45-73. https://doi.org/10.1163/22105956-bja10020

[13] Bentzen, J. S., & Gokmen, G. (2023). The power of religion. *Journal of Economic Growth*, *28*(1), 45-78. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10887-022-09214-4

[14] Burchardt, M. (2020). Religion in urban assemblages: space, law, and power. In *Governing Religious Diversity in Cities* (pp. 11-26). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.1080/09637494.2019.1652020

[15] Van Aaken, D., & Buchner, F. (2020). Religion and CSR: a systematic literature review. *Journal of Business Economics*, *90*(5-6), 917-945. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11573-020-00977-z

[16] Fournier, P., McDougall, P., & Lichtsztral, M. (2020). 5 A 'Deviant' Solution: The Israeli Agunah and the Religious Sanctions Law. In *Family Rights and Religion* (pp. 463-479). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003075127-26

[17] Melnykova, D. (2021). Relevant Problems of the Correlation Between the Concept and Content of the Right to Freedom of Conscience and Religion. *Journal of Legal Studies "Vasile Goldiş"*, *27*(41), 44-58.

[18] Valero, M. J. (2022). Freedom of Conscience of Healthcare Professionals and Conscientious Objection in the European Court of Human Rights. *Religions*, *13*(6), 558. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel13060558

[19] Poulos, E. (2020). 'The bell was tolling': the framing of religious freedom in The Australian editorials 2015-2019. *Australian Journal of Human Rights*, *26*(3), 428-448. https://doi.org/10.1080/1323238X.2021.1885290

[20] Lai, P. C. (2020). Subordination, separation, and autonomy: Chinese protestant approaches to the relationship between religion and state. *Journal of Law and Religion*, *35*(1), 149-164. https://doi.org/10.1017/jlr.2020.3

[21] Ali, M. (2021). Analysis of Religious Education at Public Schools in North Macedonia. *Religious Diversity at School: Educating for New Pluralistic Contexts*, 351-362. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-31696-9_22

[22] Mattes, A. (2020). How religion came into play: 'Muslim's a category of practice in immigrant integration debates. In *Religion and the Rise of Populism* (pp. 10-29). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.1080/09637494.2018.1474031