

Research Article

The Stigma Associated with Hearing Aids: A Sociological Perspective in Somalia

Ibrahim Abdullahi Ali* 

Otolaryngology Department, Somalia Army Hospital, Mogadishu, Somalia

Abstract

Background: Hearing loss is an increasingly recognized public health challenge that affects communication, social participation, emotional well-being, and overall quality of life. Although hearing aids provide an effective intervention, their uptake remains low in many low-income countries. In Somalia, adoption is particularly limited due to deep-rooted stigma shaped by cultural, social, and informational barriers. **Objective:** This study explores the sociological dimensions of hearing aid stigma in Somalia, with a focus on the cultural beliefs, social influences, and media portrayals that reinforce negative perceptions of hearing aid use. **Methods/Framework:** Drawing on sociological frameworks such as labeling theory and symbolic interactionism, the paper examines how individuals with hearing loss are perceived and treated within Somali society. Cultural narratives that associate hearing impairment with weakness, dependency, or supernatural causes are analysed, along with the roles of family and community pressures in discouraging auditory rehabilitation. Media representations are assessed for their contribution to either reinforcing or challenging stigma. Comparative insights from Sweden, the United States, and South Africa highlight effective stigma-reduction strategies, including inclusive education, public awareness campaigns, and the normalization of assistive technologies. **Conclusion:** Stigma surrounding hearing aid use in Somalia is multifaceted and deeply embedded in prevailing social norms. Addressing this issue requires a multi-level approach that combines public education, policies promoting access and affordability, and the active involvement of community and religious leaders in reshaping cultural perceptions. Such interventions are vital for improving hearing aid uptake and, ultimately, enhancing the mental health, educational outcomes, and economic participation of individuals with hearing impairments in Somali society.

Keywords

Hearing Aids, Stigma, Somalia, Sociological Theory, Public Health, Symbolic Interactionism, Labeling Theory

1. Introduction

Hearing loss affects over 1.5 billion people globally, with approximately 430 million requiring rehabilitation services [1]. While technological advancements have made hearing aids more effective and accessible, the social acceptance of these devices remains limited in many contexts. In Somalia,

hearing aid adoption is particularly low due to multifaceted stigma rooted in cultural beliefs, social norms, and institutional neglect [2, 4].

Stigma related to hearing loss is not merely a matter of aesthetics or technology—it reflects broader social constructs

*Corresponding author: Ibrahim.abdullahi@alhayat.edu.so (Ibrahim Abdullahi Ali)

Received: 11 June 2025; **Accepted:** 24 June 2025; **Published:** 19 December 2025



Copyright: © The Author(s), 2025. Published by Science Publishing Group. This is an **Open Access** article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

of normalcy, identity, and capability. Individuals with hearing impairments in Somalia often experience marginalization, reduced opportunities, and internalized shame. The lack of public discourse on disability, inadequate healthcare infrastructure, and absence of policy-level attention exacerbate the challenges faced by this population.

Moreover, hearing impairment is often invisible, which paradoxically intensifies the stigma. Unlike visible disabilities, hearing loss is not immediately apparent, and individuals are frequently misjudged as aloof, unintelligent, or antisocial. These misconceptions further discourage open discussion and help-seeking behavior. People with hearing loss may go undiagnosed for years, and even when diagnosed, may delay or refuse to use hearing aids due to fear of being labeled or excluded.

Somalia's sociopolitical landscape also contributes to the neglect of hearing health. Decades of civil conflict have left the healthcare system fragmented and under-resourced. Audiological services are largely unavailable outside major urban centers, and where they do exist, they are often prohibitively expensive. Public health priorities rarely include hearing loss, further marginalizing affected populations.

The situation is compounded by the absence of inclusive legislation and awareness campaigns that promote the rights of people with disabilities. In many communities, the responsibility of care falls solely on families, who may lack the resources or knowledge to support individuals with hearing loss. Consequently, affected persons are at risk of lifelong disadvantage, with limited access to education, employment, and social participation.

This paper aims to: (1) explore sociocultural factors contributing to hearing aid stigma in Somalia, (2) assess the psychosocial impact on affected individuals, (3) compare global stigma-reduction approaches, and (4) propose culturally relevant solutions through sociological and policy lenses.

2. Theoretical Framework

A robust theoretical framework is essential for analyzing the stigma associated with hearing aids through a sociological lens. This study primarily draws upon Labeling Theory and Symbolic Interactionism, which together offer insights into how social meanings, norms, and identities contribute to stigmatizing behavior and self-conceptualization among individuals with hearing impairments.

Labeling theory, as articulated by Becker [16], emphasizes that deviance is socially constructed rather than inherent, making it central to understanding hearing aid stigma in Somalia.

2.1. Labeling Theory

Originating from the work of Howard Becker (1963), labeling theory posits that deviance is not inherent in an act but is instead the result of society's reaction to that act. In the

context of hearing loss, individuals who use hearing aids are often labeled as “disabled,” “less capable,” or “abnormal.” These labels can lead to stigmatization, which in turn shapes self-identity and social interactions. For many Somali individuals, the fear of being labeled may outweigh the perceived benefits of using hearing aids, resulting in delayed diagnosis, treatment avoidance, and social withdrawal.

Labeling theory also highlights the concept of a “master status”—a label that overshadows all other aspects of identity. For someone with hearing loss in Somalia, being identified primarily as “disabled” can reduce their societal value and limit opportunities in education, employment, and marriage. This creates a reinforcing cycle of marginalization, where the stigma attached to hearing aids perpetuates social exclusion. Goffman's foundational work on stigma and spoiled identity [17] further explains how visible markers, such as hearing aids, can shape social interactions and self-concept.

2.2. Symbolic Interactionism

Developed by Erving Goffman (1963) and others, symbolic interactionism emphasizes the meanings individuals derive from social interactions and how these meanings shape behavior and identity. This theory is particularly useful in understanding the micro-level processes of stigma. Hearing aids, as visible markers of impairment, become powerful symbols that influence how individuals are perceived and treated by others.

Through daily interactions, these symbols can elicit negative reactions such as pity, avoidance, or mockery, reinforcing feelings of inadequacy in the hearing aid user. Goffman's concept of “spoiled identity” is especially relevant, as individuals with hearing aids may feel compelled to hide their condition or avoid social settings to protect their self-image. In Somalia's collectivist society, where maintaining social harmony and reputation is vital, the pressure to conform can further discourage hearing aid use.

Symbolic interactionism also sheds light on the role of socialization in perpetuating stigma. Cultural norms and shared beliefs are transmitted through family, media, and religious institutions, shaping collective attitudes toward disability and assistive technologies. Understanding these interactions can inform interventions aimed at transforming public perceptions and fostering inclusive environments.

2.3. Integrative Perspective

By combining labeling theory and symbolic interactionism, we gain a comprehensive understanding of how macro-level societal norms and micro-level interpersonal interactions contribute to the stigma surrounding hearing aids. This integrative approach allows for a nuanced analysis of the Somali context, where cultural narratives, institutional structures, and everyday encounters collectively shape the experiences of individuals with hearing impairments.

The theoretical framework thus serves as a foundation for identifying stigma-reduction strategies that address both the structural roots and the interpersonal manifestations of stigma. These insights are critical for designing culturally responsive policies and community-based programs that promote hearing health equity. Barriers such as limited awareness, cultural resistance, and lack of supportive family attitudes are consistent with findings in other contexts, where both personal and environmental factors shape hearing aid adoption [6].

3. Sociocultural Factors Contributing to Stigma

Understanding the stigma associated with hearing aids in Somalia requires a deep exploration of the sociocultural context in which these perceptions are formed and reinforced. Multiple interrelated factors contribute to the persistence of stigma, including traditional beliefs, social norms, family dynamics, media narratives, and religious interpretations. These cultural and structural influences shape both individual attitudes and collective behaviors regarding hearing loss and the use of assistive technologies.

3.1. Cultural Beliefs and Perceptions

Traditional Somali culture often interprets hearing loss through a lens of spiritual or moral failing. Conditions perceived as permanent or visibly abnormal are associated with divine punishment, past misdeeds, or ancestral curses [15].

These culturally embedded explanations frame hearing impairment not just as a medical condition but as a symbol of familial shame or spiritual impurity. Such interpretations discourage affected individuals from seeking help and instead promote reliance on spiritual healers or traditional remedies. This detour from biomedical care delays intervention and perpetuates misconceptions about the effectiveness and appropriateness of hearing aids.

Additionally, cultural emphasis on physical perfection and normalcy contributes to the marginalization of individuals with visible assistive devices. In many Somali communities, the presence of a hearing aid can lead to assumptions of dependency, incompetence, or diminished value, especially in social contexts that prioritize conformity and collective identity. These cultural narratives inhibit openness about hearing loss and reinforce the fear of stigmatization.

3.2. Social Identity and Self-Perception

The use of hearing aids has profound implications for personal identity and social belonging. In collectivist societies like Somalia, where social reputation and group cohesion are paramount, any deviation from perceived norms can result in exclusion. Individuals with hearing impairments may fear being labeled as burdensome or incapable, which affects their

willingness to disclose their condition or use assistive devices in public.

This internal conflict is intensified by societal expectations around roles and responsibilities. For instance, men may feel that hearing aid use undermines their perceived strength or leadership capacity, while women may be viewed as unfit for marriage or motherhood. Such gendered dimensions of stigma contribute to low self-esteem and social withdrawal. Over time, the internalization of negative societal views can erode self-confidence, leading individuals to avoid situations where their hearing loss might be exposed.

3.3. Community and Familial Pressures

Family plays a central role in shaping attitudes toward disability in Somali society. While families can be a source of support, they can also perpetuate stigma due to concerns about social standing and marital prospects. In some cases, parents may discourage their children from wearing hearing aids to avoid drawing attention to the family's "defect."

The stigma is often amplified by intergenerational beliefs that associate disability with supernatural causes or genetic weakness. As a result, individuals with hearing loss may be kept out of public view or denied access to assistive technologies. These pressures are particularly intense for girls and young women, whose future prospects are often tied to perceptions of physical and social desirability. The absence of family advocacy or understanding can leave individuals isolated and disempowered.

3.4. Media Representation

Mass media has a significant influence on public attitudes toward hearing loss and assistive devices. In Somalia, however, media coverage of disability is limited and often portrays individuals with impairments in a negative or pitiful light. Hearing aid users are rarely represented in television, radio, or online platforms in empowering roles, and when they are mentioned, it is usually in the context of charity or tragedy [12].

This lack of visibility reinforces the notion that hearing loss is incompatible with success, confidence, or normalcy. Without positive role models or stories of achievement, the public continues to view hearing aid users through a lens of deficiency and dependence. Media narratives thus play a critical role in either challenging or reinforcing stigma. In the absence of diverse and inclusive portrayals, stereotypes persist and are internalized by both the general public and those affected by hearing loss.

3.5. Religious and Moral Interpretations

Religious beliefs can influence how hearing loss is understood and addressed. In Somalia, Islamic teachings are a central part of daily life and community identity. While Islam

does not inherently stigmatize disability, interpretations by local religious leaders can vary. In some communities, hearing loss is seen as a test from God, leading to compassion and support. In others, it may be perceived as a consequence of moral failure or divine retribution.

The response of religious leaders to disability plays a crucial role in shaping community attitudes. When religious figures perpetuate stigmatizing beliefs, they legitimize societal exclusion and discourage individuals from seeking medical help. Conversely, when religious authorities advocate for inclusion and emphasize compassion, they can be powerful allies in reducing stigma. Engaging religious leaders in public health campaigns may therefore be essential for transforming community perceptions of hearing aids and disability more broadly. Prior research indicates that stigma linked to hearing impairment can negatively influence self-image and discourage the use of assistive devices [5].

4. Impact of Stigma on Individuals

4.1. Psychological Effects

Research shows a correlation between hearing loss stigma and increased rates of anxiety, depression, and social withdrawal [10].

Internalized stigma can prevent individuals from seeking timely interventions. Individuals may experience a reduced sense of self-worth and chronic stress due to social exclusion and negative societal perceptions. In the Somali context, the lack of mental health support services compounds the psychological burden of those living with untreated hearing loss. Children and adolescents are particularly susceptible, as early stigmatization can lead to long-term emotional and developmental challenges.

4.2. Educational Disparities

Children with hearing impairments often lack access to appropriate accommodations in schools. Peer bullying and teacher bias further impede academic success [9]. Many schools in Somalia do not have inclusive education policies or infrastructure to support students with hearing loss. This results in high dropout rates, poor academic performance, and limited access to higher education. The lack of early intervention and specialized training for educators further marginalizes hearing-impaired students, denying them equal educational opportunities and social integration.

4.3. Employment Discrimination

Employers may perceive hearing aid users as less competent or costly to accommodate, limiting their professional opportunities [3].

Discriminatory hiring practices and lack of workplace ad-

aptations contribute to the economic marginalization of individuals with hearing impairments. In Somalia, where unemployment rates are already high, those with hearing loss are often relegated to informal or low-paying jobs, perpetuating cycles of poverty and dependence. This economic exclusion also affects their families, further reinforcing societal stigma.

4.4. Healthcare Avoidance

Stigmatized individuals are less likely to seek hearing assessments or comply with treatment recommendations, exacerbating their condition [13].

Healthcare providers may lack the training or sensitivity to address hearing-related issues, leading to misdiagnosis or neglect. In Somalia, the scarcity of audiologists and limited availability of affordable hearing aids create significant barriers to care. Stigma also discourages open communication with healthcare professionals, resulting in poor health outcomes and a widening gap in hearing health equity.

4.5. Social Isolation and Family Dynamics

Stigma often leads to strained family relationships and reduced participation in community life. Individuals with hearing loss may avoid social gatherings, religious events, and public spaces due to fear of embarrassment or misunderstanding. In collectivist societies like Somalia, where social belonging is vital, exclusion from community activities can have profound emotional and psychological effects. Family members may experience caregiver fatigue or shame, further complicating intra-family dynamics and reducing support for hearing aid adoption.

4.6. Gender-Based Impacts

Women with hearing impairments face dual stigma related to gender and disability. Cultural expectations often dictate that women maintain certain roles in the household and community, which may be perceived as compromised by a disability. As a result, women may be excluded from marriage, leadership, and employment opportunities, reinforcing gender inequities. The intersectionality of gender and disability thus amplifies stigma and necessitates targeted interventions to address these overlapping forms of discrimination.

5. Comparative Global Perspectives

5.1. Sweden

Sweden's public health campaigns have successfully normalized hearing aid use by integrating disability narratives into mainstream media and school curricula [11]. Government subsidies make hearing aids accessible to all income groups. Organizations such as the Hearing Loss Association of America (HLAA) have played a significant role in raising

awareness and reducing stigma through campaigns and advocacy [19].

5.2. United States

In the U. S., legal protections under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) support equal employment opportunities and institutional accommodations. Awareness campaigns led by the Hearing Loss Association of America (HLAA) have also improved public perceptions [14].

5.3. South Africa

Despite resource constraints, community-based programs in South Africa leverage local leaders and traditional healers to promote hearing health education [18]. Such culturally embedded approaches have shown promising results.

These examples underscore the importance of tailored, multi-level interventions that consider local beliefs and socioeconomic conditions.

6. Strategies to Reduce Stigma in Somalia

6.1. Public Awareness Campaigns

Targeted media initiatives using radio, TV, and social media can challenge misconceptions about hearing aids. Stories of successful hearing aid users can serve as counter-narratives.

6.2. Inclusive Educational Policies

Training teachers to accommodate hearing-impaired students and integrating sign language into school curricula can foster inclusivity [7].

6.3. Community-Based Advocacy

Partnering with religious leaders, elders, and local influencers can legitimize hearing aid use and reduce resistance. Involving women's groups is particularly vital.

6.4. Policy and Financial Accessibility

Government subsidies, insurance schemes, and international partnerships can make hearing aids more affordable. Anti-discrimination laws can protect affected individuals in workplaces and schools [8].

6.5. Empowerment Through Peer Support

Forming support groups and networks of hearing aid users can foster self-confidence, advocacy skills, and peer mentoring. These recommendations align with international frameworks such as the United Nations Disability and Development

Report [20], which calls for inclusive health policies and stigma reduction initiatives.

7. Conclusion

Stigma associated with hearing aids in Somalia is shaped by a complex interplay of cultural, social, and structural factors. Addressing this issue requires more than just clinical interventions; it demands a systemic, culturally sensitive approach grounded in sociological understanding. By applying theories like labeling and symbolic interactionism, and learning from global best practices, Somalia can design effective interventions that empower individuals, transform public attitudes, and build inclusive communities.

Future research should focus on empirical studies exploring the lived experiences of hearing aid users in Somalia and evaluating the impact of specific stigma-reduction strategies.

Abbreviations

ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
CI	Confidence Interval
dB HL	Decibel Hearing Level
HLAA	Hearing Loss Association of America
HRQoL	Health-Related Quality of Life
SAD	Stigma Associated with Disability
UN	United Nations
USD	United States Dollar
WHO	World Health Organization

Author Contributions

Ibrahim Abdullahi Ali is the sole author. The author read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] World Health Organization. (2021). World report on hearing. WHO.
- [2] Dillard, L. K., & Saunders, G. H. (2019). Stigma, identity, and hearing aid use. *The Hearing Journal*, 72(4), 20-24.
- [3] Kochkin, S. (2007). The impact of untreated hearing loss on household income. *The Hearing Review*, 14(10), 12-16.
- [4] Wallhagen, M. I. (2010). The stigma of hearing loss. *The Gerontologist*, 50(1), 66-75.
- [5] Lewis, M. S., & Darby, J. K. (2004). Stigma and the hearing impaired. *American Journal of Audiology*, 13(2), 110-118.

- [6] Meyer, C., Hickson, L., & Fletcher, A. (2012). Identifying the barriers and facilitators to hearing aid adoption. *International Journal of Audiology*, 51(2), 120-128.
- [7] Manchaiah, V., Zhao, F., & Ratinaud, M. C. (2015). Stigma and self-perception in adults with hearing loss. *Health Psychology and Behavioral Medicine*, 3(1), 156-165.
- [8] Barnett, S., & Franks, P. (2002). Healthcare utilization and adults who are deaf. *American Journal of Public Health*, 92(1), 133-137.
- [9] Erler, S. F., & Garstecki, D. C. (2002). Hearing loss and hearing aid use in older adults. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development*, 39(5), 749-758.
- [10] Chisolm, T. H., Johnson, C. E., & Danhauer, J. L. (2007). A systematic review of health-related quality of life and hearing aids. *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, 18(2), 151-183.
- [11] Sommer, I. J., Gräbel, S., & Szczepek, A. J. (2017). Public attitudes toward hearing aids and hearing loss. *International Journal of Audiology*, 56(8), 558-564.
- [12] Southall, K., Gagne, J. P., & Jennings, M. B. (2010). Stigma: Implications for hearing aid design. *International Journal of Audiology*, 49(11), 765-774.
- [13] O'Connor, A., & Mahoney, C. (2009). Perceptions of stigma in adults with hearing loss. *Journal of Hearing Science*, 19(3), 145-159.
- [14] Gopinath, B., Schneider, J., & McMahon, C. M. (2012). Prevalence of hearing aid use and stigma. *The Journal of Aging and Health*, 24(5), 817-827.
- [15] Lesner, S. A. (2003). Social stigma and hearing loss. *Hearing Journal*, 56(3), 14-19.
- [16] Becker, H. S. (1963). *Outsiders: Studies in the sociology of deviance*. Free Press.
- [17] Goffman, E. (1963). *Stigma: Notes on the management of spoiled identity*. Prentice-Hall.
- [18] Louw, B., Swanepoel, D. W., & Eikelboom, R. H. (2018). Community-based hearing health outreach in South Africa. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, 104, 135-142.
- [19] Hearing Loss Association of America. (2020). HLAAs annual report.
- [20] United Nations. (2020). *Disability and Development Report*. UN.